

From: Clark, Richard W.  
Sent: 3/16/2011 3:20:23 PM  
To: Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC)  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: Definition of "Backbone Lines"

OK. Probably good to get us the precise Line #'s that were included in the analysis.

**From:** Horner, Trina [mailto:TNHc@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 16, 2011 3:18 PM  
**To:** Clark, Richard  
W.; Cherry, Brian K  
**Cc:** San Bruno Gas Safety  
**Subject:** RE:  
Definition of "Backbone Lines"

I believe the answer is no but I'll verify and get back to you.

**From:** Clark, Richard W.  
[mailto:richard.clark@cpuc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 16, 2011  
3:17 PM  
**To:** Horner, Trina; Cherry, Brian K  
**Cc:** San Bruno Gas  
Safety  
**Subject:** Definition of "Backbone Lines"

Hi Brian and Trina -  
In PG&E's filing yesterday, lines 107, 114, 131 and 303 were included in the definition of "Backbone" lines (see footnote 2, page 15). Were these lines also included as "backbone" lines in the pressure reduction scenarios run by Jane and provided to us on March 11, 13 and 14?

**Richard W. Clark**

**Director**

**Consumer Protection & Safety  
Division**

**California Public Utilities  
Commission**

**415-703-2349  
(Office)**

**415-987-184  
(Cell)**