

From: Roscow, Steve
Sent: 1/27/2011 11:50:54 AM
To: Pagedar, Sujata (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=sxpg)
Cc: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=EBJ1);
Redacted
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Additional Data

Great, that helps!

Steve

From: Pagedar, Sujata [mailto:sxpg@pge.com]
Sent: Thu 1/27/2011 11:47 AM
To: Roscow, Steve
Cc: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel); Redacted
Subject: RE: Additional Data

Steve,

The Section 583 label should not be on this data- the version that we sent you below is one that can be included in the report to the Legislature. We had started with an old template, and it looks like we left an old 583 statement on in error.

My apologies.

Sujata

From: Roscow, Steve [mailto:steve.roscow@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Thursday, January 27, 2011 11:42 AM
To: Pagedar, Sujata
Cc: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel); Redacted

Subject: RE: Additional Data

Hi all,

I'm looking this over, and I see that it is labeled "Section 583". We need a version that we can include in the report to the Legislature.

What do you suggest?

Steve

From: Pagedar, Sujata [mailto:sxpg@pge.com]

Sent: Tue 1/25/2011 3:23 PM

To: Roscow, Steve

Cc: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel); Redacted

Subject: Additional Data

Steve,

As we discussed on the phone yesterday, I am providing reformatted versions of prior data requests. This is all data that we had previously sent to you in response to data requests throughout 2010, and what we have done here is just reformat the data so that it looks like the data we provided to you yesterday. I thought this would be helpful for you to compare data.

<<SF-MARIN CCA Expenses (ED Request)_Jan-June 2010 summary version.xls>>

The file below contains data we had provided to SJVPA. Again, we have formatted that data to be consistent with what we gave you yesterday.

<<SJVPA BTL Costs - 2007-1.31.09.xls>>

Finally, I wanted to clarify one part of the data that I provided to you yesterday. To orient you, the data that I'm referring to is in the big worksheet that contains all the cost data (Attachment 1- Final). In that, we've divided costs between Above the Line and Below the Line. If you go to the ATL tab related to Labor costs (it's the 6th tab), at the bottom we have costs that are "unclassified" as to department.

Some of the costs, specifically those charged to order 8055808, are not only CCA related costs, but also include activities relating to Direct Access and Core Gas Aggregation. Although it's impossible at this point for us to know how much relate to CCA and how much to DA, a good estimation might be that

40% relate to CCA activities. There's about \$2 million charged to that order, so of that, approximately \$850,000 relates to CCA, and the rest to DA / CGA activities.

Please let me know if you have any questions about this clarification.

Thanks,

Sujata

Sujata Pagedar
Energy Proceedings
415-973-9801