

From: Clanon, Paul  
Sent: 3/3/2011 5:22:42 PM  
To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7);  
Johnson, Kirk (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=MKJ2)  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: Fwd: Reporter Question  
What's the deal with this?

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "Prosper, Terrie D." <[terrie.prosper@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:terrie.prosper@cpuc.ca.gov)>  
**Date:** March 3, 2011 8:18:39 PM EST  
**To:** "Clark, Richard W." <[richard.clark@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:richard.clark@cpuc.ca.gov)>, "Halligan, Julie" <[julie.halligan@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:julie.halligan@cpuc.ca.gov)>, "Stepanian, Raffy" <[raffy.stepanian@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:raffy.stepanian@cpuc.ca.gov)>, "Lee, Dennis M." <[dennis.lee@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:dennis.lee@cpuc.ca.gov)>  
**Cc:** "Clanon, Paul" <[paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov)>  
**Subject: Re: Reporter Question**

The reporter says that PG&E called him back and said they completed the project. We wouldn't have had to approve it?

The reporter says PG&E replaced pipe within about 10 houses of where it blew up, but didn't go to that spot.

----- Original Message -----

From: Clark, Richard W.  
To: Prosper, Terrie D.; Clark, Richard W.; Halligan, Julie; Stepanian, Raffy; Lee, Dennis M.  
Cc: Clanon, Paul  
Sent: Thu Mar 03 16:21:10 2011  
Subject: RE: Reporter Question

Hi Terrie - We would not have approved the project. He'll need to ask PG&E, or we can data request the info (which will take a few days).

Sent from my Windows Mobile® phone.

-----Original Message-----

From: Prosper, Terrie D. <[terrie.prosper@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:terrie.prosper@cpuc.ca.gov)>  
Sent: Thursday, March 03, 2011 4:15 PM  
To: Clark, Richard W. <[richard.clark@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:richard.clark@cpuc.ca.gov)>; Halligan, Julie <[julie.halligan@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:julie.halligan@cpuc.ca.gov)>; Stepanian, Raffy <[raffy.stepanian@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:raffy.stepanian@cpuc.ca.gov)>; Lee, Dennis

M. <[dennis.lee@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:dennis.lee@cpuc.ca.gov)>  
Cc: Clanon, Paul <[paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov)>  
Subject: Reporter Question

Hello,

The Mercury News is trying to find out today some information about Document 2-DW on the NTSB docket from the San Bruno pipeline accident. It's titled "1992 PG&E Geologic Hazard Evaluations" and it outlines plans by PG&E in 1993 to replace sections of line 132 and line 109 in San Bruno for seismic safety reasons. It's at:  
<http://www.nts.gov/Dockets/PipeLine/DCA10MP008/460223.pdf>

Two questions:

- 1) Did the CPUC ever approve this project? If so, are details in documents online?
- 2) Did PG&E ever construct it?

Any idea how I'd find out?

Thanks,

Terrie