

From: Roberts, Thomas
Sent: 4/21/2011 11:21:36 AM
To: Gleicher, Cliff (SmartMeter) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=CJGf);
Nwamu, Chonda (Law) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=CJN3);
marcel@turn.org (marcel@turn.org); Dietz, Sidney
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SBD4); Danforth, Christopher
(christopher.danforth@cpuc.ca.gov); mtoney@turn.org (mtoney@turn.org); Gupta,
Aloke (aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov); [Redacted]
[Redacted] erich@enernex.com (erich@enernex.com); Meadows, James L
(/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=J7M2); [Redacted]
[Redacted]
Cc: David.Hungerford@energy.ca.gov (David.Hungerford@energy.ca.gov)

Bcc:
Subject: Re: SmartMeter Technology Advisory Panel Meeting

Thanks,

I'll check.

From: Gleicher, Cliff (SmartMeter) [mailto:CJGf@pge.com]
Sent: Thursday, April 21, 2011 11:19 AM
To: Roberts, Thomas; [Redacted] Gupta, Aloke; Danforth, Christopher;
mtoney@turn.org; erich@enernex.com; Marcel@turn.org; Nwamu, Chonda (Law); Dietz, Sidney;
Meadows, James L; [Redacted]
Cc: David.Hungerford@energy.ca.gov
Subject: RE: SmartMeter Technology Advisory Panel Meeting

Sent Pursuant to NDA and PU Section 583

Tom,

I believe that this letter actually is in the formal record of several regulatory proceedings. If memory serves, it's part of PG&E's Opposition to the EMF Network Application for Rehearing as well as the Californians for Renewable Energy proceeding (I don't recall if it's part of the record in the Wilner case, too).

Best,

Cliff

From: Roberts, Thomas [mailto:thomas.roberts@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, April 20, 2011 9:37 PM
To: Gleicher, Cliff (SmartMeter); [Redacted] Gupta, Alope; Danforth, Christopher; mtoney@turn.org; erich@enernex.com; Marcel@turn.org; Nwamu, Chonda (Law); Dietz, Sidney; Meadows, James L; [Redacted]
Cc: David.Hungerford@energy.ca.gov
Subject: RE: SmartMeter Technology Advisory Panel Meeting

Cliff,

I have seen this letter before, but I believe we got at the same time as another letter which was less definitive. With that said, don't you think a compelling letter such as this should be aired in a public CPUC forum where it would help quell concerns about RF impacts? Don't you think putting Richard Tell and Cindy Sage in the same room, in a public workshop, would also help?

Tom

-----Original Message-----

From: Gleicher, Cliff (SmartMeter) [mailto:CJGf@pge.com]
Sent: Wed 4/20/2011 9:01 PM
To: [Redacted] Gupta, Alope; Roberts, Thomas; Danforth, Christopher; mtoney@turn.org; erich@enernex.com; Marcel@turn.org; Nwamu, Chonda (Law); Dietz, Sidney; Meadows, James L; [Redacted]
[Redacted]
Cc: David.Hungerford@energy.ca.gov
Subject: RE: SmartMeter Technology Advisory Panel Meeting

Sent Pursuant to NDA and PU Section 583

Attached is a copy of the letter that the FCC wrote to Cindy Sage about RF and SmartMeters.

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2011 6:16 PM
To: Gleicher, Cliff (SmartMeter); [Redacted]
'aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'thomas.roberts@cpuc.ca.gov';
'CTD@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'David.Hungerford@energy.ca.gov'; 'mtoney@turn.org';
'erich@enernex.com'; 'Marcel@turn.org'; Nwamu, Chonda (Law); Dietz,
Sidney; Meadows, James L
Subject: RE: SmartMeter Technology Advisory Panel Meeting

All,

For those calling in to the meeting tomorrow, the number is

Redacted

For those signing an NDA, please see the enclosure.

Hope to see or speak to you tomorrow at 10.

Redacted

From: Gleicher, Cliff (SmartMeter)
Sent: Wednesday, April 13, 2011 6:15 PM
To: Redacted 'aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov';
'thomas.roberts@cpuc.ca.gov'; 'CTD@cpuc.ca.gov';
'David.Hungerford@energy.ca.gov'; 'mtoney@turn.org';
'erich@enernex.com'; 'Marcel@turn.org'; Nwamu, Chonda (Law); Dietz,
Sidney; Meadows, James
Cc: Redacted
Subject: Re: SmartMeter Technology Advisory Panel Meeting

All,

Thanks for agreeing to attend PG&E's next TAP meeting this coming Friday morning (and my apologies to those who can't make this time slot), during which we will focus on PG&E's recently-filed meter-choice application. With a regulatory case initiated and discovery open, we believe the TAP discussion will benefit if the content of the meeting is confidential. In that case, like the January 27th meeting, we propose to move forward with the understanding that (a) the meeting is subject to PU Section 583 (for those who are in fact subject to Section 583) and (b) those who are not subject to Section 583 will sign an NDA. Please let us know if this presents any problems for anyone.

Thanks,
Cliff Gleicher

From: Redacted
Sent: Friday, April 08, 2011 04:38 PM
To: Redacted
'aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov' <aloke.gupta@cpuc.ca.gov>; 'Roberts, Thomas'
<thomas.roberts@cpuc.ca.gov>; 'Danforth, Christopher' <CTD@cpuc.ca.gov>;

'David.Hungerford@energy.ca.gov' <David.Hungerford@energy.ca.gov>;
'mtoney@turn.org' <mtoney@turn.org>; 'erich@enernex.com'
<erich@enernex.com>; 'Marcel@turn.org' <Marcel@turn.org>; Gleicher,
Cliff (SmartMeter); Nwamu, Chonda (Law); Dietz, Sidney; Meadows, James L
Cc: Vasquez, Susana
Subject: SmartMeter Technology Advisory Panel Meeting

TAP members:

Thank you for your responses on your availability. The next meeting of the SmartMeter™ Technology Advisory Panel is scheduled for Friday, April 15, from 10:00 a.m. to 11:30 a.m. The meeting will be held at PG&E's 77 Beale Street offices, in Conference Room 303. Susy Vasquez will escort you through security and to the conference room. If you prefer to join by phone, please let me know and I'll provide a call-in number.

The agenda will focus on PG&E's recently filed Application 11-03-014 for a Customer Choice Proposal.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Redacted

SmartMeter™ Operations
Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Redacted

Mail code B8Q
San Francisco, CA 94105-1814

Redacted