

From: Gleicher, Cliff (Law  
Sent: 1/27/2011 6:14:01 PM  
To: Roberts, Thomas (thomas.roberts@cpuc.ca.gov); Meadows, James L  
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=J7M2); Mark Toney  
(mtoney@turn.org)  
Danforth, Christopher (christopher.danforth@cpuc.ca.gov); Gupta, Alope  
Cc: (aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov); David.Hungerford@energy.ca.gov  
(David.Hungerford@energy.ca.gov); Redacted  
Redacted Nwamu, Chonda (Law  
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=CJN3); Kiraly, Gregory  
(/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=GKK6); Lokey, Felecia K  
(/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=FKL3); marcel@turn.org  
(marcel@turn.org); Dietz, Sidney  
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4); Redacted  
Redacted  
Redacted erich@enemex.com  
(erich@enemex.com); Redacted  
Redacted Moniz-Witten,  
Tanya (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=TDM0)  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: Meeting agenda, format and attendees - SmartMeter T Technology Advisory  
Panel (Session 4)

All,

Thanks for your thoughtful input on the topic of NDAs, PU Code Section 583, and TAP procedures generally. We should plan to discuss all of this more thoroughly tomorrow (as it will behoove the TAP to work through these subjects), but I believe we will be in good shape tomorrow if we all come in with the understanding that the content of tomorrow's meeting is in fact confidential. As such, let's move forward with the more specific understanding that (a) the meeting is subject to PU Section 583 (for those who are in fact subject to Section 583) and (b) those who are not subject to Section 583 will sign an NDA. Please let us know if this presents any problems for anyone.

With respect to the other IOUs' participation tomorrow, unfortunately neither SCE nor SDG&E is available, thus resolving any complications we might immediately face on this front. We should, however, also discuss at tomorrow's meeting the benefits of pursuing joint meetings -- whether occasionally, regularly, etc.

Thanks,  
Cliff Gleicher

**From:** Roberts, Thomas [mailto:thomas.roberts@cpuc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 26, 2011 8:33 AM  
**To:** Meadows, James L; Mark Toney  
**Cc:** Redacted Gupta, Alope; Danforth, Christopher;

David.Hungerford@energy.ca.gov; erich@enernex.com; Marcel@turn.org; [Redacted] Kiraly, Gregory; [Redacted] Nwamu, Chonda (Law); Dietz, Sidney; Lokey, Felecia K; Moniz-Witten, Tanya; [Redacted] Gleicher, Cliff (Law)  
**Subject:** RE: Meeting agenda, format and attendees - SmartMeter T Technology Advisory Panel (Session 4)

Jim,

I don't have my TAP folder with me today, but my understanding is that we agreed on a TAP charter where the information presented is public, even if the public is not invited to meetings. Do I have this wrong? If not, I think the TAP needs to consider this NDA issue and the need for transparency very carefully. I have one suggestion for consideration: if Friday's meeting involves other utilities, which we think is a good idea, it's not really a PG&E TAP meeting. This special meeting to discuss alternatives could be confidential, and we could separately consider whether information from TAP meetings should be public. DRA will still have an issue with an NDA, since I believe we are legally prohibited from signing one. Our attorney is out this week, but maybe Chonda can discuss this with Joe Como, DRA's Acting Director and Chief Counsel. Please call me at 415-203-2781 to discuss.

Thanks,  
Tom

-----Original Message-----

From: Meadows, James L [mailto:J7M2@pge.com]

Sent: Tue 1/25/2011 5:06 PM

To: Roberts, Thomas; Mark Toney

Cc: [Redacted] Gupta, Alope; Danforth, Christopher; David.Hungerford@energy.ca.gov; erich@enernex.com; Marcel@turn.org; [Redacted] Kiraly, Gregory; [Redacted] Nwamu, Chonda (Law); Dietz, Sidney; Lokey, Felecia K; Moniz-Witten, Tanya; [Redacted] Gleicher, Cliff (Law)

Subject: RE: Meeting agenda, format and attendees - SmartMeter T Technology Advisory Panel (Session 4)

Tom,

Thanks for your email. I'm not quite sure what you mean by a "private" TAP meeting. Are you concerned about the other California IOUs participating? I appreciate that it is new, which is why I teed it up. Also, with respect to whether we use an NDA or Section 583, we are concerned that some items that the TAP discussed in the November/December time frame have appeared on a public website, and given the confidential nature of what we're discussing, we need to ensure confidentiality going forward. I appreciate that Section 583 provides a certain measure of confidentiality, but in the spirit of an open and productive dialogue, we need to have an NDA in place.

