From: Redacted

Sent: 1/26/2011 11:28:33 AM

To: Roberts, Thomas (thomas.roberts@cpuc.ca.gov); Meadows, James L (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=J7M2); Gupta, Aloke (aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov); Mark Toney (mtoney@turn.org); David Hungerford (Dhungerf@energy.state.ca.us)
Redacted

Cc: Danforth, Christopher (christopher.danforth@cpuc.ca.gov); Redacted
Redacted erich@enernex.com (erich@enernex.com); Nwamu, Chonda (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=CJN3); Kiraly, Gregory (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=GKK6); Lokey, Felecia K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=FKL3); Gleicher, Cliff (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=CJGF); marcel@turn.org (marcel@turn.org); Dietz, Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4); Redacted
Redacted Moniz-Witten, Tanya (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=TDM0)

Bcc:

Subject: RE: Meeting agenda, format and attendees - SmartMeter TTechnology Advisory Panel (Session 4)

As a "non-state" member, I have no problem with the NDA

From: "Gupta, Aloke" <aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov>
To: David Hungerford <Dhungerf@energy.state.ca.us>; "Roberts, Thomas" <thomas.roberts@cpuc.ca.gov>; James L Meadows <J7M2@PGE.COM>; Mark Toney <mtoney@turn.org>
Cc: "Danforth, Christopher" <christopher.danforth@cpuc.ca.gov>; erich@enernex.com; Redacted
Redacted Cliff (Law) Gleicher <CJGF@PGE.COM>; Chonda (Law) Nwamu <CJN3@PGE.COM>; Felecia K Lokey <FKL3@PGE.COM>; Gregory Kiraly <GKK6@PGE.COM>; Redacted ; Sidney Dietz <SBD4@PGE.COM>; Tanya Moniz-Witten <TDM0@PGE.COM>; Marcel@turn.org; Redacted
Sent: Wed, January 26, 2011 11:14:47 AM
Subject: RE: Meeting agenda, format and attendees - SmartMeter TTechnology Advisory Panel (Session 4)

Following up on Tom's and Dave's comments, a possible compromise scenario for PG&E legal folks to consider:

Assuming the non-state TAP members are agreeable to the NDA per PG&E's request, could the discussion be had under 583, which would then apply to both ED/DRA? As for CEC, perhaps they can be exempted in the interest of time and not directly receive any presentation materials as Dave notes (other than thru a webex style slideshow).

Aloke
ED, CPUC

From: David Hungerford [mailto:Dhungerf@energy.state.ca.us]
Sent: Wed 1/26/2011 9:41 AM
To: Roberts, Thomas; James L Meadows; Mark Toney
Cc: Gupta, Aloke; Danforth, Christopher; erich@enernex.com; Redacted Cliff (Law) Gleicher; Chonda (Law) Nwamu; Felecia K Lokey; Gregory Kiraly; Redacted Sidney Dietz; Tanya Moniz-Witten; Marcel@turn.org; Redacted
Subject: RE: Meeting agenda, format and attendees - SmartMeter TTechnology Advisory Panel (Session 4)

Jim,

There is precedent allowing a CEC employee to sign an NDA in order to gain access to confidential utility information; however, it is not a simple, quick process. The issue is straightforward: we have to comply with Public Records Act requests because we are conducting the public's business. If we do sign one, then everyone within our organization who would have access to the information up and down the chain would also have to sign.

As a practical matter; however, "discoverable" records are those which reside in our files or notebooks or on our computers/servers. If I participate in discussions by phone and by looking at a web presentation online, then that information would not be saved to my HD or reside on our servers.

In an interesting irony, any NDA we sign WOULD be discoverable.

I defer to my fellow public servants for a determination of how to proceed.

David

David G. Hungerford, Ph.D.
Special Advisor
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth St. MS-35
Sacramento, CA 95814

(916) 654 4906 (office)
(916) 764-0209 (mobile)
(9160 653-3478 (fax)

>>> "Roberts, Thomas" <thomas.roberts@cpuc.ca.gov> 1/26/2011 8:32 AM >>>
Jim,

I don't have my TAP folder with me today, but my understanding is that we agreed on a TAP charter where the information presented is public, even if the public is not invited to meetings. Do I have this wrong? If not, I think the TAP needs to consider this NDA issue and the need for transparency very carefully. I have one suggestion for consideration: if Friday's meeting involves other utilities, which we think is a good idea, it's not really a PG&E TAP meeting. This special meeting to discuss alternatives could be confidential, and we could separately consider whether information from TAP meetings should be public. DRA will still have an issue with an NDA, since I believe we are legally prohibited from signing one. Our attorney is out this week, but maybe Chonda can discuss this with Joe Como, DRA's Acting Director and Chief Counsel. Please call me at 415-203-2781 to discuss.

