

From: Clanon, Paul
Sent: 4/18/2011 10:23:37 AM
To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7);
Michelle Cooke (michelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC);
jmh@cpuc.ca.gov (jmh@cpuc.ca.gov); frl@cpuc.ca.gov (frl@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:
Subject: Re: Sempra report
Looping Michelle in.

On Apr 18, 2011, at 10:21 AM, "Cherry, Brian K" <BKC7@pge.com> wrote:

FYI. Internal feedback.

Also, see the last line regarding the permanent reduction. Can we do that too ?

From: Johnson, Kirk
Sent: Monday, April 18, 2011 9:01 AM
To: Cherry, Brian K
Cc: Yura, Jane; Arndt, William; Livingston, Randy; Malkin, Joseph M (Law); Bottorff, Thomas E
Subject: Sempra report

Brian, to follow up on our conversation this morning I went through my notes on the Sempra filing. Two key comments on Sempra's filing. Page 5 footnote 11. "Activities to Validate the MAOP for category 4 pipelines and pipeline segment are in progress"

Page 9 middle paragraph "SoCalGas and SDG&E did not validate the MAOP of any pipeline segments using the approach specified in the Safety Recommendation P-10-3." They go on to state in the next paragraph "This is a very difficult, if not infeasible, threshold to achieve and such a process could not be completed within the time allotted for this report.

Bottom line it does not appear that Sempra has completed any MAOP validation work.

Also Sempra did take credit for lowering the pressure by 20% of the MAOP. We need to understand if the staff would except the same from PG&E. With could be more than 75 miles for PG&E and could remove a significant amount of pipeline form the work we are currently doing to meet the "Compliance agreement"

Kirk