

From: Kahlon, Gurbux  
Sent: 4/5/2011 10:27:42 AM  
To: Yura, Jane (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=JKY1)  
Cc: Singh, Amrit P (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=APS5);  
Fraser, Bruce (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BPF2)  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: Tuesday hearing re San Bruno incident in Sacto

Sure. Thanks.

**From:** Yura, Jane [mailto:JKY1@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 05, 2011 10:26 AM  
**To:** Kahlon,  
Gurbux  
**Cc:** Fraser, Bruce; Singh, Amrit P  
**Subject:** RE:  
Tuesday hearing re San Bruno incident in Sacto

Gurbux, will 11:30 work for you?

thx

Jane

**From:** Kahlon, Gurbux  
[mailto:gurbux.kahlon@cpuc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 05, 2011  
10:25 AM  
**To:** Yura, Jane  
**Cc:** Fraser, Bruce; Singh, Amrit  
P  
**Subject:** RE: Tuesday hearing re San Bruno incident in Sacto

I need to talk to one of you regarding the Pipeline 2020 program cost filing. A reporter says PG&E had said on March 28th that PG&E will make a filing in April. Please give me a call. Thanks.

Gurbux  
Kahlon

Manager, Rate  
Regulation, Analysis and Policy Branch

Energy  
Division

California Public  
Utilities Commission

505 Van Ness Ave, San  
Francisco Ca 94102

Tel:  
415-703-1775

E-mail: [gkk@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:gkk@cpuc.ca.gov)

**From:** Yura, Jane [mailto:JKY1@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2010 6:24 PM  
**To:** Kahlon,  
Gurbux  
**Cc:** Fraser, Bruce; Singh, Amrit P  
**Subject:** FW:  
Tuesday hearing re San Bruno incident in Sacto

Gurbux, here's the answer to your question on showing  
recorded costs for the Gas Transmission rate cases,

Jane

**From:** Fraser, Bruce  
**Sent:** Friday,  
October 15, 2010 6:05 PM  
**To:** Yura, Jane  
**Subject:** RE: Tuesday  
hearing re San Bruno incident in Sacto

Jane:

We looked at the workpapers for 2005, 2008 and 2011 Gas Trans Cases. We found that for each application filed, we only show one recorded ("base") year data. For example, in the 2011 GT case we showed 2008 recorded data. In 2008 case we showed 2006 recorded data.

Bruce  
F.

**From:** Kahlon, Gurbux  
[mailto:gurbux.kahlon@cpuc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2010  
4:07 PM  
**To:** Yura, Jane  
**Cc:** Singh, Amrit P  
**Subject:**  
RE: Tuesday hearing re San Bruno incident in Sacto

Jane, one more quick question. As I recall, when you provided us the comparison of actual vs. authorized Gas Accord expenditures, you said that you pulled those numbers from various previous Gas Accord applications. From that I gathered that you provide a breakdown of actual expenditures authorized by the CPUC in the previous Gas Accord as part of your next Gas Accord application. Is it true that a breakdown of actuals is provided as part of each GA application? Trying to get it straight for Tuesday hearing. Thanks.

Gurbux

**From:** Yura, Jane [mailto:JKY1@pge.com]  
**Sent:** Friday, October 15, 2010 12:58 PM  
**To:** Kahlon,  
Gurbux  
**Cc:** Singh, Amrit P  
**Subject:** RE: Tuesday hearing re  
San Bruno incident in Sacto

Gurbux, Amrit left a message with Hudson Martin, the head of insurance for PG&E. I also left a message for Mark Sweeney, who is the legal expert on insurance for PG&E. Hopefully one or both of them are in today, and will give you a call. If you don't hear anything by Monday, please let me know,

Jane

**From:** Kahlon, Gurbux  
[mailto:gurbux.kahlon@cpuc.ca.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 14, 2010  
4:46 PM  
**To:** Yura, Jane  
**Subject:** Tuesday hearing re San Bruno  
incident in Sacto

Jane, I would like to talk to you regarding PG&E's existing insurance coverages to prepare for the Tuesday hearing in Sacto. Please give me a call.  
Thanks.