

From: Fulcher, Jack  
Sent: 2/10/2011 10:00:57 AM  
To: Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=EBJ1)  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: PG&E's Reply to CCSF's and CARE's Protests on Advice 3170-G/3763-E

lol! Process this, Erik!

On the "exemption" point you make, maybe you've seen SoCalGas and SDG&E's advice letters asking to develop biogas purifying technologies under our Non-tariffed Products and Services program (Rule VII). In it, they repeat the part of the rule that specifies they have to, among other things, use a portion of the utility's excess capacity to provide the NTP&S. Exploiting whatever scale economies that are available in these assets is the purpose of this program. However, no excess capacity is identified in their proposal, and they apparently anticipate this problem because they ask that that requirement be waived for this project! Almost fell out of my chair. Chitzpah doesn't even begin to cover it.

Jack

**From:** Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) [mailto:EBJ1@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Thu 2/10/2011 9:23 AM  
**To:** Fulcher, Jack  
**Subject:** RE: PG&E's Reply to CCSF's and CARE's Protests on Advice 3170-G/3763-E

Hi Softie,

You must be thrilled that your remodel/nightmare is almost done.....peace and quite on the horizon at the homestead. Retirement with a large refrigerator does sound awfully nice!

I can't believe you are actually letting DRA file late.....given that you, of all people, are such a stickler for

process. Next thing you know, you will allow PG&E an exemption from the affiliate rules (deserved, of course, given our sterling record of equitable and equal treatment of all solar contractors).

I'll follow-up with you after our reply to schedule a meeting. Thanks, Erik

**From:** Fulcher, Jack [mailto:jack.fulcher@cpuc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 09, 2011 7:07 PM  
**To:** Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)  
**Subject:** RE: PG&E's Reply to CCSF's and CARE's Protests on Advice 3170-G/3763-E

Hi Erik. The Great Danville Remodel, or long national nightmare, is nearly complete. Years of therapy await my wife and me, but I feel I have grown by this experience. Grown increasingly irritated and touchy, that is; you have seen the evidence of this yourself. My only solace is that retirement, if it comes before sweet, merciful death to the tune of PG&E jackhammers, will be one of luxury and a very large refridgerator. If only I have the mental facilities left to be able to tell the difference.

As for protests, DRA has asked to be allowed to file a late protest tomorrow; being the softie you know me to be, I reluctantly agreed. Something about their lawyer having to take her husband to the emergency room and sit by him in the ICU for a few days. Sure. Anyway, expect something tomorrow. Don't know if they have anything more than what the existing protests have, especially the CCSF filing. So your reply may be mostly cut and paste, unless you think of something else.

As for a meet, it's ok with me. Molly is putting together a resolution to handle all of these advice letters, and has assigned a new analyst, Rachel Peterson, to help this. Rachel's new to the division and is a lawyer. Oh well, nobody's perfect.

Let's talk after your reply to DRA's filing, Erik. And yes, there's a lot of other stuff going on. We'll get there. Jack

**From:** Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel) [mailto:EBJ1@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Wed 2/9/2011 2:43 PM  
**To:** Fulcher, Jack  
**Subject:** RE: PG&E's Reply to CCSF's and CARE's Protests on Advice 3170-G/3763-E

Jack,

Now that we served the advice letter on the CSI docket and didn't get much in the way of protests, I'm wondering if we can put this issue to bed. Do you think it would be worthwhile for me to come over to meet with you and Molly to discuss next steps and any concerns you may still have? I could meet sometime on Friday, February 25<sup>th</sup> if that would work for you and Molly. I don't want to be a pest and if this is not something currently on your radar, perhaps we should defer the discussion for another month or so.

I hope your remodeling project is going well.

Erik

**From:** PG&E Tariffs

**Sent:** Thursday, January 13, 2011 1:49 PM

**To:** 'jnj@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'mas@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'jf2@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'Michael Boyd'; 'Austin.Yang@sfgov.org'

