

From: Clanon, Paul  
Sent: 2/1/2011 10:24:56 AM  
To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7)  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE:

Like we'll even notice!

Could also use some ideas on what conditions PG&E would have to meet to bring the pressure back up later.

**From:** Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 01, 2011 10:22 AM  
**To:** Clanon,  
Paul  
**Subject:** RE:

Oops. Yes. Got in at midnight last night. Brain lock.

**From:** Clanon, Paul  
[mailto:paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 01, 2011  
10:22 AM  
**To:** Cherry, Brian K  
**Subject:** RE:

Do you mean 10%?

**From:** Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, February 01, 2011 10:15 AM  
**To:** Clanon,  
Paul  
**Subject:**

Wow. Can't believe I'm back from vacation and things are crazier than ever.

Spoke with Tom.

We would like you to consider three criteria for your letter:

1. Any pipe segment where we exceeded MAOP by 100%
2. ERW weld or pipe with a joint coefficient of less than 1
3. HCA areas.

We would also like to see language that would require us to inform safety division in cases where reducing pressure would lead to curtailments and put the public at risk and determine if that is the right thing to do.

Also - PHSMA and NTSB has complained in the past that they are the last to find out. I'm assuming Richard will give them a heads up when we are closer to resolution.