

From: Reg Rel SB Requests
Sent: 3/8/2011 11:47:36 AM
To: Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC);
Jordan, Lise (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=LHJ2);
'Robertson, Michael' (michael.robertson@cpuc.ca.gov); Reg Rel SB Requests
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=RegRelSBRequests); Redacted
Redacted
Cc: Eng, Terence (terence.eng@cpuc.ca.gov); SB Responder Group
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBResponderGroup)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: CPUC Data Request (CPUC_096)

Mr. Robertson,

We receive your e-mail this morning and will process your request today.

Thanks,

Redacted

Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Case Manager

Redacted

From: Robertson, Michael [mailto:michael.robertson@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, March 08, 2011 9:43 AM
To: Reg Rel SB Requests
Cc: Eng, Terence
Subject: Data Request

Data Request

1. Did PG&E exhaust all PUC-approved funds for its 1985 pipeline replacement program designated for San Bruno? How were portions of pipe determined to be replaced? What portions of pipe were replaced including mile point numbers?

2. What pipes, including mile point numbers, in San Bruno were replaced in 1993? Please describe the conditions of the pipes, and how they were determined to be replaced.

3. Subsequent to 1993, how were the remaining welds on Line 132 determined to be in satisfactory condition?

4. To our understanding, both north and south of the segment that failed in San Bruno were replaced. Is this correct?

Please send all documentation that may support these claims by March 11, 2011.

Michael Robertson

Program and Project Supervisor

(213) 576-7082