From: Zafar, Marzia

Sent: 4/1/2011 3:38:24 PM

To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7)

Cc:

Subject: RE: Estimated Incremental Economic Stimulus Spending Summary

hi.

just so you, I will forward this email to Joel and Galvin, too.

marz

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]

Sent: Friday, April 01, 2011 3:36 PM

To: Zafar, Marzia

Subject: RE: Estimated Incremental Economic Stimulus Spending Summary

Yes. Regarding the GRC, I'd love to make a showing that we spent \$800 million more in capital as a result of the bonus depreciation. We said that originally and you folks at the PUC said no - you wanted a memo account. We are made the formal commitment at the hearing the other day. I'm happy to put it in writing or have you guys issue a statement that confirms it. Just not crazy accounting that creates so much uncertainty that we decide to forego spending because of it.

From: Zafar, Marzia [mailto:marzia.zafar@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Friday, April 01, 2011 3:29 PM

To: Cherry, Brian K

Subject: RE: Estimated Incremental Economic Stimulus Spending Summary

so, for 80 cents the ratepayer gets a dollar worth of benefit because of the bonus depreciation? so, why not just propose or rather commit that in your next GRC you will show how the dollars from bonus depreciation is spent? I think if a utility makes a formal commitment to show that the dollars were spent back on ratepayers then we won't need this Resolution at all, right?

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]

Sent: Friday, April 01, 2011 3:25 PM

To: Zafar, Marzia

Subject: RE: Estimated Incremental Economic Stimulus Spending Summary

We WANT to spend the \$800 million in incremental money because it effectively gives customers \$1 in purchasing power for every 80-9- cents they spend. BUT we need a simple and clear process (no interference or funny accounting) to capture that spend.

From: Zafar, Marzia [mailto:marzia.zafar@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Friday, April 01, 2011 3:22 PM

To: Cherry, Brian K

Subject: RE: Estimated Incremental Economic Stimulus Spending Summary

got it. thanks

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]

Sent: Friday, April 01, 2011 3:19 PM

To: Zafar, Marzia

Subject: RE: Estimated Incremental Economic Stimulus Spending Summary

No. Wrong. Spending \$800 in cap ex will absorb the cash impact of bonus depreciation, which I believe is less. How much bonus effects the bottom line is much less (but I don't have the calculation of that). If we get all tied up in resolutions and we don't spend the money, the amount going back to the customer as a direct result of bonus depreciation is much less due to lack of manufacturers discount, other tax liabilities, etc.

From: Zafar, Marzia [mailto:marzia.zafar@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Friday, April 01, 2011 3:15 PM

To: Cherry, Brian K

Subject: RE: Estimated Incremental Economic Stimulus Spending Summary

oh okay, so the impact of the bonus depreciation for PG&E is about \$800 million, right? so, you're expecting to get \$800 million from the Federal government as a result of your capital investment and you are saying that you will in turn turn around and use that to make additional capital investments rather than pocket it or pay dividends or do something else? sorry for my use of regular language but that's the only way I understand things.

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]

Sent: Friday, April 01, 2011 3:11 PM

To: Zafar, Marzia

Subject: RE: Estimated Incremental Economic Stimulus Spending Summary

If we get the current resolution withdrawn, voted down, or revised to reflect what was said in Resolution Version 2, we are committed to spending \$800 in incremental capital investment to capture the impact of bonus depreciation.

From: Zafar, Marzia [mailto:marzia.zafar@cpuc.ca.gov]

Sent: Friday, April 01, 2011 3:08 PM

To: Cherry, Brian K

Subject: RE: Estimated Incremental Economic Stimulus Spending Summary

hi there,

so, I don't really understand what the attachment is saying... is PG&E going to spend \$800 million in the next two years on those projects regardless of the bonus depreciation or as a result of the bonus depreciation??

marz

From: Perlstein, Joel T.

Sent: Friday, April 01, 2011 2:49 PM

To: Lindh, Frank; Galvin, Michael J.; Zafar, Marzia

Subject: FW: Estimated Incremental Economic Stimulus Spending Summary

fyi

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]

Sent: Friday, April 01, 2011 8:04 AM

To: Aguilar, Arocles; Perlstein, Joel T.; Sandoval, Catherine J.K.

Cc: Buchsbaum, Craig (Law); Hughes, John (Reg Rel); Dore, Jay; Redacted

Subject: FW: Estimated Incremental Economic Stimulus Spending Summary

Catherine/Joel/Arocles - attached is the estimate of the types of spend and timelines for that spend that you requested. I will ask John Hughes to work with Joel and Arocles if you need additional information.

From: Dore, Jay

Sent: Friday, April 01, 2011 7:36 AM

To: Redacted ; Cherry, Brian K; Hughes, John (Reg Rel)

Cc: Buchsbaum, Craig (Law); Harvey, Kent M; Cherry, Sara A; Ha, Trung Q; Thomason, David S; Caron, Mark T; Garcia, Jenny

(Finance); Redacte

Subject: Estimated Incremental Economic Stimulus Spending Summary

Privileged and Confidential

Ann, Brian, and John:

Attached please find the updated one-page summary of estimated potential incremental economic stimulus spending. The summary includes review and edits by Ann, Redacted Bill Manheim, Alynn Delisle, Chuck Marre, Trung Ha, and Bruce Fraser.

Jay Dore | Economic & Project Analysis | PG&E | 415-973-3200 (O) | 415-517-8046 (C) |

<<2011-2012 Estimated Incremental Economic Stimulus v1.doc>>