Thanks,
Tom

-----Original Message-----
From: Meadows, James L [mailto:J7M2@pge.com]
Sent: Tue 1/25/2011 5:06 PM
To: Roberts, Thomas; Mark Toney
Cc: Redacted Gupta, Aloke; Danforth, Christopher; David.Hungerford@energy.ca.gov; erich@enernex.com; Marcel@turn.org; Redacted Kiraly, Gregory; Redacted Nwamu, Chonda (Law); Dietz, Sidney; Lokey, Felecia K; Moniz-Witten, Tanya; Redacted Gleicher, Cliff (Law)
Subject: RE: Meeting agenda, format and attendees - SmartMeter T Technology Advisory Panel (Session 4)

Tom,

Thanks for your email. I'm not quite sure what you mean by a "private" TAP meeting. Are you concerned about the other California IOUs participating? I appreciate that it is new, which is why I teed it up. Also, with respect to whether we use an NDA or Section 583, we are concerned that some items that the TAP discussed in the November/December time frame have appeared on a public website, and given the confidential nature of what we're discussing, we need to ensure confidentiality going forward. I appreciate that Section 583 provides a certain measure of confidentiality, but in the spirit of an open and productive dialogue, we need to have an NDA in place.

Thanks,
Jim

From: Roberts, Thomas [mailto:thomas.roberts@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 25, 2011 8:11 AM
To: Mark Toney; Meadows, James L
Cc: Redacted Gupta, Aloke; Danforth, Christopher; David.Hungerford@energy.ca.gov; erich@enernex.com; Marcel@turn.org; Redacted Kiraly, Gregory; Redacted Nwamu, Chonda (Law); Dietz, Sidney; Lokey, Felecia K; Moniz-Witten, Tanya; Redacted Gleicher, Cliff (Law)
Subject: RE: Meeting agenda, format and attendees - SmartMeter T Technology Advisory Panel (Session 4)

DRA would definitely like to discuss these alternatives, but we have two concerns: having a private TAP meeting which does not conform to the TAP charter, and having state employees sign NDAs. Can you explain why PG&E is not already protected by section 583? DRA is discussing the proposition and will get back to you.

Thanks,
Tom

From: Mark Toney [mailto:mtoney@turn.org]
Sent: Monday, January 24, 2011 6:21 PM
To: Meadows, James L
Cc: Redacted Roberts, Thomas; Gupta, Aloke; Danforth, Christopher; David.Hungerford@energy.ca.gov; erich@enernex.com; Marcel@turn.org; Redacted Kiraly, Gregory; Redacted Nwamu, Chonda (Law); Dietz, Sidney; Lokey, Felecia K; Moniz-Witten, Tanya; Redacted Gleicher, Cliff (Law)
Subject: Re: Meeting agenda, format and attendees - SmartMeter T Technology Advisory Panel (Session 4)

Sounds productive to me.

Mark

Mark W. Toney, Ph.D.
Executive Director
TURN-The Utility Reform Network
115 Sansome Street, Suite 900
San Francisco, CA 94104
415 929 8876 x301
510 590 2862 cell
mtoney@turn.org

On Jan 24, 2011, at 2:29 PM, Meadows, James L wrote:

Technology Advisory Panel Members:

Location - 1/28 Session #4:
PG&E offices
77 Beale Street, San Francisco
Conference Room 303, Floor 3.

Agenda:
As discussed in session 3 the focus of this meeting will be 'Deployment Alternatives', more specifically, potential deployment solutions to address customer concerns related to SmartMeter installations. The meeting will be in a working session format rather than presentation-based. We have attached a draft meeting agenda and the proposed final meeting minutes from Session 3.

Given the evolving nature of this area, its complexity and to better facilitate the sharing of ideas PG&E proposes the following:

- Inviting project team members from SDG&E and SCE to join the meeting discussion regarding technology alternatives; and
- This session to be considered confidential - with each participant signing a general non-disclosure agreement prior to the

meeting (to be provided later today/tomorrow).

If any TAP member is concerned with these proposals, please contact myself or Sid.

James Meadows

From: Redacted On Behalf Of Meadows, James L
Sent: Wednesday, December 22, 2010 7:58 AM
To: Meadows, James L; Redacted
Subject: Updated: SmartMeter (tm) Technology Advisory Panel (Session 4)
When: Friday, January 28, 2011 9:00 AM-12:00 PM (GMT-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada).
Where: CR 895, 77 Beale (866-339-6643; *4159733140*)

When: Friday, January 28, 2011 9:00 AM-12:00 PM (GMT-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada).
Where: CR 895, 77 Beale (866-339-6643; *4159733140*)

Note: The GMT offset above does not reflect daylight saving time adjustments.

~~*~*~*~*~*~*~*~*

<Meeting Agenda TAP 012811-DRAFT.doc><Meeting Minutes TAP 120610-FINAL.doc>