

From: Simon, Sean A.
Sent: 4/27/2011 4:04:15 PM
To: Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Memo re 2010 Vintage RECs

Meredith,

I had a couple follow up questions. Just to be clear, these questions are informal staff to staff and not part of any implementation of SB 2. There is no real due date for this, but I am interested to better understand PG&E's legal analysis we discussed at the April meeting.

1/ Under the existing 20% RPS rules, does PG&E believe that it can bank any procurement from 2010 to 2011 if PG&E has no surplus procurement in 2010? If so, please identify the statutory language or Commission orders that support this position.

2/ If PG&E does have surplus procurement in 2010, does PG&E believe that under SB 2 it can carry forward surplus generation from 2010 to 2011? If so, please identify the supporting statute or Commission orders that support this position.

Regards,

Sean

Sean A. Simon | Energy Division - Analyst | CA Public Utilities Commission | Tel (415) 703-3791

<http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/renewables>

Confidentiality Notice: The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and it may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us by telephone call at the number listed above.

From: Allen, Meredith [mailto:MEAe@pge.com]
Sent: Sunday, April 10, 2011 8:35 PM
To: Simon, Sean A.
Subject: Memo re 2010 Vintage RECs

Sean,

Attached is the memo regarding 2010 vintage RECs for our discussion tomorrow. Our attorney does plan to attend the meeting.

Thanks,

Meredith