

From: Kalafut, Jennifer
Sent: 3/24/2011 2:55:20 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: Dowdell, Jennifer (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=JKD5);
[Redacted]
Peterson, Rachel A. (rachel.peterson@cpuc.ca.gov); Fujiwara, Kace (Intern)
(kfl@cpuc.ca.gov); Marks, Jaclyn (jaclyn.marks@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: 2nd Energy Division QF/R21 interconnection Meeting

Dear [Redacted]

Thanks for this response. Yes, let's proceed with the meeting on the 4th. It would be great if you could help me coordinate. Later in the afternoon would likely work best for us - 3pm or later. However, let me know when you and colleagues from Edison and SDG&E would be available. I can book a room at the CPUC and, if needed, a conference call-in line here. We understand that there may not be time to compile all the responses to the draft proposal by then.

I'll float the idea of another public meeting to my colleagues here and we can discuss on the 4th. We can also be in touch next week about an agenda for the 4th, I think we can keep it relatively simple. However, let's settle on a time first so that we can get that booked.

Best,

Jen

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, March 23, 2011 4:57 PM
To: Kalafut,
Jennifer
Cc: Dowdell, Jennifer; Fujiwara, Kace (Intern); Peterson,
Rachel A.; [Redacted]

Subject: RE: 2nd Energy Division QF/R21
interconnection Meeting

Jennifer,

We have been discussing your email here and agree that April 4, would be a good time to meet. However, we are not sure whether by that time we will have had a chance to compile all the responses from the QF community by that date, as it is Monday and 1 business day after our response deadline of March 31. Also, like you, we have some key people that can't make that date since many school spring breaks are occurring in this timeframe.

What we would like to propose is that we go ahead and meet on April 4, but that we also plan on have another of meeting somewhat thereafter. We are very interested in hearing your concerns and questions, and we may need to time to respond them as well so that is why it is important to proceed with the April 4. Also, the other IOUs would also like to participate. But we also believe if we present more information to you and DRA and perhaps the QF community on the FERC/CAISO new Generation Interconnection Process (GIP) process, this might allay the concerns we have heard so far. Perhaps a way to handle this would be to hold another public workshop perhaps at the Commission presenting these processes.

Let me know what you think of this idea. And if you want, I can send the links to the folks we are working with at the other IOUs or help you coordinate the April 4 meeting.

Thanks,

Redacted

PG&E

From: Kalafut, Jennifer
[mailto:jennifer.kalafut@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2011

2:45 PM

To: [Redacted]

Cc: Dowdell, Jennifer; Fujiwara, Kace (Intern); Peterson, Rachel A.; [Redacted]

Subject: RE:
2nd Energy Division QF/R21 interconnection Meeting

[Redacted]

Thank you for this follow-up.

We've had a chance to meet internally on PG&E's proposal and the comments from stakeholders coming out of the meeting yesterday. As we review the information, we continue to have several concerns and questions and would like to ask that we meet on this after you receive comments but before any additional work on the advice letter is taken as we are not clear if an advice letter is the most appropriate process in this case.

With that in mind, would you be available to meet on Monday, April 4th? I understand that this provides little time to review the comments received on the 31st but I will be out of the office starting on April 5th for two weeks. If you would prefer another time during the week of the 4th, that is not a problem. Jaclyn Marks and Rachel Peterson will both be here and can facilitate the meeting.

Thank you again.

Jennifer

From: [Redacted]

Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2011 2:14 PM

To: [Redacted];

Kalafut, Jennifer

Cc: Dowdell, Jennifer; Fujiwara, Kace (Intern); Peterson, Rachel A.; [Redacted]

Subject: RE: 2nd Energy

Division QF/R21 interconnection Meeting

Sorry, I am re-sending with the corrected email address for Kace.

Redacted

From: Redacted
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2011 2:12 PM
To: 'Kalafut, Jennifer'
Cc: Dowdell, Jennifer; 'kf@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'rp1@cpuc.ca.gov'; Redacted
Reda
Subject: 2nd Energy Division QF/R21 interconnection Meeting

Jennifer,

I just wanted to thank you for your participation at PG&E's QF interconnection presentation yesterday, and provide follow-up on a next meeting. Your input and comments were very valuable to us.

I will work over the next day or two regarding a follow-up meeting with the Commission, and get back to you with some proposed times for the next week or so.

Sincerely,

Redacted

Sr.
Regulatory Analyst
Pacific Gas & Electric Company

Redacted

Redacted

fax (415) Redacted

cell Reda

Redacted