- 1 SAN BRUNO, CALIFORNIA, APRIL 5, 2011
- 2 5:00 P.M.
- 3 \* \* \* \* \*
- 4 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE BUSHEY: The
- 5 Commission will come to order.
- 6 This is the time and place set for
- 7 the public participation hearing in
- 8 Rulemaking 11-02-019.
- 9 Good evening. I am Administrative
- 10 Law Judge Maribeth Bushey, the assigned
- 11 administrative law judge to this proceeding.
- 12 To get started this evening the
- 13 assigned Commissioner will make a brief
- 14 statement.
- 15 Commissioner.
- 16 COMMISSIONER FLORIO: Thank you.
- 17 My name is Mike Florio. I am the
- 18 assigned Commissioner in this proceeding. I
- 19 have recently joined the Commission about two
- 20 months ago. And I want to assure everyone
- 21 that as the assigned Commissioner I am
- 22 personally and professionally committed to
- 23 making sure that the horrible tragedy that
- 24 occurred here on September 9th of last year
- 25 never happens again.

26 I knew and worked with Jacki Greig,
27 one of the victims of the explosion and fire,
28 for probably about 20 years. And while I'm
196

1 sure it's nothing compared to what her family

2 has experienced, all the people that worked

3 with Jacki over all those years feel her loss

4 every day because she was, as many of you

5 know, one of our leading experts on natural

6 gas regulation. So her loss is particularly

7 felt as we launch this proceeding.

8 We came here to San Bruno. There is

9 no other place to start the kind of effort

10 that we're undertaking here to thoroughly

11 review how pipeline safety is regulated in

12 California and try to establish a new

13 standard not only for California but for the

14 whole country on how natural gas pipelines

15 are regulated.

16 We have a companion proceeding

17 that's looking into PG&E's past conduct.

18 This proceeding is focused on how pipeline

19 safety should be assured going forward.

20 I also would like to note that we

21 received a letter I believe just this morning

22 from Congresswoman Jackie Speier that had

23 some very specific suggestions for actions

24 that we should take in the coming months to

25 increase pipeline safety, and we will be

26 taking that letter and circulating it for

27 formal comment by all of the parties, a very

28 substantive set of recommendations that we

197

- 1 will take to heart as we go forward in this
- 2 proceeding.

- 3 And with that, I'll turn it over to
- 4 my fellow Commissioners for any comment.

5 COMMISSIONER FERRON: Thank you very

6 much.

7 My name is Mark Ferron, and I'm the

8 newest Commissioner to the California Public

9 Utilities Commission. I have been here for

10 about two weeks.

11 I just want to say three very brief

12 things.

13 First of all, I would like to offer

14 my personal condolences to those who lost

15 loved ones and to the people of San Bruno who

16 had their homes destroyed and the community

17 upended.

18 Secondly, today I am a listener. I

19 am here to listen fully to your concerns.

20 And finally, we owe it to the people of San Bruno and the people of California to 21 investigate this terrible event fully and 22 completely and to identify all of the factors 23 24 that went into this tragedy. I believe that 25 we here on the Commission as well as the management and staff of the companies that 26 27 operate the pipelines have the obligation to 28 do everything in our power to address any

198

1 shortcomings immediately and to ensure that

- 2 this will never happen again.
- 3 Thank you.

4 COMMISSIONER SIMON: Good evening. My

5 name is Timothy Alan Simon, and I have served

6 on this Commission now for over four years.

7 Clearly, the events surrounding the

8 tragic explosion on September 9th have been a

9 very difficult challenge for this Commission.

10 As Commissioner Florio stated, not only did

11 we lose a member of our CPUC family, that

- 12 being Jacqueline Greig and her beautiful
- 13 daughter Janessa who attended the high school
- 14 that I am an alumni of, Saint Ignasius, but

15 we are still grappling with so many issues

16 regarding safety and recordkeeping and other

- 17 factors that are germane to this case.
- 18 I was raised, as the crow flies,
- 19 probably about two to three miles from here,
- 20 the very southern border of San Francisco.
- 21 So the San Bruno community has always been
- 22 close and near and dear to me.
- 23 This is due process this evening.
- 24 We, as Commissioner Ferron said, want to hear
- 25 your thoughts, your concerns, your
- 26 complaints, your vision. That's why we are
- 27 here. And that is critical.
- 28 I just wanted to state a couple of
  - 199
- 1 ancillary matters. I am the assigned
- 2 Commissioner on the gas transmission and
- 3 storage general rate case of PG&E, and we
- 4 will have a safety phase of that case. And I
- 5 will be working in coordination with
- 6 Commissioner Florio and his office in this
- 7 regard.

