

From: Horner, Trina
Sent: 4/21/2011 8:13:06 PM
To: 'michelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov' (michelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Letters re: hydrotesting

Thanks, Michelle. I know you mentioned this at some point but frankly it got lost in my swirl of stuff the last couple of days. We Need time to process internally. Bill or I will get back to you tomorrow, ok?

-----Original Message-----

From: Cooke, Michelle
To: Horner, Trina
Subject: Letters re: hydrotesting
Sent: Apr 21, 2011 6:58 PM

Trina- we plan to post our letters about PG&E's hydrotesting plan (4/8 and 4/13) and the PG&E responses to the letters (4/13 and 4/20) on our San Bruno website, as we have been doing regularly. I would like to honor the request that we not post the excel spread sheet with the dates and milepost markers from the first letter (4/13) but I'm not sure how we can do that legitimately, I've been able to hold it off for a bit, but we are now at the point where it would be beneficial to have them available publicly. I assume it is okay to post the maps that were provided, I assume that information is publicly obtainable from the web already, but want to verify. This would allow people to know where the tests are, but not the schedule. In the second letter (4/20) it looks like we have the same issue re the schedule.

If we post the letters without the attachments, it will almost certainly result in an immediate Public Records Act request which we will need to honor because it isn't really anything that falls under the confidential rationale.

Benefits of posting, critics of the testing plan will know the details (the 1.5 MAOP testing protocol) and that should dispel some of the criticism.

Downside, you guys haven't made contact with locals in all cases yet. (this is not a compelling argument over these ways...)

Thoughts? Want to prepare folks?

Michelle Cooke
Interim Deputy Executive Director for Safety
415 703 2637
mlc@cpuc.ca.gov