

From: Bottorff, Thomas E  
Sent: 4/25/2011 2:03:38 PM  
To: Clanon, Paul (paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov); 'Lindh, Frank' (frank.lindh@cpuc.ca.gov)  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: Mike and Lee

That's exactly what I was thinking.

-----Original Message-----

From: Lindh, Frank [mailto:frank.lindh@cpuc.ca.gov]  
Sent: Monday, April 25, 2011 2:01 PM  
To: Clanon, Paul; Bottorff, Thomas E  
Subject: RE: Mike and Lee

The only "F" word I remember was "Frank," as in "Frank thinks this is a good idea, too!"

-----Original Message-----

From: Clanon, Paul  
Sent: Monday, April 25, 2011 1:58 PM  
To: 'Bottorff, Thomas E'  
Cc: Lindh, Frank  
Subject: RE: Mike and Lee

We just took out all the f-words Frank inserted, and we were good to go.

-----Original Message-----

From: Bottorff, Thomas E [mailto:TEB3@pge.com]  
Sent: Monday, April 25, 2011 1:44 PM  
To: Clanon, Paul  
Cc: Lindh, Frank  
Subject: RE: Mike and Lee

Paul,  
This is great; thanks.  
Tom

-----Original Message-----

From: Clanon, Paul [mailto:paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov]  
Sent: Monday, April 25, 2011 1:36 PM  
To: Bottorff, Thomas E  
Cc: Lindh, Frank  
Subject: RE: Mike and Lee

Our release will be a statement by Mike:

"I commend PG&E Corporation for their action today. It is the right thing to do and is in the best interest of their customers, particularly given the difficult economic times and the tenuous economic recovery now underway. I am hopeful that this is the first step in a series of pro-consumer actions that will signal a new chapter for PG&E as it works to rebuild trust and confidence with its customers and the general public."

-----Original Message-----

From: Clanon, Paul  
Sent: Monday, April 25, 2011 11:13 AM  
To: Bottorff, Thomas E  
Cc: Lindh, Frank  
Subject: Re: Mike and Lee

I'll check on scheduling with Mike's office.

We'd issue our release immediately after you release yours. We'll have a near-final draft soon.

On Apr 25, 2011, at 11:05 AM, "Bottorff, Thomas E" <TEB3@pge.com> wrote:

> Paul,  
> Mike mentioned that he would be in Sacramento on the third, so we  
> rescheduled the meeting between Mike and Lee for the 4th.  
>  
> Lee is available from 11 am through the afternoon. Please let me know  
  
> what time works best for Mike.  
>  
> Also, we plan to formally issue our press release on Peter's  
> compensation at 1:30. Do you know how soon the CPUC's statement would  
  
> follow?  
> Tom  
>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Clanon, Paul [<mailto:paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov>]  
> Sent: Friday, April 22, 2011 4:04 PM  
> To: Bottorff, Thomas E  
> Cc: Frank Lindh  
> Subject: Re: Mike and Lee  
>

> Thanks, Tom.  
>  
>  
>

> On Apr 22, 2011, at 3:27 PM, "Bottorff, Thomas E" <TEB3@pge.com>  
wrote:

>

>> Paul,  
>> I'm sure Lee will be fine providing the number. I just thought on  
> this  
>> issue a face-to-face meeting was more appropriate. I'll speak with  
> Lee  
>> and send the number when I get it.  
>> Tom  
>>  
>> -----Original Message-----  
>> From: Clanon, Paul [<mailto:paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov>]  
>> Sent: Friday, April 22, 2011 2:48 PM  
>> To: Bottorff, Thomas E  
>> Cc: Frank Lindh  
>> Subject: Mike and Lee  
>>  
>> Mike says yes to May 3. Suggest a time and I'll have Nuria block it  
> off.  
>>  
>>  
>> Mike still would like a phone number. "It's a courtesy" he says. I  
>> let  
>  
>> him know about your discussions with Lee.  
>>  
>>