From:	Baker, Amy C.
Sent:	3/21/2011 4:53:48 PM
To:	Redacted
Cc:	Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe); Simon, Sean A. (sean.simon@cpuc.ca.gov); Bhat, Suncheth (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=S2B9)
Bcc:	
Subject	RE: Updated PDSR
	T contracts have to come online within 18 months? I think that a reasonable estimate for COD. If not, let me know.
	a reasonable esamate for God at most, fee me know
From: Re	dacted
Sent: Mo	nday, March 21, 2011 4:36 PM
To: Bake C.	·
	Redacted
CC. AIICH	Meredith; ; Simon, Sean
A.	
A.	Meredith;; Simon, Sean RE: Updated PDSR
A.	
A. Subject:	
A. Subject: Hi	
A. Subject:	
A. Subject: Hi Amy, We can get this in	RE: Updated PDSR formation to you by tomorrow COB. However, please see the email neerning some of the data you requested not being
A. Subject: Hi Amy, We can get this in below con	RE: Updated PDSR formation to you by tomorrow COB. However, please see the email neerning some of the data you requested not being
A. Subject: Hi Amy, We can get this in below con available. Thanks,	RE: Updated PDSR formation to you by tomorrow COB. However, please see the email neerning some of the data you requested not being
A. Subject: Hi Amy, We can get this in below con available.	RE: Updated PDSR formation to you by tomorrow COB. However, please see the email neerning some of the data you requested not being
A. Subject: Hi Amy, We can get this in below coravailable. Thanks, Redacted	RE: Updated PDSR formation to you by tomorrow COB. However, please see the email neerning some of the data you requested not being
A. Subject: Hi Amy, We can get this in below con available. Thanks, Redacted Pacific Genergy	RE: Updated PDSR formation to you by tomorrow COB. However, please see the email neerning some of the data you requested not being as & Electric
A. Subject: Hi Amy, We can get this in below coravailable. Thanks, Redacted	RE: Updated PDSR formation to you by tomorrow COB. However, please see the email neerning some of the data you requested not being as & Electric

Hi Redacte

We can fill in Columns N - P and S - U. However, these contracts do not have a Guaranteed Commercial Operation Date, and they do not have to report Min or Max Energy. I can fill in the Actual COD for those Facilities already online. For those Facilities that are not online, the ECOD can be very

hard to determine because there are no reporting requirements in the contract.

I can have this by ${\tt COB}$ tomorrow. Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Velvet

From: Baker, Amy C.

[mailto:amy.baker@cpuc.ca.gov] **Sent:** Monday, March 21, 2011 2:40

PM F

To: Redacted

Cc: Allen, Meredith Redacted Redacted Simon, Sean A.

Subject: RE: Updated

PDSR

HiRedacted

Could you let me know when you plan to have the MW/GWh/COD/operational status/location columns for the FIT contracts filled in?

Thanks

Redacted

Sent: Friday, March 18, 2011 4:56 PM

To: Baker, Amy

C. Redacted
Cc: Allen, Meredith; Redacted
Subject: RE: Updated
PDSR
Thanks, Amy.
Yes, we'd appreciate to be able to work with you to
make this process easier. We really want to create an excellent product for you and for our internal uses.
for you and for our internal acco.
Here is the most recent PDSR. It contains additional
changes from Wednesday. Most are to conform with the template, but there
have been a few content changes that we uncovered as well.
Please let me know if you have any additional questions or
needs. As always, we are continuously striving to improve our
processes and data quality and any feedback is welcome.
Kind Regards,
Redact
od
Redacted
Pacific Gas & Electric
Energy
Proceedings
Redacted

From: Baker, Amy C.

[mailto:amy.baker@cpuc.ca.gov] **Sent:** Thursday, March 17, 2011 9:31

AM Redacted

Cc: Allen, Meredith Redac

Redacted

Subject: RE: Updated PDSR

Hi Beth,

Thank you for the quick turn around. I am happy to discuss changes next time.

If it's hard to re-configure the database, I'd recommend just re-naming everything in Excel. This can be accomplished by outputting the data from the database into Excel and inputting a simple formula to change the name to the PDSR standard. If you want me to walk you through that, I'm happy to do so, it should be fairly easy for me to figure out.

Amy Baker, Analyst

Renewable Procurement and Resource Planning

California Public Utilities Commission

415.703.1691

amy.baker@cpuc.ca.gov

http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/renewables

From: Redacted

Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2011 5:35 PM

To: Baker, Amy

C.

Cc: Allen, Meredith; Redacted

Hi Amy. Here is an updated PDSR with corrections relating to the PV scores. I have also gone in and changed the PPA status to fit the menu selection. I will continue reviewing all the drop downs to ensure consistency. As we are building our database to be able to replicate the PDSR template and menu drop down selections, is it possible that we learn of any changes for the next PDSR earlier rather than later? The feedback I've received is that it's not easy to reconfigure the interface each and every time the PDSR is issued. Perhaps we can meet next month to discuss? I am having everyone scrub their data, looking for relationships across data columns, etc. I will provide that version to you on Friday. Thanks much, Redacted Redacted Pacific Gas & Electric Energy Proceedings Redacted

From: Baker, Amy C.

Subject: Updated

PDSR

[mailto:amy.baker@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2011 10:16
AM
To:

Redacted
Allen, Meredith
Cc: Douglas, Paul;
Simon, Sean A.
Subject: Inconsistencies in PDSR
Importance:
High

Redacted

I came across an

inconsistency with the Bright Source contracts in the PDSR. The permitting column states that two of the contracts have completed permits, but the Project Viability score section states that they are data adequate but not complete. I am not sure if this is a problem with the specific contract manager or with the PDSR as a whole. PG&E should review the PDSR Project Viability scores to make sure that they are consistent with the rest of the spreadsheet.

PG&E should review the Project Viability scores and **report back by COB tomorrow on any corrections**. I came across this error because we actually use this information and need it to be correct.

In addition, it looks like PG&E is having substantial data quality control problems with this report. This has been a problem in the past and it needs to be addressed. PG&E should review the rest of the spreadsheet and report on any additional errors by the end of the week.

Amy

Amy Baker, Analyst

Renewable Procurement and Resource Planning

California Public Utilities Commission

415.703.1691

amy.baker@cpuc.ca.gov

http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/renewables