From: Villegas, Pedro

Sent: 2/9/2011 5:33:48 PM

To: 'matthew.deal@cpuc.ca.gov' (matthew.deal@cpuc.ca.gov); Dietz, Sidney

(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4)

Cc: 'devla.singh@cpuc.ca.gov' (devla.singh@cpuc.ca.gov)

Bcc:

Subject: Re: Clean Slate EE EM&V idea...

Capish, and thanks for yesterday's meeting. We'll get it to you well in advance of March 18 and will be happy to meet thereafter and explain ourselves.

with any little both after later with sold sold later later

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

From: Deal, Matthew <matthew.deal@cpuc.ca.gov> **To**: SBD4@pge.com <SBD4@pge.com>; Villegas, Pedro

Cc: Singh, Devla <devla.singh@cpuc.ca.gov>; Deal, Matthew <matthew.deal@cpuc.ca.gov>

Sent: Wed Feb 09 17:04:01 2011 **Subject**: Clean Slate EE EM&V idea...

Pedro/Sid:

When we met to discuss the ongoing EE EM&V work that PPD has been dragged into tasked with, we closed with a discussion about each of the companies tackling the following question: If you had a clean slate to start from, what would an effective, transparent EE EM&V program look like? The idea here is to focus on what the goal of EM&V is – in the context of the overall EE programs here at the Commission – not to take a look at EM&V through the lens of an incentive mechanism. Make sense? I would like to see something from each company by Friday March 18. Sooner is always better, but that the 18th should provide sufficient time/flexibility to address the issues.

I have also copied Devla Singh on this note. As we mentioned in the meetings, Devla is going to run point on this project for PPD so she may be contacting each of you with follow up questions as we dig further into this.

-Matthew