

From: Cherry, Brian K  
Sent: 3/17/2011 4:27:44 PM  
To: 'Peevey, Michael R.' (michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: LLNL Proposed Project Schedule

Hasn't worked as well as when you bug him.

**From:** Peevey, Michael R. [mailto:michael.peevey@cpuc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 17, 2011 4:16 PM  
**To:** Cherry, Brian K  
**Subject:** Re: LLNL Proposed Project Schedule

Pester Bruce.

**From:** Cherry, Brian K  
**To:** Peevey, Michael R.  
**Sent:** Thu Mar 17 13:54:57 2011  
**Subject:** FW: LLNL Proposed Project Schedule

I know we have more important matters at hand to deal with, but I thought Edison was on board the LLNL project. It now looks like they may have had a change of heart again. I will let you know when I hear back from them next week.

---

**From:** Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 17, 2011 1:39 PM  
**To:** Cherry, Brian K  
**Cc:** Dowdell, Jennifer  
**Subject:** RE: LLNL Proposed Project Schedule

Brian,

Jennifer and I met with Trista Berkovitz today and about the gas transmission and distribution modeling. She and her team see value in pursuing this modeling issue with LLNL and are willing to take the time to explore it further with LLNL. Jennifer will facilitate getting a meeting between our gas modelers and LLNL set up, but it won't happen for a couple of weeks because Trista's team is going to be 100% focused on conducting additional curtailment analyses once we get the order from the CPUC today to reduce pressure on more of our gas system.

We are also not able to schedule the P21 kick-off meeting with the 3 IOUs next week as we had proposed to you. While Sempra is cooperating and willing to meet next week, SCE is not willing to meet. SCE has not decided what type of commitment they are willing to make to the partnership and

they appear to be preoccupied with the nuclear issue. [Redacted] hopes to get some indication from [Redacted] the middle of next week about their level of involvement/commitment to P21. Once again we are in a holding pattern ---- this time waiting for SCE to get on board. We will continue to push this, but our proposed schedule is already slipping away.

Erik

---

**From:** Cherry, Brian K  
**Sent:** Monday, March 14, 2011 9:12 AM  
**To:** Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)  
**Cc:** Warner, Christopher (Law); [Redacted]; Marre, Charles; Dowdell, Jennifer; Yura, Jane; Johnson, Kirk; Horner, Trina  
**Subject:** RE: LLNL Proposed Project Schedule

I'm fine with this.

Erik and Jennifer - I want you to reach out to Jane's organization and sit down and discuss (this week) a scenario where HPC (High Performance Computing) would be used model the gas distribution system. Every model run takes on average 8 hours to run and this is sub-optimal. With the LLNL project, I suspect we could do it in minutes and with more precision.

---

**From:** Jacobson, Erik B (RegRel)  
**Sent:** Friday, March 11, 2011 5:04 PM  
**To:** Cherry, Brian K  
**Cc:** Warner, Christopher (Law); [Redacted]; Marre, Charles; Dowdell, Jennifer  
**Subject:** LLNL Proposed Project Schedule

Brian,

Attached is a proposed project schedule for the LLNL Partnership application. We have not circulated to the other IOUs for review and comment. The first step is for SCE and SDG&E to identify their project teams. Once this is done, we propose to have a kick-off meeting with the business leads (not just the regulatory teams) to get agreement on the milestones and schedule. Let us know if you have any comments. We would like to circulate to [Redact] and [Redact] on Monday.

Erik

<< File: Joint IOU LLNL Application Milestones v2.doc >>