From: Skala, Pete

Sent: 4/12/2011 5:31:43 AM

To: Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd); Redacted Redacted Redacted Dietz, Sidney (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4)

Cc: Lai, Peter (peter.lai@cpuc.ca.gov); Drew, Tim G. (tim.drew@cpuc.ca.gov)

Bcc:

Subject: RE: EE Workpaper Meeting

Grant, et al,

We kept Peter Lai scrambling on other fire drills on his first day back yesterday, so were not able to wrap up our recommendations on these workpapers.

We should have something to you today or tomorrow.

Thanks for your patience.

Pete

From: Redacted	
Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2011 3:55 PM	
To: Skala, Pete;	
Ramaiya, Shilpa R; Redacted	
Cc: Lai, Peter; Drew, Tim G.; <u>Dietz</u> , Sidney; Bresko, Sarah; Redacted	Redacted Redact
Reda	

Subject: RE: EE Workpaper Meeting

Pete-

Thanks for the heads up and hearing our concerns today, we look forward to working out a solution on workpapers which will be acceptable to all parties.

I agree that it wouldn't be helpful to get back the same comments on the TV workpaper, so PG&E does not object to delaying the ED response for TVs. When do you think we could expect the ED's comments?

Thanks again,

Redacted P.E.
Manager, Technical Product Support
Pacific Gas & Electric Company
P.O. Box 770000, mailcode N6G
San Francisco CA 94177
Redacted
Redacted
Redacted

From: Skala, Pete [mailto:pete.skala@cpuc.ca.gov] Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2011 2:57 PM To: Ramaiya, Shilpa R; Redacted Redac Cc: Lai, Peter; Drew, Tim G.; Dietz, Sidney Subject: RE: EE Workpaper Meeting

Shilpa, Brian, and Grant,

Thanks again for coming over and explaining some of your concers re: our conditional approval of your laptops and printers workpaper. We will mull over some of the questions we came out of the meeting with and get back to you shortly.

In the meantime, the Ph 2 calendar for the ES5, etc., TV workpaper would require us to provide you comments by COB today, but our resoultion of the laptop/printer issue will also impact how we handle the TV workpaper, so I am wondering if you would object to our holding off on this for a few days? Let me know if you are ok with this -- otherwise I will plan to post something that will look very familiar to you at this point...

Thanks!

Pete Skala Program Manager -- Demand-Side Management Branch CPUC Energy Division (415) 703-5370 (office) (415) 577-8576 (mobile)

Pete, Matthew,

I'd like to schedule a meeting
with you this week to discuss the recent
'conditional approval' of
our new EE workpaper for
laptops and printers. After our
hopeful view that the CPUC
supported expansion of EE to new products in a timely
manner, we were disappointed with the Energy Division response that prevents us

from moving forward.

As discussed in Energy Division's response, 'conditional approval' requires us to conduct a one-year study on the program before counting any savings. We do not believe the cost of the study is justified given the (comparatively small) amount of savings associated with these products and are re-considering whether to offer this product at all. Further, while we appreciate the ability to collaborate further, 'conditional approval' is not consistent with the CPUC's intent to lock down savings values using the best available data (which we used in constructing the workpaper).

PG&E submitted the workpaper under the Energy Division's Phase 2 process on January 26 and received 'conditional approval' on March 30, after much discussion with Energy Division. We followed the Energy Division's Phase 2 workpaper process for new mid-cycle products (this is not covered in the pending ex ante lockdown PFM so no need to worry about potential conflicts).

Matthew – This is relevant to the EM&V issues PPD has been tasked with and may be informative for you.

Can you please let me know your availability for a one-hour meeting? Tuesday and Friday AM are best.

Thanks.

Shilpa