

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 3/2/2011 9:32:12 AM
To: 'Simon, Sean A.' (sean.simon@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe); [Redacted]
[Redacted]
Bcc:
Subject: RE: pending Shell PPAs

Sean,

The valuation in AL 3583-E and 3609-E did not include any RA. This was a conservative assumption. PG&E received RA value from these transactions in 2010, and expects to get some RA value this summer. However, given capacity surpluses for 2010 and 2011, RA value for these years is relatively low. If PG&E had included RA value, it would have improved the valuation by approximately \$2/MWh.

[Redacted] worked on these transactions. Please send any additional questions to [Redacted] and Meredith.

[Redacted]

From: Simon, Sean A. [mailto:sean.simon@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Monday, February 28, 2011 12:40 PM
To: [Redacted]
Subject: pending Shell PPAs

[Redacted]

Can you explain to me why PG&E is not receiving any RA value from the seven pending Shell PPAs (AL 3583-E & 3609-E)?

Regards,

Sean

Sean A. Simon | Energy Division - Analyst | CA Public Utilities Commission | Tel (415)

703-3791

<http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/renewables>

Confidentiality Notice: The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and it may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us by telephone call at the number listed above.