



**Brian K. Cherry**  
Vice President  
Regulatory Relations

Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
77 Beale St., Mail Code B10C  
P.O. Box 770000  
San Francisco, CA 94177

415.973.4977  
Fax: 415.973.7226

**VIA EMAIL**

March 18, 2011 - **DRAFT**

Commission President Michael Peevey  
Commissioner Mike Florio  
Commissioner Catherine Sandoval  
Commissioner Timothy Alan Simon  
California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Draft Resolution L-411

Dear Commission President Peevey and Commissioners Florio, Sandoval, and Simon:

On March 8, I wrote to express PG&E's strong opposition to Draft Resolution L-411.<sup>1</sup> Since that time, PG&E has received a further revision of the draft resolution.<sup>2</sup> For the following reasons, PG&E urges the Commission to withdraw Draft Resolution L-411 in its entirety. By withdrawing the draft resolution, the Commission will enable utilities such as PG&E to begin the planning process necessary to make the hundreds of millions of dollars in additional investments that will strengthen our energy infrastructure, spur the economy, and add much-needed jobs to our state.

The fundamental purpose of bonus depreciation is to encourage businesses such as PG&E to invest in capital equipment. The Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010 ("New Tax Law") was signed by President Obama on December 17, 2010 and provides a 100% federal income tax deduction for capital investments placed in service by the end of 2011 and a 50% deduction for investments placed in service by the end of 2012.<sup>3</sup>

Time is of the essence. PG&E must begin work now to ensure that capital equipment is placed in service before the statutory deadlines. By creating uncertainty about the recoverability of additional infrastructure investments, Draft Resolution L-411 discourages PG&E from doing the planning, ordering, hiring, and other work necessary to take advantage of the New Tax Law's bonus depreciation provisions.

<sup>1</sup> PG&E's March 8 letter addressed the Fourth Draft Resolution, which was posted on the Commission's website on March 7 but was not circulated for public review or comment.

<sup>2</sup> The most recent revision does not appear to have been posted on the Commission's website or circulated for public review and comment.

<sup>3</sup> The 100% deduction may extend to 2012, and the 50% deduction may extend to 2013, for certain projects and costs.

In addition to discouraging future investment, Draft Resolution L-411 effectively penalizes PG&E and other utilities for taking advantage of prior bonus depreciation laws to the benefit of their customers. Specifically, by including the September 2010 bonus depreciation law within its scope, Draft Resolution L-411 unfairly seeks to capture the tax benefits of the September 2010 law without making compensating adjustments to recognize that utilities such as PG&E made additional capital investments in 2009 and 2010 to take advantage of bonus depreciation laws passed in 2008 and 2009 – additional investments that are not reflected in PG&E’s 2011 GRC rate base.

Finally, the process envisioned by Draft Resolution L-411 is simply unreasonable and unworkable.<sup>4</sup> By requiring PG&E and other utilities to file an application or advice letter in advance of making any capital investment to take advantage of the New Tax Law, Draft Resolution L-411 effectively defeats the purpose of the legislation and threatens to deprive customers of much-needed tax stimulus dollars. Notably, no other state that PG&E has surveyed has proposed anything similar to what the Legal Division is proposing here. Thus, the draft resolution will have a chilling effect on the ability of California utilities to take advantage of bonus depreciation, and more Federal stimulus dollars will flow to other states, creating more jobs in those states, more tax revenues for those states, a more robust recovery in those states, and improved utility infrastructure in those states. Meanwhile, California will be left behind.

For the foregoing reasons, PG&E urges the Commissioners to withdraw Draft Resolution L-411 in its entirety.

Very truly yours,

Brian Cherry  
Vice President – Regulatory Relations

cc: via e-mail –

<sup>4</sup> In its March 8 letter, PG&E stated that the Fourth Draft Resolution would require “that PG&E file an application or Tier 3 advice filing to identify specific projects, sources of funding, and need for the incremental projects.” At Legal Division’s request, PG&E hereby clarifies that the Fourth Draft Resolution does not contain the exact words quoted above, which were intended as a summary of the resolution’s requirements. The Fourth Draft Resolution specifically requires: “In general, we prefer that large utility infrastructure investment programs be presented to the Commission by means of an application” but “if a utility for which this resolution establishes a memorandum account wishes to use savings from the New Tax Laws to invest in additional, needed utility infrastructure, not otherwise funded in rates, within a time frame shorter than would be practicable by filing an application, the utility may file a Tier 3 advice letter” that “should explain, in addition to any other relevant points: (i) why the additional revenue requirement should be recorded in a memorandum account, rather than awaiting the approval of an application; (ii) the kinds of investments it intends to make and why those investments should be made promptly; (iii) the amount of additional investments it intends to make and the impact that will have on its revenue requirement; and (iv) how the proposed investment will in fact be funded with money made available by the bonus depreciation provisions of the New Tax Laws or money that otherwise might be refunded to ratepayers by means of the memorandum account created by this resolution.” (Resolution L-411, March 7 version, p. 6.)

Commissioners Peevey, Florio, Sandoval, and Simon

March 18, 2011

Page 3

Joel Perlstein, Esq., CPUC Legal Division

Paul Clanon, CPUC Executive Director

Karen Clopton, Chief ALJ

Rami Kahlon, Director, CPUC Division of Water and Audits

Marzia Zafar, CPUC Division of Water and Audits

Frank R. Lindh, CPUC General Counsel

Service List for Draft Resolution L-411

Craig Buchsbaum, Esq. (PG&E)