

From: Kahlon, Gurbux  
Sent: 4/27/2011 9:29:49 AM  
To: Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC)  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: FW: Speier Inquiry from March 4, 2011- no response yet?

This is what I was talking about.

-----Original Message-----

From: Randolph, Edward F.  
Sent: Wednesday, April 27, 2011 9:00 AM  
To: Fitch, Julie A.; Chia, Dan  
Cc: Halligan, Julie; Clark, Richard W.; Cooke, Michelle; Stepanian, Raffy; Robertson, Michael; Myers, Richard A.; Kahlon, Gurbux; Cadenasso, Eugene  
Subject: Re: Speier Inquiry from March 4, 2011- no response yet?

Looping Dan in.

Sent from a "smartphone" which helps us communicate but makes us illiterate.  
Edward Randolph

On Apr 27, 2011, at 8:54 AM, "Fitch, Julie A." <julie.fitch@cpuc.ca.gov> wrote:

> OGA represented to Richard Myers last week that they never officially  
> responded, just had some phone conversations. Looping in Richard,  
> Gurbux, and Eugene. This is the first I've actually ever seen of this  
> particular inquiry. There was another similar one, but it was slightly

> differently worded and targeted, it seemed to me. I am hoping that  
> Eugene knows enough at least to provide overall responses based on his

> research into this so far. Also, Richard or Eugene, what was the  
> timing of the further data request response you were expecting from  
> PG&E? Is it already in, and can it shed any light on these questions,  
if you know?

> Thanks.

>

> Julie

>

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Halligan, Julie

> Sent: Wednesday, April 27, 2011 8:52 AM  
> To: Clark, Richard W.; Cooke, Michelle; Stepanian, Raffy; Randolph,  
> Edward F.; Robertson, Michael; Fitch, Julie A.  
> Subject: Re: Speier Inquiry from March 4, 2011- no response yet?  
>  
> ED prepared the information but OGA prepared the response. OGA should

> have it.

>

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: Clark, Richard W.

> Sent: Wednesday, April 27, 2011 08:49 AM

> To: Cooke, Michelle; Clark, Richard W.; Halligan, Julie; Stepanian,

> Raffy; Randolph, Edward F.; Robertson, Michael; Fitch, Julie A.

> Subject: RE: Speier Inquiry from March 4, 2011- no response yet?

>

> I think Energy Division did this response.

>

> Sent from my Windows Mobile(r) phone.

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Cooke, Michelle <michelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov>

> Sent: Wednesday, April 27, 2011 8:22 AM

> To: Clark, Richard W. <richard.clark@cpuc.ca.gov>; Halligan, Julie

> <julie.halligan@cpuc.ca.gov>; Stepanian, Raffy

> <raffy.stepanian@cpuc.ca.gov>; Randolph, Edward F.

> <edward.randolph@cpuc.ca.gov>; Robertson, Michael

> <michael.robertson@cpuc.ca.gov>

> Subject: Speier Inquiry from March 4, 2011- no response yet?

>

> Hi all- today is the Town Hall meeting Speier is doing in San Bruno.

> In email traffic related to this with Paul Clanon, Ed Randolph, and

> Commissioner Florio, Richard Steffen has represented that their office

> has not received a response to a March 4, 2011 inquiry, which is

> pasted below.

> Did we respond? If so, please provide the response to me so we can

> follow up with Speier's office. If there was no response, please

> assign someone to prepare a response ASAP and let me know who that is.

> Thank you.

> Michelle

>

>

> From: Steffen, Richard [<mailto:Richard.Steffen@mail.house.gov>]

> Sent: Friday, March 04, 2011 6:26 PM

> To: Clanon, Paul; Clark, Richard W.

> Subject: request from Rep. Speier

>

>

>  
> Paul/Richard: We need to know if PG&E's used all approved funding  
> for its 1985 pipeline replacement program in San Bruno. Today's  
> Mercury News reports that PG&E stopped replacing pipe 300 yards from  
> the rupture site.  
>  
>  
>  
> Rep. Speier would like to know if PUC-approved funds for PG&E for  
> this program were exhausted, given that the plan was for replacement  
> of all pipes in San Bruno? Also, if the pipes were removed in 1993,  
> did PG&E note the condition of the pipes? I have read a PG&E document  
> that says the remaining welds on Line 132 were fine. How did PG&E know  
that?  
>  
>  
>  
> Jackie would like a response as soon as possible.  
>  
>  
>  
> Thank you,  
>  
>  
>  
> Richard Steffen  
> District Director  
> Office of Congresswoman Jackie Speier (CA-12)  
> (o) (650) 342-0300; (c) (650) 722-8213  
>  
> <<http://speier.house.gov/>> <http://speier.house.gov/>  
>  
>  
>  
> <<http://twitter.com/RepSpeier>>  
> <<http://www.youtube.com/user/JackieSpeierCA12>>  
> <<http://www.facebook.com/JackieSpeier>> <<http://speier.house.gov/>>  
>  
>  
>  
> Michelle Cooke  
> Interim Deputy Executive Director for Safety  
> 415 703 2637  
> [mlc@cpuc.ca.gov](mailto:mlc@cpuc.ca.gov)  
>