

From: Baker, Amy C.
Sent: 3/17/2011 9:31:12 AM
To: Redacted
Cc: Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe); Redac
Redacted
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Updated PDSR

Hi Beth,
Thank you for the quick turn around. I am happy to discuss changes next time.

If it's hard to re-configure the database, I'd recommend just re-naming everything in Excel. This can be accomplished by outputting the data from the database into Excel and inputting a simple formula to change the name to the PDSR standard. If you want me to walk you through that, I'm happy to do so, it should be fairly easy for me to figure out.

Amy Baker, Analyst
Renewable Procurement and Resource Planning
California Public Utilities Commission
415.703.1691
amy.baker@cpuc.ca.gov
<http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/renewables>

From: Redacted
Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2011 5:35 PM
To: Baker, Amy C.
Cc: Allen, Meredith; Redacted
Subject: Updated PDSR

Hi Amy,

Here is an updated PDSR with corrections relating to the PV scores. I have also gone in and changed the PPA status to fit the menu selection. I will continue reviewing all the drop downs to ensure consistency.

As we are building our database to be able to replicate the PDSR template and menu drop down selections, is it possible that we learn of any changes for the next PDSR earlier rather than later? The feedback I've received is that it's not easy to reconfigure the interface each and every time the PDSR is issued. Perhaps we can meet next month to discuss?

I am having everyone scrub their data, looking for relationships across data columns, etc. I will provide that version to you on Friday.

Thanks much,
Beth

Redacted

Pacific Gas & Electric
Energy Proceedings

Redacted

From: Baker, Amy C. [mailto:amy.baker@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, March 15, 2011 10:16 AM
To: [Redacted] Allen, Meredith
Cc: Douglas, Paul; Simon, Sean A.
Subject: Inconsistencies in PDSR
Importance: High

Beth,

I came across an inconsistency with the Bright Source contracts in the PDSR. The permitting column states that two of the contracts have completed permits, but the Project Viability score section states that they are data adequate but not complete. I am not sure if this is a problem with the specific contract manager or with the PDSR as a whole. PG&E should review the PDSR Project Viability scores to make sure that they are consistent with the rest of the spreadsheet.

PG&E should review the Project Viability scores and **report back by COB tomorrow on any corrections.** I came across this error because we actually use this information and need it to be correct.

In addition, it looks like PG&E is having substantial data quality control problems with this report. This has been a problem in the past and it needs to be addressed. PG&E should review the rest of the spreadsheet and report on any additional errors **by the end of the week.**

Amy

Amy Baker, Analyst
Renewable Procurement and Resource Planning
California Public Utilities Commission
415.703.1691
amy.baker@cpuc.ca.gov
<http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/renewables>