 $\Box$ 

- 8 I also serve as the Chair of the Gas
- 9 Committee for the National Association of
- 10 Regulatory Utility Commissioners. And we
- 11 have had two national panels on the gas
- 12 transmission and distribution safety. And I
- 13 also attended the NTSB hearings or at least

- 14 one day in that regard. I also served on the
- 15 National Petroleum Council.
- 16 I am pointing this out because even
- 17 in this capacity and advising Secretary Chu
- 18 on the resources here in the United States
- 19 and Canada, safety is a top priority in our
- 20 ability to deal with the important
- 21 transportation of this commodity.
- 22 So I want to commend Commissioner
- 23 Florio and my fellow Commissioners Sandoval
- 24 and Ferron with their leadership and tenacity
- 25 in this arena. And we look forward to doing
- 26 exactly what we were appointed to do, serve
- 27 you in this capacity.
- 28 Thank you.

- 1 COMMISSIONER SANDOVAL: Thank you all
- 2 very much for being here.
- 3 My name is Commissioner Catherine
- 4 Sandoval. I joined the Commission in January
- 5 and am honored to have the opportunity to
- 6 serve the public in this capacity.
- 7 First of all, I wanted to thank you
- 8 for your participation and to reiterate that
- 9 we are here to hear you, and we are here to
- 10 serve you. And we really look forward to

- 11 your comments about what we can do to improve
- 12 gas pipeline safety and to ensure that the
- 13 public is better served.
- 14 Of course, first and foremost, I
- 15 want to say that my heart goes out, my heart
- 16 bled that night for the people of San Bruno,
- 17 and I offer not only my prayers and my
- 18 deepest condolences, but I want to offer my
- 19 work. Anything that I can do or my staff can
- 20 do to prevent this from happening again we
- 21 are committed to doing.
- 22 I had the opportunity, along with my
- 23 chief of staff Ditas Katague and Colette
- 24 Kersten from my staff who is an energy
- 25 expert, and Richard Clark who is with our Gas
- 26 Pipeline Division, to visit the site on
- 27 Friday. I thought it was important before I
- 28 came to this hearing to be able to go see it
  - 201

1 in person.

- 2 I was not on the Commission at the
- 3 time of the explosion, and like many people
- 4 in the Bay Area I watched it unfold on
- 5 television, to my horror. But to be able to
- 6 go there and to see the empty sites where
- 7 houses once stood, where families one lived,

- 8 where children once played. Now the rain has
- 9 given us grass and flowers, so God has given
- 10 us flowers on this empty site almost in
- 11 remembrance of the people that were lost, the
- 12 people that were injured, the houses that
- 13 were lost, the neighborhood that was
- 14 devastated and whose heart will always be

15 devastated.

- 16 So it is our job first and foremost
- 17 to contribute to those who are looking into
- 18 why did this happen and to make sure that it
- 19 never happens to any neighborhood, to any
- 20 family again, and to work with our partners,
- 21 our federal partners at the National
- 22 Transportation Safety Board who actually
- 23 today made some announcements yesterday,
- 24 important announcements, about federal
- 25 efforts to improve gas pipeline safety.
- 26 When we look at the law, the law
- 27 requires that public utilities put safety
- 28 first. It is the first thing that they are

202

- 1 required to do. And the law also requires
- 2 this Commission to make safety our highest
- 3 priority.

4 So we are here tonight to help to

- 5 fulfill that mission and to investigate the
- 6 variety of steps that we are doing to look at
- 7 aspects of how we can make our neighborhoods
- 8 and our families safer.
- 9 Thank you very much.
- 10 ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you, Commissioners.
- 11 Before we get started on our
- 12 speakers tonight, I have a couple of
- 13 housekeeping matters to take care of.
- 14 First of all, we have Chinese,
- 15 Spanish and Vietnamese translators available
- 16 in the back corner of the room. If you would
- 17 like to have translation services in any of
- 18 those languages, the translators are
- 19 available in the back right-hand corner of
- 20 the room.
- 21 Also our Public Advisors Office is
- 22 here, and out in the vestibule there is a
- 23 table out there. They can help anyone who is
- 24 interested in providing written materials to
- 25 the Commission or being kept apprised of what
- 26 the Commission's activities are in this
- 27 proceeding.

28 Also you will notice our court

203

1 reporters in front of the Commission.