**Cc:** rick\_noger@praxair.com; keith.mccrea@sutherland.com; boudreauxk@calpine.com; jims@vea.coop; mmazur@3phasesrenewables.com; ej\_wright@oxy.com; douglass@energyattorney.com; allwazeready@aol.com; deana.white@sce.com; mike.montoya@sce.com; robert.keeler@sce.com; rkmoore@gswater.com; dgarber@sempra.com; jpacheco@sempra.com; lurick@sempra.com; tdarton@pilotpowergroup.com; wkeilani@semprautilities.com; srahon@semprautilities.com; igoodman@commerceenergy.com; tam.hunt@gmail.com; mtierney-lloyd@enernoc.com; nes@a-klaw.com; sue.mara@rtoadvisors.com; mflorio@turn.org; cec@cpuc.ca.gov; skh@cpuc.ca.gov; achang@nrdc.org; Mason, Cory (law); bcragg@goodinmacbride.com; jarmstrong@goodinmacbride.com; lcottle@winston.com; jeffgray@dwt.com; Middlekauff, Charles (Law); Huffman, Mark (Law); ssmyers@att.net; Cherry, Brian K; jchamberlin@strategicenergy.com; wbooth@booth-law.com; rfreeh123@sbcglobal.net; gmmorris@emf.net; lwisland@ucsusa.org; clyde.murley@comcast.net; wem@igc.org; janreid@coastecon.com; bdicapo@caiso.com; mgillette@enernoc.com; cmkehrein@ems-ca.com; mdoughto@energy.state.ca.us; blaising@braunlegal.com; abb@eslawfirm.com; jjg@eslawfirm.com; atowbridge@daycartermurphy.com; dansvec@hdo.net; jnelson@psrec.coop; porter@exeterassociates.com; ralphdennis@insightbb.com; Cynthia.Fonner@constellation.com; jimross@r-c-s-inc.com; gschott@rrienergy.com; tcarlson@rrienergy.com; william.tomlinson@el Paso.com; kjsimonsen@ems-ca.com; LPaskett@firstsolar.com; HYao@semprautilities.com; b.buchynsky@dgc-us.com; npedersen@hanmor.com; akbar.jazayeri@sce.com; case.admin@sce.com; cfpna@sempra.com; sfirooz@firstwind.com; ygross@sempraglobal.com; tcorr@sempraglobal.com; liddell@energyattorney.com; marcie.milner@shell.com; centralfiles@semprautilities.com; jleslie@luce.com; hvidstenj@kindermorgan.com; shawn\_cox@kindermorgan.com; dorth@krcd.org; ek@a-klaw.com; rsa@a-klaw.com; mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com; matthew@turn.org; kpp@cpuc.ca.gov; jeanne.sole@sfgov.org; stephen.morrison@sfgov.org; srovetti@sfgwater.org; amber@ethree.com; filings@a-klaw.com; mpa@a-klaw.com; nlong@nrdc.org; pmiller@nrdc.org; Gong, Alice; Zahariudakis, George; sls@a-klaw.com; joshdavidson@dwt.com; jfiebert@flk.com; bobgex@dwt.com; sdhilton@stoel.com; tmcaster@flk.com; vprabhakaran@goodinmacbride.com; cem@newsdata.com; Manheim, William (Law); ecrem@ix.netcom.com; RegRelCPUCCases; lex@consumercal.org; beth@beth411.com; kerry.hattevik@nrgenergy.com; jak@gepllc.com; rps-ca@coolearthsolar.com; andy.vanhorn@vhcenergy.com; kowalewska@calpine.com; dietrichlaw2@earthlink.net; ahaubenstock@brightsourceenergy.com; mrw@mrwassoc.com; cpucdockets@keyesandfox.com; sean.beatty@mirant.com; nrader@calwea.org; ahsanstad@lbl.gov; glbarbose@lbl.gov; philm@scdenergy.com; bmcc@mccarthylaw.com; sberlin@mccarthylaw.com; joyw@mid.org; brbarkovich@earthlink.net; tobinjmr@sbcglobal.net; e-recipient@caiso.com; brian.theaker@dynegy.com; lwhouse@innercite.com; mary.lynch@constellation.com; tpomales@arb.ca.gov; amber@iepa.com; Audra.Hartmann@dynegy.com; danielle@ceert.org;

ddavie@wellhead.com; jose@ceert.org; kdw@woodruff-expert-services.com;  
bernardo@braunlegal.com; steven@iepa.com; ferguson@braunlegal.com; bsb@eslawfirm.com;  
Shannon@consciousventuresgroup.com; Christine@consciousventuresgroup.com; kmills@cfbf.com;  
karen@klindh.com; jordan.white@pacificorp.com; californiadockets@pacificorp.com; ab1@cpuc.ca.gov;  
aeg@cpuc.ca.gov; bbc@cpuc.ca.gov; cce@cpuc.ca.gov; dbp@cpuc.ca.gov; edd@cpuc.ca.gov;  
eks@cpuc.ca.gov; jm3@cpuc.ca.gov; jf2@cpuc.ca.gov; kwh@cpuc.ca.gov; ltt@cpuc.ca.gov;  
mjs@cpuc.ca.gov; mc4@cpuc.ca.gov; mjd@cpuc.ca.gov; mwt@cpuc.ca.gov; unc@cpuc.ca.gov;  
mc3@cpuc.ca.gov; nlr@cpuc.ca.gov; psd@cpuc.ca.gov; ska@cpuc.ca.gov; rls@cpuc.ca.gov;  
smk@cpuc.ca.gov; svn@cpuc.ca.gov; seb@cpuc.ca.gov; vjb@cpuc.ca.gov; vsk@cpuc.ca.gov;  
cleni@energy.state.ca.us; dvidaver@energy.state.ca.us; Bjdurk@aol.com  
**Subject:** PG&E's Reply to CCSF's and CARE's Protests on Advice 3170-G/3763-E

**On Thursday, January 13, 2011, Pacific Gas and Electric Company filed the attached reply to the protests submitted by the City and County of San Francisco (CCSF) and Californians for Renewable Energy, Inc. (CARE) on Advice 3170-G/3763-E.**

**PGE Tariffs**  
**Fax: (415) 973-6520**