

From: Clanon, Paul  
Sent: 2/2/2011 11:39:22 AM  
To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7);  
Bottorff, Thomas E (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TEB3)  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: RE:

OK, thx.

**From:** Bottorff, Thomas E [mailto:TEB3@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 02, 2011 11:33 AM  
**To:** Cherry,  
Brian K; Clanon, Paul  
**Subject:** RE: RE: RE:

We need to let NTSB know as well; our folks will be giving them a heads up.

Tom

**From:** Cherry, Brian K  
**Sent:**  
Wednesday, February 02, 2011 11:29 AM  
**To:** 'Clanon, Paul'  
**Cc:**  
Bottorff, Thomas E  
**Subject:** RE: RE: RE:

Understood. On the phone now

**From:** Clanon, Paul  
[mailto:paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov]

**Sent:** Wednesday, February 02, 2011

11:29 AM

**To:** Cherry, Brian K

**Cc:** Bottorff, Thomas

E

**Subject:** Re: RE: RE:

Ok. I have a conference at the Hall of Justice at 5 on one of our employee lawsuits, so I'm going to get pressed for time if this stretches out.

We let PHMSA know, by the way.

On Feb 2, 2011, at 11:26 AM, "Cherry, Brian K" <[BKC7@pge.com](mailto:BKC7@pge.com)> wrote:

Can you shoot for 2pm drop dead from us  
?

**From:** Clanon, Paul

[<mailto:paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov>]

**Sent:** Wednesday, February 02, 2011

11:26 AM

**To:** Bottorff, Thomas E

**Cc:** Cherry, Brian

K

**Subject:** Re: RE:

I've told the PUC people to plan for a mid-late afternoon signing. If you come up with anything significant, though, the earlier the better.

On Feb 2, 2011, at 11:24 AM, "Bottorff, Thomas E" <[TEB3@pge.com](mailto:TEB3@pge.com)> wrote:

Paul,

I think this is ok, but I'd like to run it by Kirk and a few others. How long do I have?

Tom

**From:** Cherry, Brian K  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 02, 2011 11:22 AM  
**To:** 'Clanon, Paul';  
Bottorff, Thomas E  
**Subject:** RE:

What about the "has received information" issue  
?

**From:** Clanon, Paul  
[mailto:paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 02,  
2011 11:10 AM  
**To:** Cherry, Brian K; Bottorff, Thomas  
E  
**Subject:** RE:

We want to  
keep the list in Paragraph 1.

Dear  
Mr. Johns:

The California Public Utilities Commission ("Commission")  
has received information from Pacific Gas and  
Electric Company ("PG&E")  
regarding planned and unplanned increased  
pressurization events over the  
Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure ("MAOP") in  
several of PG&E's gas  
transmission lines. Portions of these gas  
transmission lines are  
located in High Consequence Areas ("HCAs").

Given this  
information, I direct PG&E to take the following  
actions:

1. PG&E shall reduce the operating pressure by 20% below the MAOP, as defined in 49 CFR 192, of all segments of the following transmission lines located in HCAs: Line 148, DFM 0805-01, DFM 0807-01 and DFM 1816-01. PG&E shall maintain these pressure reductions until such time as the Commission allows PG&E to return to the lines to their normal operating pressures.

2. PG&E shall reduce the operating pressure by 20% below MAOP, as defined in 49 CFR 192, for any additional segments of transmission lines located in HCAs that are found, through further investigation, to have experienced planned or unplanned events in which the segments experienced pressure greater than 110% of MAOP, as defined in 49 CFR 192. PG&E shall maintain these pressure reductions until such time as the Commission allows PG&E to return to the lines to their normal operating pressures.

To the extent there is a reasonable possibility that any of the above ordered pressure reductions could adversely affect service to core customers, PG&E will consult with the Commission concerning appropriate actions to address adverse customer impacts.