Thanks,  
Jim

---

From: Roberts, Thomas [mailto:thomas.roberts@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, January 25, 2011 8:11 AM

To: Mark Toney; Meadows, James L

Cc: [Redacted] Gupta, Alope; Danforth, Christopher; David.Hungerford@energy.ca.gov; erich@enernex.com; Marcel@turn.org;

[Redacted] Kiraly, Gregory; [Redacted] Nwamu, Chonda (Law);  
Dietz, Sidney; Lokey, Felecia K; Moniz-Witten, Tanya; [Redacted]  
Gleicher, Cliff (Law)  
Subject: RE: Meeting agenda, format and attendees - SmartMeter T  
Technology Advisory Panel (Session 4)

DRA would definitely like to discuss these alternatives, but we have two concerns: having a private TAP meeting which does not conform to the TAP charter, and having state employees sign NDAs. Can you explain why PG&E is not already protected by section 583? DRA is discussing the proposition and will get back to you.

Thanks,  
Tom

---

From: Mark Toney [mailto:mtoney@turn.org]  
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2011 6:21 PM  
To: Meadows, James L.  
Cc: [Redacted] Roberts, Thomas; Gupta, Alope; Danforth, Christopher; David.Hungerford@energy.ca.gov; erich@enernex.com; Marcel@turn.org; [Redacted] Kiraly, Gregory; [Redacted] Nwamu, Chonda (Law); Dietz, Sidney; Lokey, Felecia K; Moniz-Witten, Tanya; [Redacted] Gleicher, Cliff (Law)  
Subject: Re: Meeting agenda, format and attendees - SmartMeter T  
Technology Advisory Panel (Session 4)

Sounds productive to me.

Mark

Mark W. Toney, Ph.D.  
Executive Director  
TURN-The Utility Reform Network  
115 Sansome Street, Suite 900  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
415 929 8876 x301  
510 590 2862 cell  
mtoney@turn.org

On Jan 24, 2011, at 2:29 PM, Meadows, James L wrote:

Technology Advisory Panel Members:

Location - 1/28 Session #4:  
PG&E offices

77 Beale Street, San Francisco  
Conference Room 303, Floor 3.

Agenda:

As discussed in session 3 the focus of this meeting will be 'Deployment Alternatives', more specifically, potential deployment solutions to address customer concerns related to SmartMeter installations. The meeting will be in a working session format rather than presentation-based. We have attached a draft meeting agenda and the proposed final meeting minutes from Session 3.

Given the evolving nature of this area, its complexity and to better facilitate the sharing of ideas PG&E proposes the following:

- Inviting project team members from SDG&E and SCE to join the meeting discussion regarding technology alternatives; and
- This session to be considered confidential - with each participant signing a general non-disclosure agreement prior to the meeting (to be provided later today/tomorrow).

If any TAP member is concerned with these proposals, please contact myself or Sid.

James Meadows

From: [Redacted] On Behalf Of Meadows, James L  
Sent: Wednesday, December 22, 2010 7:58 AM  
To: Meadows, James L; [Redacted]  
Subject: Updated: SmartMeter (tm) Technology Advisory  
Panel (Session 4)  
When: Friday, January 28, 2011 9:00 AM-12:00 PM (GMT-08:00)  
Pacific Time (US & Canada).  
Where: CR 895, 77 Beale ( 866-339-6643; \*4159733140\*)

When: Friday, January 28, 2011 9:00 AM-12:00 PM (GMT-08:00)  
Pacific Time (US & Canada).  
Where: CR 895, 77 Beale ( 866-339-6643; \*4159733140\*)

Note: The GMT offset above does not reflect daylight saving time adjustments.

\*~\*~\*~\*~\*~\*~\*~\*~\*~\*

<Meeting Agenda TAP 012811-DRAFT.doc><Meeting Minutes TAP 120610-FINAL.doc>

