

From: Stock, William
Sent: 4/1/2011 10:28:20 AM
To: 'Clark, Richard W.' (richard.clark@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Pipeline Segments Scheduled for Priority 2 and 3 MAOP Validation

Thanks. Will do.

From: Clark, Richard W. [mailto:richard.clark@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Friday, April 01, 2011 10:27 AM
To: Stock, William
Subject: RE: Pipeline Segments Scheduled for Priority 2 and 3 MAOP Validation

I'm on a conference call until 1045 or so, then on the road to San Bruno. Probably best to call my cell at 1100hrs.

From: Stock, William [mailto:WCS3@pge.com]
Sent: Friday, April 01, 2011 10:16 AM
To: Clark, Richard W.
Subject: RE: Pipeline Segments Scheduled for Priority 2 and 3 MAOP Validation

Rich:

Thank you. I will pass this right along. Also, I am going to call you in a minute on another issue which is related to Line 109.

Bill

From: Clark, Richard W. [mailto:richard.clark@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Friday, April 01, 2011 9:50 AM
To: Stock, William; Cherry, Brian K; Horner, Trina
Cc: Halligan, Julie; San Bruno Gas Safety
Subject: RE: Pipeline Segments Scheduled for Priority 2 and 3 MAOP Validation

Hi Bill - I appreciate the need to be precise. In fact I just noticed an error in my previous e-mail (I didn't include priority 4 pipe). So - here's what I'm looking for:

Attachment A to the March 24th stipulation filing states that there are 152 miles of Priority 1 MAOP Validation pipe, 295 miles of Priority 2, 206 miles of Priority 3 and 52 miles of Priority 4. I'd simply like an Excel table, like the one at page 16 of PG&E's March 15th filing, which gives us the same detail with respect to the balance of the pipe you have scheduled for testing or replacement. I can appreciate that you might

not yet have all of the information relative to the " # of Tests" or "Proposed Actions" columns, but I expect you have "Route No.", "Miles Targetted" and "Pipe Miles to Be Tested/Replaced".

Thanks.

Rich

From: Stock, William [mailto:WCS3@pge.com]
Sent: Friday, April 01, 2011 8:32 AM
To: Clark, Richard W.; Cherry, Brian K; Horner, Trina
Cc: Halligan, Julie
Subject: RE: Pipeline Segments Scheduled for Priority 2 and 3 MAOP Validation

Rich:

I spoke with the people who would do the work of creating the tables you are seeking. They are not sure what you mean by Priority 2 and 3 work. They think this will be quite an undertaking and they want to ensure that they know exactly what you want. They can then determine how long it will take.

Thanks in advance for the clarification.

Bill

From: Clark, Richard W. [mailto:richard.clark@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, March 30, 2011 4:13 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K; Horner, Trina; Stock, William
Cc: San Bruno Gas Safety
Subject: Pipeline Segments Scheduled for Priority 2 and 3 MAOP Validation

Good afternoon. I have found the table on page 16 of PG&E's March 15th filing to be very helpful in having conversations with your staff relative to hydro testing, replacement and possible interim pressure reductions on Priority 1 MAOP Validation segments. Would you please provide us with similar tables for the Priority 2 and 3 segments? Please respond to this request with an estimate of when you will be able to provide that information. Thank you.

Richard W. Clark
Director
Consumer Protection & Safety Division
California Public Utilities Commission
415-703-2349 (Office)
415-987-184 (Cell)

