

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 2/3/2011 9:27:27 AM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]; Allen, Meredith
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe); Simon, Sean A.
(sean.simon@cpuc.ca.gov); [Redacted]
[Redacted]
Bcc:
Subject: RE: PG&E Data Response - AL 3741-E - *Confidential - Protected Under Decision
06-06-066 and Decision 08-04-023*

[Red] thanks for your response. Our team has a few follow-up questions which I have included below. Please follow-up with me if you have any questions.

Thanks

[Redacted]

1. In the advice letter AL 3741-E on page 8, PG&E states that [Redacted] Please provide information on the other bilaterals being offered to PG&E, such as resource type, project size, price, net market value, project viability score and any other information that will help us in our evaluation process.

2. What information does PG&E feel is permissible in the answer to data request question 1 to be included in the public section of the draft resolution?

3. With respect to PG&E's answer to question 7 in the data request, PG&E commented. [Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Can you please provide references

to the public statements that SCE relied upon to conclude there is no need for expansion of transmission capacity from Palo Verde/Hassayampa into California.

4. In the request for interconnection by Sempra Generation to CAISO dated July 29, 2010, Sempra Generation comments "Because the new switchyard will be a CAISO network facility, its cost (likely over \$30 million) ultimately will be borne by California power customers." If Sempra Generation receives approval from the CAISO for interconnection as a Pseudo-Participating Generator, Sempra comments in the same letter that it will construct a 500-kV switchyard as part of an interconnection requirement to qualify as a Participating Generator. In this scenario, given PG&E's knowledge of the various interconnection options, under what process will California power customers be responsible for the cost of the 500-kV switchyard?

From: [Redacted]

Sent: Tuesday, February 01, 2011 4:15 PM

To: Simon, Sean

A.; [Redacted]

Cc: [Redacted]

Subject:

PG&E Data Response - AL 3741-E - *Confidential - Protected Under Decision 06-06-066 and Decision 08-04-023*

Confidential - Protected Under Decision
06-06-066 and Decision 08-04-023

Sean,

Please find attached PG&E's confidential responses to Energy Division's data request sent January 25, 2011, concerning additional information for Advice Letter 3741-E, PG&E's power purchase agreement with SGS-1. Also attached is a confidentiality declaration and matrix, as well as the original data request itself.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Thank you.

Redacted

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Redacted

Response 1:

<<Sempra Mesquite_E-3741_DR_ED_001.doc>>

Response 2:

<<Sempra
Mesquite E-3741 DR ED 002.doc>>

Response 3:

<<Sempra Mesquite E-3741 DR ED 003.doc>>

Response 4:

<<Sempra
Mesquite E-3741 DR ED 004.doc>>

Response 5:

<<Sempra Mesquite E-3741 DR ED 005.doc>>

Response 6:

<<Sempra
Mesquite E-3741 DR ED 006.doc>>

Response 7:

<<Sempra Mesquite E-3741 DR ED 007.doc>>

Response 8:

<<Sempra
Mesquite_E-3741_DR_ED_008.doc>>

Confidentiality Declaration and Matrix:

<<Burns_declaration.DOC>> <<Copy of Data Response -- Confidentiality
Matrix.XLS>>

Original Data

Request:

<<Data_Request_E-3741.doc>>