

From: Clark, Richard W.
Sent: 3/9/2011 12:11:41 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7);
Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC)
Cc: Lindh, Frank (frank.lindh@cpuc.ca.gov); San Bruno Gas Safety
(SanBrunoGasSafety@cpuc.ca.gov); Clanon, Paul (paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:
Subject: Clarifying our Expectations for March 15th

Brian and Trina:

Please come prepared to address the following during tomorrow's 3:00 PM meeting.

Staff believes it needs the following information from PG&E on or before March 15th:

1. We need to know the mile post numbers and segment numbers that reflect the locations of all of the segments of the 714 miles of pipes whose MAOP was set in accordance with the "grandfather" provisions of 49 CFR 192.619(c)
2. We need to know the mile post numbers and segment numbers that reflect the locations of all of the segments of pipe that do not have pressure test records and are known to PG&E to have "stable" threats, as defined by 49 CFR 192.917(e)(3) and 49 CFR 192.917(e)(4)
3. We need to know the mile post numbers and segment numbers that reflect the locations of all of the segments of their pipelines where they have concerns that those segments may contain pipe that has an unstable manufacturing defect threat.

Furthermore, Staff believes PG&E's March 15th submission should include the following:

1. We need to know all of PG&E's natural gas curtailment scenarios and the assumptions embedded in those scenarios.
2. We need a comprehensive set of maps that graphically display the beginning and ending mileposts locations for each segment of pipe detailed in items 1, 2 and 3 of the list above
3. We need an updated copy of the GIS database, in an Excel format, delivered contemporaneously with the results of their document review, and reflecting all changes made to the GIS database prior to their submission on March 15th

Thanks.

Richard W. Clark

Director

Consumer Protection & Safety Division