From:	Chan, Jovita
Sent:	3/29/2011 8:33:25 AM
To:	Weismehl, Philip S. (philip.weismehl@cpuc.ca.gov); Villegas, Pedro (PVillegas@semprautilities.com)
Cc:	Hughes, John (Reg Rel) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=J8HS); Brian.Prusnek@sce.com (Brian.Prusnek@sce.com)

Bcc:

## Subject: RE: MARCH25All Party Meeting Notice.doc

My apologies for Lee Schavrien's email address. I added s to it (<u>LSschavrien@SempraUtilities.com</u>). Unfortunately, I did not receive an undeliverable message yet. Thank you for your understanding.

Jovita Aguirre Chan Office of Commissioner Catherine J.K. Sandoval California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102 Phone: 415-703-2593; email: jac@cpuc.ca.gov

From: Villegas, Pedro [mailto:PVillegas@semprautilities.com]
Sent: Monday, March 28, 2011 6:41 PM
To: Weismehl, Philip S.
Cc: Brian.Prusnek@sce.com; 'Hughes, John (Reg Rel)'; Chan, Jovita
Subject: FW: MARCH25All Party Meeting Notice.doc

Hello Phil.

The Sempra Utilities just received the attached notice of the Wednesday all-party meeting this afternoon from the other utilities. Lee Schavrien's email was mis-typed, so I suspect that the notice was returned to sender.

We appreciate the Commissioner's holding the draft reso from last Thursday's meeting in order to examine this issue more thoroughly. I expect that other interested parties also would like to participate in the all-party meeting, but from a quick look at the notice below, there are many parties that are on the distribution list for the draft reso that were not noticed, Jack Hawks at CalWater for example. Was this sent separately to the larger group that has been involved in the draft reso?

In the case of the Sempra Utilities, the draft reso is closely related to our active GRC application, which is why we served Dan Skopec's letter on behalf of the Sempra Utilities to the GRC service list. I believe that the other utilities did likewise with their own letters on the draft reso. Without proper notice of all-party meeting to the GRC service list (and without herein discussing any relevant merits of our GRC application), we would be prevented on Wednesday from discussing the specific relationship between the GRC and the draft reso, which is presented in our letter and which the Commissioner may want to explore during the all-party meeting.

Also, might you have an agenda for the meeting? Should each party (representatives to be identified by 5:00 tomorrow) be prepared to present our respective positions in a couple of minutes each and then answer questions from the dais?

I wanted to also forward this to Ditas Katague, but her email has yet to post on the CPUC contacts roster. Apologies to Ditas in advance.

Thank you, Pedro

Pedro Villegas Manager of Regulatory Relations Sempra Energy Utilities 601 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 2060 San Francisco, California 94102-6316 Telephone: 415-202-9986

From: Chan, Jovita [mailto:jovita.chan@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Friday, March 25, 2011 4:48 PM

 To:
 mmattes@nossaman.com; steer@turn.org; rfinkelstein@turn.org; LSschavrien@SempraUtilities.com;

 akbar.jazayeri@sce.com;
 Redacted

 Cherry, Brian K; mschreiber@cwclaw.com; Lindh, Frank; Aguilar, Arocles;

 Weismehl, Philip S.; Sandoval, Catherine J.K.; Katague, Ditas; cathie.allen@pacificorp.com; Catherine Sandoval

Cc: Perlstein, Joel T.; Wetzell, Mark S.

Subject: MARCH25All Party Meeting Notice.doc

<<MARCH25All Party Meeting Notice R0602012.doc>>