

From: Kahlon, Gurbux
Sent: 4/27/2011 10:06:43 AM
To: Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Speier Inquiry from March 4, 2011- no response yet?

Great!

-----Original Message-----

From: Horner, Trina [mailto:TNHc@pge.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 27, 2011 9:45 AM
To: Kahlon, Gurbux
Subject: RE: Speier Inquiry from March 4, 2011- no response yet?

Thanks, we'll get you something today.

-----Original Message-----

From: Kahlon, Gurbux [mailto:gurbux.kahlon@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, April 27, 2011 9:30 AM
To: Horner, Trina
Subject: FW: Speier Inquiry from March 4, 2011- no response yet?

This is what I was talking about.

-----Original Message-----

From: Randolph, Edward F.
Sent: Wednesday, April 27, 2011 9:00 AM
To: Fitch, Julie A.; Chia, Dan
Cc: Halligan, Julie; Clark, Richard W.; Cooke, Michelle; Stepanian, Raffy; Robertson, Michael; Myers, Richard A.; Kahlon, Gurbux; Cadenasso, Eugene
Subject: Re: Speier Inquiry from March 4, 2011- no response yet?

Looping Dan in.

Sent from a "smartphone" which helps us communicate but makes us illiterate.

Edward Randolph

On Apr 27, 2011, at 8:54 AM, "Fitch, Julie A." <julie.fitch@cpuc.ca.gov> wrote:

> OGA represented to Richard Myers last week that they never officially
> responded, just had some phone conversations. Looping in Richard,

> Gurbux, and Eugene. This is the first I've actually ever seen of this
> particular inquiry. There was another similar one, but it was slightly

> differently worded and targeted, it seemed to me. I am hoping that
> Eugene knows enough at least to provide overall responses based on his

> research into this so far. Also, Richard or Eugene, what was the
> timing of the further data request response you were expecting from
> PG&E? Is it already in, and can it shed any light on these questions,
if you know?
> Thanks.
>
> Julie
>
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Halligan, Julie
> Sent: Wednesday, April 27, 2011 8:52 AM
> To: Clark, Richard W.; Cooke, Michelle; Stepanian, Raffy; Randolph,
> Edward F.; Robertson, Michael; Fitch, Julie A.
> Subject: Re: Speier Inquiry from March 4, 2011- no response yet?
>
> ED prepared the information but OGA prepared the response. OGA should

> have it.
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Clark, Richard W.
> Sent: Wednesday, April 27, 2011 08:49 AM
> To: Cooke, Michelle; Clark, Richard W.; Halligan, Julie; Stepanian,
> Raffy; Randolph, Edward F.; Robertson, Michael; Fitch, Julie A.
> Subject: RE: Speier Inquiry from March 4, 2011- no response yet?
>
> I think Energy Division did this response.
>
> Sent from my Windows Mobile(r) phone.
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Cooke, Michelle <michelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov>
> Sent: Wednesday, April 27, 2011 8:22 AM
> To: Clark, Richard W. <richard.clark@cpuc.ca.gov>; Halligan, Julie
> <julie.halligan@cpuc.ca.gov>; Stepanian, Raffy
> <raffy.stepanian@cpuc.ca.gov>; Randolph, Edward F.
> <edward.randolph@cpuc.ca.gov>; Robertson, Michael
> <michael.robertson@cpuc.ca.gov>
> Subject: Speier Inquiry from March 4, 2011- no response yet?
>
> Hi all- today is the Town Hall meeting Speier is doing in San Bruno.
> In email traffic related to this with Paul Clanon, Ed Randolph, and

> Commissioner Florio, Richard Steffen has represented that their office
> has not received a response to a March 4, 2011 inquiry, which is
> pasted below.
> Did we respond? If so, please provide the response to me so we can
> follow up with Speier's office. If there was no response, please
> assign someone to prepare a response ASAP and let me know who that is.
> Thank you.
> Michelle

> _____
>

> From: Steffen, Richard [mailto:Richard.Steffen@mail.house.gov]
> Sent: Friday, March 04, 2011 6:26 PM
> To: Clanon, Paul; Clark, Richard W.
> Subject: request from Rep. Speier

>
>
>

> Paul/Richard: We need to know if PG&E's used all approved funding
> for its 1985 pipeline replacement program in San Bruno. Today's
> Mercury News reports that PG&E stopped replacing pipe 300 yards from
> the rupture site.

>
>
>

> Rep. Speier would like to know if PUC-approved funds for PG&E for
> this program were exhausted, given that the plan was for replacement
> of all pipes in San Bruno? Also, if the pipes were removed in 1993,
> did PG&E note the condition of the pipes? I have read a PG&E document
> that says the remaining welds on Line 132 were fine. How did PG&E know
that?

>
>
>

> Jackie would like a response as soon as possible.

>
>
>

> Thank you,

>
>
>

> Richard Steffen
> District Director
> Office of Congresswoman Jackie Speier (CA-12)
> (o) (650) 342-0300; (c) (650) 722-8213

>
>
>

> <<http://speier.house.gov/>> <http://speier.house.gov/>

>
>
>

- > <<http://twitter.com/RepSpeier>>
- > <<http://www.youtube.com/user/JackieSpeierCA12>>
- > <<http://www.facebook.com/JackieSpeier>> <<http://speier.house.gov/>>
- >
- >
- >
- > Michelle Cooke
- > Interim Deputy Executive Director for Safety
- > 415 703 2637
- > mlc@cpuc.ca.gov
- >