

From: Horner, Trina
Sent: 3/16/2011 3:27:01 PM
To: 'Clark, Richard W.' (richard.clark@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Definition of "Backbone Lines"

I think my last email addressed this; let me know if not.

From: Clark, Richard W. [mailto:richard.clark@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2011 3:20 PM
To: Horner, Trina
Subject: RE: Definition of "Backbone Lines"

OK. Probably good to get us the precise Line #'s that were included in the analysis.

From: Horner, Trina [mailto:TNHc@pge.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2011 3:18 PM
To: Clark, Richard W.; Cherry, Brian K
Cc: San Bruno Gas Safety
Subject: RE: Definition of "Backbone Lines"

I believe the answer is no but I'll verify and get back to you.

From: Clark, Richard W. [mailto:richard.clark@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2011 3:17 PM
To: Horner, Trina; Cherry, Brian K
Cc: San Bruno Gas Safety
Subject: Definition of "Backbone Lines"

Hi Brian and Trina - In PG&E's filing yesterday, lines 107, 114, 131 and 303 were included in the definition of "Backbone" lines (see footnote 2, page 15). Were these lines also included as "backbone" lines in the pressure reduction scenarios run by Jane and provided to us on March 11, 13 and 14?

Richard W. Clark
Director
Consumer Protection & Safety Division
California Public Utilities Commission
415-703-2349 (Office)

415-987-184 (Cell)