

From: Clark, Richard W.
Sent: 3/15/2011 5:49:04 PM
To: San Bruno Gas Safety (SanBrunoGasSafety@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: FW: Additional Scenarios

From: Horner, Trina [mailto:TNHc@pge.com]
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2011 2:14 PM
To: Clanon, Paul; Clark, Richard W.; Halligan, Julie; Lindh, Frank
Cc: Yura, Jane
Subject: RE: Additional Scenarios

Hi again,

We're a little off our self-imposed noon timeframe but attached are some updated backbone numbers. Essentially, the backbone number of 73 is reduced to 53 (not 69), if you take out the Class 1 and 2 HCA miles. I also had described to me some examples of some of the Class 3 locations where these 53 miles are located, Rich. I'd be happy to describe them to you if you'd like.

Please let us know if you have any questions.
Thanks,
Trina <<Scenario analysis 3-13-11r.xls>>

From: Horner, Trina
Sent: Sunday, March 13, 2011 7:47 PM
To: 'pac@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'rwc@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'jmh@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'fri@cpuc.ca.gov'
Cc: Yura, Jane
Subject: RE: Additional Scenarios

All,

Jane and I wanted to follow up briefly on Rich's question about which of the backbone miles is located in a densely populated area. We did a quick check: all of the 69 miles of Class 3 and Class 4 backbone pipeline that are identified in this table, are Class 3. None is in Class 4, which is the most densely populated of the Class location definitions. That's the quick answer; we're trying to see if we can further break down/characterize more precisely the Class 3 locations of those 69 miles for you by tomorrow morning.

Another quick clarification from the discussion: the hydrotesting mileage identified for each of the Scenarios basically just represents the amount of pressure testing PG&E thinks would need to happen in order to bring back up to "full" pressure the lines by this coming winter, in each respective scenario. PG&E would not necessarily limit the pressure testing it would do this year, to those hydrotesting numbers listed.

These scenarios were pulled together quite quickly, and we may have some refinements to share with

you; if so, we will send them your way - and any other additional information regarding the "densly populated area" question -- by noon tomorrow.

Thanks,
Trina

From: Horner, Trina
Sent: Sunday, March 13, 2011 6:28 PM
To: 'pac@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'rwc@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'jmh@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'frl@cpuc.ca.gov'
Cc: Yura, Jane
Subject: Additional Scenarios

All,
For our 6:30 call.
Trina

<< File: Scenario analysis 3-13-11.xls >>