

From: [Redacted]  
 Sent: 2/11/2011 2:43:38 PM  
 To: 'Baker, Simon' (simon.baker@cpuc.ca.gov); 'Clinton, Jeanne' (jeanne.clinton@cpuc.ca.gov); Ramaiya, Shilpa R ([Redacted] (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd)); [Redacted] ([Redacted] 'michael.hoover@sce.com' (michael.hoover@sce.com)); 'ABesa@SempraUtilities.com' (ABesa@SempraUtilities.com); 'JYamagata@SempraUtilities.com' (JYamagata@SempraUtilities.com); 'Tapawan-Conway, Zenaida G.' (zenaida.tapawan-conway@cpuc.ca.gov); 'pete.skala@cpuc.ca.gov' (pete.skala@cpuc.ca.gov); 'Gomez, Laurie' (LGomez@semprautilities.com); 'don.arambula@sce.com' (don.arambula@sce.com); 'Michelle.Thomas@sce.com' ('Michelle.Thomas@sce.com')  
 Cc:  
 Bcc:  
 Subject: ED/IOU Coordination Call - 2/8/11

## ED / IOU COORDINATION CALL

**February 8, 2011**

Name	On Call	Name	On Call
Simon Baker (ED/EE Planning)	√	Athena Besa (Sempra)	√
Jeanne Clinton (ED/EE Planning)	√	Joy Yamagata (Sempra)	
Pete Skala (ED/ EE EM&V)	√	Laurie Gomez (Sempra)	
Zenaida Tapawan-Conway (ED/ EE EM&V)	√	Michael Hoover (SCE)	
Shilpa Ramaiya (PG&E)	√	Don Arambula (SCE)	√
[Redacted]	√	Michelle Thomas (SCE)	√
[Redacted]	√		

-  
-

### Agenda and Discussion

#### **1. PPMs and other Reporting Requirements (Vanessa and Don)**

- Approximately 40 PPMs are due on May 2. Remaining PPMs will be due at end of cycle.
- IOUs working with Jeorge to determine format for PPM submittal
- SPTdb – Per conversations with Jeorge, with be Qtrly but IOUs will continue to work with ED to identify opportunities further streamline frequency.

- Refreshing quarterly reporting manual – RRM v 2 will need to be updated to reflect 10-12 reporting requirements

## **2. PIP Update (Redacted )**

- Statewide PIPs have been revised and submitted
- Email to service list will be sent out once we get confirmation from Jeorge that the PIPs have be uploaded to EEGA
- IOUs working on Third Party and Local PIPs and plan to have them updated and submitted by end of February

## **3. Upcoming workshops on program cycle extension and future planning (Brian)**

- Dina Makin is coordinating this and is pulling together a panel discuss of the primary issues raised by stakeholders. IOUs are working with ED staff to confirm IOU representation (1 IOUs rep or 3)
- Primary topics include
  - Mechanics of the portfolio extension
  - Update of Cost Effectiveness and Ex Ante Values
  - Goals and Savings Attributions
  - Proposed schedule
  - Annual Budget
  - Options for 1 or 2 year extension
- White paper will be provided one week (Wednesday Feb 9) in advance of the workshop on Feb 16
- ALJ ruling after the workshop

## **4. Process for resolving PFM work paper issues (Brian)**

- Peter Lai sent out via email the list of primary issues and the process for providing comments using the CPUC's "case management" approach for gathering feedback.
- Some ambiguity around components of the case management documents that participants are being asked to review and possibly sponsor by Feb. 18. For clarity, PG&E would prefer that the components be as discrete as possible. IOUs to discuss further.

## **5. ED work paper review process for new measures (Don)**

- SCE's work on the QM program work papers has highlighted the work paper review process.
- Limited response back from ED on work papers and would like to know if this is due to the PFM process work or do we need to look at process to figure out how to refine.
- Per Simon – at the briefing in October things appeared to be on track for QM. ED suggested that IOUs reach out to DEER team to get clarification and input. ED DEER team says that the IOUs have not reached out.
- ED will check with Peter Lai
- SCE will check with Paul Kylo
- Zeny will check with Peter Lai on new measures work papers uploaded to Base Camp

## **6. CSI funding contribution to the planned Integrated Energy Audit (Don)**

- Integrated Energy Audit will need to seek funds
- CSI program is saying that they do not have funds
- Per Jeanne – understand concept and need for cost sharing but have not seen any specifics
- DR Application is coming up
- CSI is more constrained and does not have the funds
- ED recommends that IOUs put together a proposal recommending how much from each (CSI, DR, EE)
- Should consider how will tool alter customer behavior – who gets steered where
- SCE would like to see more engagement by the ED CSI staff or know that they are okay with their level of engagement.

## **7. IEAT (Simon)**

- Decision states that IEAT should be consistent among the IOUs
- Sempra and SCE are working together on a tool
- Consensus from all IOUs on business requirements
- The IOUs have been coordinating and have consensus on the essential business requirements
- ED wants to make sure that there is a consistent look and feel among all IOUs
- Since the IOUs have the same business requirements, there will be the same intent and content.
- Example from ED: if customer puts info into PG&E site then same info into SCE or Sempra, will they get the same answers? Per Michelle, yes

## **8. Needs Assessment – Low Income (Don)**

- Don asked ED to ensure Low Income staff is aware of the needs assessment which discussed job

growth, job types, retention, career ladder, etc.

- Career path jobs, which was one of the recommendations is not supported by the current programs.
- How would this recommendation affect the Low Income program?

#### **9. Meeting date change**

- Call will now be held on the first Wednesday of each month at 2 pm
- Next meeting will be March 2
- Glenn will send out new invite

Redacted