

From: Yura, Jane
Sent: 4/5/2011 10:26:09 AM
To: Kahlon, Gurbux (gurbux.kahlon@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Singh, Amrit P (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=APS5);
Fraser, Bruce (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BPF2)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Tuesday hearing re San Bruno incident in Sacto

Gurbux, will 11:30 work for you?
thx
Jane

From: Kahlon, Gurbux [mailto:gurbux.kahlon@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2011 10:25 AM
To: Yura, Jane
Cc: Fraser, Bruce; Singh, Amrit P
Subject: RE: Tuesday hearing re San Bruno incident in Sacto

I need to talk to one of you regarding the Pipeline 2020 program cost filing. A reporter says PG&E had said on March 28th that PG&E will make a filing in April. Please give me a call. Thanks.

*Gurbux Kahlon
Manager, Rate Regulation, Analysis and Policy Branch
Energy Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Ave, San Francisco Ca 94102
Tel: 415-703-1775
E-mail: gkk@cpuc.ca.gov*

From: Yura, Jane [mailto:JKY1@pge.com]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2010 6:24 PM
To: Kahlon, Gurbux
Cc: Fraser, Bruce; Singh, Amrit P
Subject: FW: Tuesday hearing re San Bruno incident in Sacto

Gurbux, here's the answer to your question on showing recorded costs for the Gas Transmission rate cases,
Jane

From: Fraser, Bruce
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2010 6:05 PM
To: Yura, Jane
Subject: RE: Tuesday hearing re San Bruno incident in Sacto

Jane:

We looked at the workpapers for 2005, 2008 and 2011 Gas Trans Cases. We found that for each application filed, we only show one recorded ("base") year data. For example, in the 2011 GT case we showed 2008 recorded data. In 2008 case we showed 2006 recorded data.

Bruce F.

From: Kahlon, Gurbux [mailto:gurbux.kahlon@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2010 4:07 PM
To: Yura, Jane
Cc: Singh, Amrit P
Subject: RE: Tuesday hearing re San Bruno incident in Sacto

Jane, one more quick question. As I recall, when you provided us the comparison of actual vs. authorized Gas Accord expenditures, you said that you pulled those numbers from various previous Gas Accord applications. From that I gathered that you provide a breakdown of actual expenditures authorized by the CPUC in the previous Gas Accord as part of your next Gas Accord application. Is it true that a breakdown of actuals is provided as part of each GA application? Trying to get it straight for Tuesday hearing. Thanks.

Gurbux

From: Yura, Jane [mailto:JKY1@pge.com]
Sent: Friday, October 15, 2010 12:58 PM
To: Kahlon, Gurbux
Cc: Singh, Amrit P
Subject: RE: Tuesday hearing re San Bruno incident in Sacto

Gurbux, Amrit left a message with Hudson Martin, the head of insurance for PG&E. I also left a message for Mark Sweeney, who is the legal expert on insurance for PG&E. Hopefully one or both of them are in today, and will give you a call. If you don't hear anything by Monday, please let me know, Jane

From: Kahlon, Gurbux [mailto:gurbux.kahlon@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Thursday, October 14, 2010 4:46 PM
To: Yura, Jane
Subject: Tuesday hearing re San Bruno incident in Sacto

Jane, I would like to talk to you regarding PG&E's existing insurance coverages to prepare for the Tuesday hearing in Sacto. Please give me a call. Thanks.