From: Peleo, Marion

Sent: 4/12/2011 3:06:52 PM

To: Redacted

Cc: Sabino, Pearlie Z. (pearlie.sabino@cpuc.ca.gov); Dowdell, Jennifer

(/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=JKD5)

Bcc:

Subject: RE: Joint Ex parte communications 4/7/11(PG&E, DRA, TURN, and Winston &

Strawn), & 4/8/11 (PG&E, DRA, and Winston & Strawn)

Hi Sally,

In the Colvin notice - page 1, 3rd para, "parties were interested in seeing the Settlement approved expeditiously" If there's time to add the "in," great, otherwise not a big deal. It also looks like Pearlie's suggestion to add "the PD" into that sentence didn't make it, but if there's not time to insert, I think the sentence on page 2 saying Kerry highlighted the significant record supporting the PD conveys our support for the PD.

Brown/Murtishaw notice - "Thursday" should be "Friday," and "in" and "the PD" should be inserted as in the above.

Thanks, please call me if you have questions.

Marion Peleo

703-2130

From: Redacted

**Sent:** Tuesday, April 12, 2011 12:06 PM

**To:** Peleo, Marion

Cc: Dowdell, Jennifer; Sabino, Pearlie Z.

Subject:

Joint Ex parte communications 4/7/11(PG&E, DRA, TURN, and Winston &

Strawn), & 4/8/11 (PG&E, DRA, and Winston &

Strawn)

For your review and approval. Note: Colvin and Kersten ex partes due filing today.

## Michael Colvin (Comr Ferron's office) 4pm -

4/7/11

<<4-7-11\_Colvin\_A0909013\_Joint ex parte.pdf>>

<<4-7-11\_Colvin\_A0909013 Joint ex parte Attachment 2.pdf>>

<<4-7-11\_Colvin\_A0909013 Joint ex parte

Attachment 1.pdf>>

## Colette Kersten (Comr Sandoval's office) 1pm appt

<u>- 4/7/</u>11

<<4-7-11\_Kersten\_A0909013\_Joint ex parte.pdf>> <<4-7-11 Kersten\_A0909013 Joint ex parte Attachment.pdf>>

Carol Brown and Scott Murtishaw (Pres Peevey's office) 9:30am - 4/8/11

<<4-8-11\_Murtishaw\_Brown\_A0909013\_Joint ex parte.pdf>> <<4-8-11\_Brown\_Murtishaw\_A0909013 Joint ex parte Attachment.pdf>>

Redacted

Regulatory Relations

Tel.#

Redacted