

From: Horner, Trina
Sent: 2/8/2011 4:23:08 PM
To: 'terrie.prosper@cpuc.ca.gov' (terrie.prosper@cpuc.ca.gov); Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7)
Cc: 'paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov' (paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:
Subject: Re: Some press-related things

Thanks Terrie. One thing I understand the CPUC and PG&E attorneys have been discussing is redactions of rank and file PG&E employee names referenced in any prior audit reports. I understand some of those folks whose names are identified in prior audit reports have raised concerns about whether making their names public will raise personal safety issues for them. I know it is an attorney thing and I am not one, but I just wanted to make you aware of this discussion. I think the PG&E attorneys have offered to provide the redactions (together with clean versions so the redactions can be identified), if that helps.

Trina

From: Prosper, Terrie D. [mailto:terrie.prosper@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 08, 2011 04:00 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K; Horner, Trina
Cc: Clanon, Paul <paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov>
Subject: RE: Some press-related things

Hi Brian and Trina,

Tomorrow we plan to make available on our website more documents relating to pipeline safety, such as audits of PG&E's operation, maintenance and emergency plans; audit of PG&E's Integrity Management Program; and reports filed by PG&E with the CPUC and/or PHMSA.

When the documents are live, I will send a note out to the press and bcc you.

Thanks!

Terrie

From: Clanon, Paul
Sent: Friday, February 04, 2011 6:58 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K
Subject: Re: Some press-related things

We'll be responding to PRA requests over the next few weeks. I'll see if we can build in a headsup step.

On Feb 4, 2011, at 6:26 PM, "Cherry, Brian K" <BKC7@pge.com> wrote:

Happy weekend.

From: Horner, Trina
Sent: Friday, February 04, 2011 06:17 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K; Bottorff, Thomas E
Cc: Yura, Jane; Johnson, Kirk
Subject: Some press-related things

Brian -

1) I understand the Chronicle is writing a front page/top of fold article for Sunday regarding lines 107 and 108, and some spending that was planned for those lines but not done. Same general theme as the \$5 million project on Line 132. I didn't know if you wanted to give Paul a heads up about that.

2) The CPUC released their audits today, going back to 2004. I am not sure which ones yet. We've been asked for comment on that. Just wanted you to know, as I heard that the SJ Merc -at a minimum- is writing a story now. I think the media response is going to be very general. Obviously, the CPUC is aware of this one, since they released the audits.