

From: Simon, Sean A.  
Sent: 2/28/2011 4:50:20 PM  
To: [Redacted]; Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe); [Redacted]  
[Redacted]  
Cc: Simon, Jason (jason.simon@cpuc.ca.gov); Douglas, Paul (paul.douglas@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: PG&E Data Response - AL 3741-E - \*Confidential - Protected Under Decision 06-06-066 and Decision 08-04-023\*

PG&E,

The purpose of this email is to clarify any misunderstanding regarding data requests for PG&E's advice letter 3741-E.

**Please provide least-cost, best-fit (LCBF) evaluation for all bilateral offers under consideration during the pendency of PG&E's negotiations with Sempra Mesquite by Friday, March 4.** Once PG&E submits this information, staff will resume work on the draft resolution. Staff are available to discuss this request, if necessary.

The purpose of LCBF evaluation is to ensure that the utility is contracting with the best offers available, whether through an RFO or bilateral negotiation. To that end, PG&E states in the LCBF section of AL 3741-E that, "PG&E examined the reasonableness of the PPA using the same comparison tools that are used for RPS offers received in the 2009 RPS Solicitation and with other bilaterals currently being offered to PG&E." Through several data requests, Energy Division staff has attempted to obtain data that was not included in the advice letter, namely the "other bilaterals currently being offered to PG&E."

The information PG&E provided in this most recent data response, specifically the modified version of the August PDSR, does not provide the information needed to verify whether PG&E's decision to execute the Sempra contract meets the LCBF requirements. For example, price information is not included in the data. Also, it doesn't appear to be a comprehensive list of bilateral offers based on recent PRG presentations (e.g., see August and December 2010 PRG presentations).

In the future, for all advice letters please provide LCBF evaluation values, including but not limited to, price, resource adequacy value and project viability for all bilateral offers PG&E is considering at the time the advice letter is filed. PG&E should modify the advice letter template's bid analysis work papers as needed to provide this information.

Regards,

Sean

Sean A. Simon | Energy Division - Analyst | CA Public Utilities Commission | Tel (415) 703-3791

<http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/renewables>

**Confidentiality Notice:** The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and it may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us by telephone call at the number listed above.

**From:** Simon, Jason

**Sent:** Monday, February 28, 2011 8:53 AM

**To:** Simon, Sean A.

**Subject:** FW: PG&E Data Response - AL 3741-E - \*Confidential - Protected Under Decision 06-06-066 and Decision 08-04-023\*

**From:** [Redacted]  
**Sent:** Friday, February 25, 2011 4:31 PM  
**To:** Simon, Jason  
**Subject:** RE: PG&E Data Response - AL 3741-E - \*Confidential - Protected Under Decision 06-06-066 and Decision 08-04-023\*

Confidential - Protected Under Decision 06-06-066 and Decision 08-04-023

-----  
Jason,

Please find attached PG&E's responses to Energy Division's follow-up data request sent February 17, 2011, concerning additional information for Advice Letter 3741-E, PG&E's power purchase agreement with SGS-1. Also attached is a confidentiality declaration and matrix.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Thank you,

[Redacted]

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

[Redacted]

**From:** Simon, Jason [mailto:jason.simon@cpuc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 17, 2011 9:48 AM  
**To:** [Redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: PG&E Data Response - AL 3741-E - \*Confidential - Protected Under Decision 06-06-066 and Decision 08-04-023\*

[Redacted]

Thanks for your response. Can you please provide some additional clarity per the questions below. My apologies for giving you the wrong (re: question 1) info per our phone conversation a couple of weeks ago. Hopefully we are both near the end of the evaluation process on this project.

Cheers,

Jason

Question 1

Redacted

Question 2

None

Question 3

Redacted

Question 4

Redacted

Redacted

**From:** Redacted

**Sent:** Friday, February 11, 2011 4:49 PM

**To:** Simon, Jason

**Cc:** Kamins, Sara M.

**Subject:** RE: PG&E Data Response - AL 3741-E - \*Confidential - Protected Under Decision 06-06-066 and Decision 08-04-023\*

Confidential - Protected Under Decision 06-06-066 and Decision 08-04-023

-----  
Jason,

Please find attached PG&E's responses to Energy Division's follow-up data request sent February 3, 2011, concerning additional information for Advice Letter 3741-E, PG&E's power purchase agreement with SGS-1. Also attached is a confidentiality declaration and matrix.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Redacted

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

415-973-8466

**From:** Simon, Jason [mailto:jason.simon@cpuc.ca.gov]

**Sent:** Thursday, February 03, 2011 9:27 AM

**To:** Redacted

**Cc:** Redacted Simon, Sean A.; Allen, Meredith; Redacted

**Subject:** RE: PG&E Data Response - AL 3741-E - \*Confidential - Protected Under Decision 06-06-066 and Decision 08-04-023\*

Reda thanks for your response. Our team has a few follow-up questions which I have included below. Please follow-up with me if you have any questions.

Thanks

Jason

1. In the advice letter AL 3741-E on page 8, PG&E states that Redacted

Redacted

2. What information does PG&E feel is permissible in the answer to data request question 1 to be included in the public section of the draft resolution?

3. With respect to PG&E's answer to question 7 in the data request, PG&E commented

Redacted

4. In the request for interconnection by Sempra Generation to CAISO dated July 29, 2010,  
Sempra Generation comments [Redacted]

[Redacted]

**From:** [Redacted]

**Sent:** Tuesday, February 01, 2011 4:15 PM

**To:** Simon, Sean A.; Simon, Jason

**Cc:** [Redacted]

**Subject:** PG&E Data Response - AL 3741-E - \*Confidential - Protected Under Decision 06-06-066 and Decision 08-04-023\*

Confidential - Protected Under Decision 06-06-066 and Decision 08-04-023  
-----

Sean,

Please find attached PG&E's confidential responses to Energy Division's data request sent January 25, 2011, concerning additional information for Advice Letter 3741-E, PG&E's power purchase agreement with SGS-1. Also attached is a confidentiality declaration and matrix, as well as the original data request itself.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Thank you.

[Redacted]

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

[Redacted]

Response 1:

<<Sempra Mesquite\_E-3741\_DR\_ED\_001.doc>>

Response 2:

<<Sempra Mesquite\_E-3741\_DR\_ED\_002.doc>>

Response 3:

<<Sempra Mesquite\_E-3741\_DR\_ED\_003.doc>>

Response 4:

<<Sempra Mesquite\_E-3741\_DR\_ED\_004.doc>>

Response 5:

<<Sempra Mesquite E-3741 DR ED 005.doc>>

Response 6:

<<Sempra Mesquite E-3741 DR ED 006.doc>>

Response 7:

<<Sempra Mesquite E-3741 DR ED 007.doc>>

Response 8:

<<Sempra Mesquite\_E-3741\_DR\_ED\_008.doc>>

Confidentiality Declaration and Matrix:

<<Burns\_declaration.DOC>> <<Copy of Data Response -- Confidentiality Matrix.XLS>>

Original Data Request:

<<Data\_Request\_E-3741.doc>>