

From: Mackin, Dina S.
Sent: 4/29/2011 11:08:43 AM
To: Ramaiya, Shilpa R (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SRRd); don.arambula@sce.com (don.arambula@sce.com); [Redacted]; [Redacted]; Athena Besa (ABesa@semprautilities.com)
Cc: shahana.samiullah@sce.com (shahana.samiullah@sce.com); Work michelle thomas (Michelle.Thomas@sce.com); Pierre Landry (Pierre.Landry@sce.com); Phillip Toth (Phillip.Toth@sce.com)
Bcc:
Subject: Re: Meeting with Goals/Potential Study Consultants

Hi all,

I spoke with Navigant, and they would like to set a meeting with you all for next Thursday 5/5 from 11-1. Does that work for you? I told them that I would share their proposal with you, but keep in mind that it was their initial stab that the project and we have since been clarifying the needs of the portfolio guidance proceeding with them and so they are currently thinking about how to incorporate these adjustments. I shared with Floyd some initial priorities I have heard from you:

- Potential Study should still be a bottom up analysis with enough detail to be useful to the for portfolio planning
- Strategic Plan should be incorporated into Goals Study

I believe that their proposal addresses these issues well; let me know if there are other major areas of concern you have for the overall study. However, given the enormous complexity task, I do want to shield Navigant from a certain degree of picking apart the methodology, so that they can get the project completed and get the other parties' buy in.

Dina Mackin

**Energy Efficiency
Planning**

**California Public Utilities
Commission**

415-703-2125