

From: Cherry, Brian K
Sent: 3/1/2011 5:25:09 PM
To: 'Brown, Carol A.' (carol.brown@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE:

Thanks Carol. I know these "little guys" don't always get the attention they deserve and Jeff is a good guy so I thought you could help. Thanks again.

Of course, I really need help too. The language in the Bonus Depreciation resolution about having to file an application for new investments above our revenue requirements targeted to "utilities that won't be filing a rate case soon (read PG&E)" will actually prevent us from moving forward with additional spend because of the uncertainty it now creates - which isn't your intent. It is gratuitous and undeserving - but if it stays in there, I will have a hard time convincing anyone in leadership to move forward with additional investment. Filing an application takes a long time and by the time it is approved and implemented, we will miss the investment window. No good deed goes unpunished. Also the reach back to the earlier bonus depreciation beginning Jan 1, 2010. When we settled with parties in the GRC settlement, we counted that uptick against our attrition number and now Perlstein wants to claim it. It's one sided and bad policy Carol.

From: Brown, Carol A. [mailto:carol.brown@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, March 01, 2011 5:22 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K
Subject: RE:

I did talk to him and our office is paying attention and will most probably write an alternate!
thanks

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 01, 2011 7:27 AM
To: Brown, Carol A.
Subject: Re:

Thanks Much

From: Brown, Carol A. [mailto:carol.brown@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Monday, February 28, 2011 10:19 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K
Subject: Re:

Happy to talk with him tomorrow

----- Original Message -----
From: Cherry, Brian K <BKC7@pge.com>

To: Brown, Carol A.
Cc: Jeffrey.Linam@amwater.com <Jeffrey.Linam@amwater.com>
Sent: Mon Feb 28 18:26:59 2011
Subject:

Carol - can you help out Jeff here ? CalAm is in an untenable situation where your help would be greatly appreciated. Can he call you tomorrow ?

From: Jeffrey.Linam@amwater.com [<mailto:Jeffrey.Linam@amwater.com>]Sent: Monday, February 28, 2011 05:51 PM
To: Cherry, Brian KSubject: Fw: ALJ Walwyn
Ruling on Monterey WRAM under-collection & Customer Notice

Brian,I hope you are doing well. I really appreciate all of your advice and support over these past months. I will be in SF for the month of April in settlement negotiations on our rate case and I will definitely plan some time to get together. I was planning to see about giving you a brief call tomorrow to talk about an issue of importance to our company. We have an untenable situation at the Commission and are having difficulty getting anyone to engage on it. I know that a large part of this is because of the turmoil at the Commission and no one wanting to do anything before the confirmation hearings, etc. But we have been undercollecting in our Monterey District to the tune of 30% per year. It is a combination of factors including rate design, demand forecasts and conservation. We undercollected 30% in 2010 and are on track to undercollect another 30% this year. We filed an application jointly with the other Class A water utilities to expedite the amortization of our fixed cost balancing accounts and Walwyn is causing tremendous problems for us. The case is assigned to Peevey but because he rarely if ever gets involved in water issues and, we continue to simply undercollect. Do you have any advice on how we can get Peevey or others to take notice? Walwyn is on her fourth pre-hearing conference and there is no end in site.