From: Cooke, Michelle

Sent: 4/14/2011 10:32:47 PM

To: Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC)

Cc:

Bcc:

Subject: FW: MAOP

Got your message. I've asked the for who is point on this, will report back tomorrow.

**From:** Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]

**Sent:** Thursday, April 14, 2011 4:58 PM To: Clanon, Paul; Cooke, Michelle

Cc: Lindh, Frank Subject: RE: MAOP

Thanks. I will have Trina follow up.

**From:** Clanon, Paul [mailto:paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov]

**Sent:** Thursday, April 14, 2011 4:30 PM To: Cherry, Brian K; Cooke, Michelle

Cc: Lindh, Frank Subject: RE: MAOP

Michelle's working with the CPSD people to get the feedback/approval. Should work with her on this.

From: Cherry, Brian K [mailto:BKC7@pge.com]

**Sent:** Thursday, April 14, 2011 3:04 PM

To: Clanon, Paul Cc: Lindh, Frank Subject: MAOP

Paul - we have internal parties who are claiming they can't make the August deadline because the PUC hasn't approved our MAOP validation process. Could we get a letter from you stating that the MAOP examples we provided you earlier (in January and March) are consistent with your directives? If not, it looks like we will send you guys a letter stating it. I'd prefer not to do that if we could get something in writing from you guys quicker. Thoughts?