- 2 Everything that is said this evening is being
- 3 transcribed and taken down and will be
- 4 circulated amongst the Commissioners and made
- 5 part of the official record of this
- 6 proceeding. So it will be part of the record
- 7 for the entire proceeding, and the Commission
- 8 will refer to it as we go forward.
- 9 Finally, cell phones and other
- 10 communication devices should be set to silent
- 11 now so that we are not interrupted. Whatever
- 12 you can do to accommodate that would be
- 13 fabulous.
- 14 We are going to have three general
- 15 groups of speakers. Our first group are
- 16 going to be people who have been directly
- 17 affected by events of September 9th. The
- 18 next group will be elected officials. And
- 19 finally will be other general members of the
- 20 public.
- 21 So we will begin with our directly
- 22 affected group. I will call you up one by
- 23 one.
- 24 Chris Torres.
- 25 STATEMENT OF MR. TORRES
- 26 MR. TORRES: My name is Chris Torres.
- 27 My mother lived at 1660 Claremont.
- 28 And she was cremated alive. And my two

- 1 sisters were burned over 50 percent of their
- 2 body. And my brother-in-law was burned from
- 3 head to toe. And they all went to the burn
- 4 units in San Francisco. Now they are
- 5 starting to come out of there. Two have come
- 6 out now. One of my sisters is just learning
- 7 how to stand up now.
- 8 And I want to say why are they
- 9 putting applications to get recovery money
- 10 when they already had the \$220 million
- 11 already? Now they want another \$228 million
- 12 to do the same job they already were supposed
- 13 to do two years or three years ago. They had
- 14 the money, but they did not work on 18 of the
- 15 positions -- if they get the money to do
- 16 something, they should do it there, not defer
- 17 the money to somewhere else.
- 18 I would like to know where that
- 19 money went, because I do know that the
- 20 shareholders and the people were getting
- 21 their portions. So I would like to know why
- 22 they are allowed to get recovery and they
- 23 have demand responses to budget costs. They
- 24 want to make sure that their Application goes
- 25 through. So right now they have A 11-03-001,
- 26 is the Application number. And they want it

27 for the next three years. And they are

28 breaking it down into like 76 million, 73

- 1 million, 77 million by 2014.
- 2 So to the Commission I would like to
- 3 know why would they get more money to do what
- 4 they were already supposed to do? That needs
- 5 to be answered. I mean, I know they are
- 6 going to do the best they can right now to
- 7 pacify us because that is what big companies
- 8 do when they make errors. They say
- 9 everything you want to hear, but they don't
- 10 do what they are supposed to.
- 11 So as a person who lost my family,
- 12 home, our pets, everything, all our war
- 13 medals, my father's war -- he had war medals.
- 14 Everything is gone. The safe was burned.
- 15 There was nothing left to the house. It
- 16 disintegrated. My brother and sisters were
- 17 burned outside of the house. It was over 6
- 18 to 800 degrees outside. So all the hair and
- 19 flesh on their bodies burned off.
- 20 So I want something to be done.
- 21 Thank you.
- 22 And I am just wondering, should they
- 23 be denied gas rate increases, that is my big

thing, until they fix it? They have the
money. This is PG&E Company. They need to
go back to PG&E Corporation that keeps on
taking millions of dollars from them all the
time, hundreds of millions. So that's why

206

1 they keep going back into the (inaudible) all

2 the time. And now they are out there buying

3 all new equipment and selling all their old

4 stuff and still making money.

5 They shouldn't be allowed to do all

6 this stuff until they pay the piper, until

7 they start doing what they are supposed to.

8 Because it may have only been eight people

9 killed, but where are the other people that

10 I've seen with bandages around their faces

11 and hands? All those other people have never

12 been talked to.

13 No one ever talks about the gas

14 leaks before the explosion. These people

15 were not qualified to inspect this stuff and

16 do it. They needed more qualified people.

17 They knew it back in 1956 that these pipes

18 weren't put together properly. There was a

19 notice saying that inspector asked why isn't

20 the inside being welded. Because the guy

- 21 said it doesn't need to be done. It was okay
- 22 just to do the outside. If you ever saw
- 23 their welding, these people were
- 24 subcontractors, and they didn't know how to
- 25 weld. The things you were supposed to have
- 26 (inaudible). I was welder for years. This
- 27 stuff was called a wash. These are people
- 28 that don't now how to weld. They come out of
  - 207
- 1 Oregon, Colorado, whatever, and getting a
- 2 good job to work for years at a subcontracted
- 3 rate to save money and materials and not do
- 4 the proper welding.
- 5 Thank you very much.
- 6 ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you.
- 7 Pradeep Gupta.
- 8 STATEMENT OF MR. GUPTA
- 9 MR. GUPTA: Good evening, Commissioners
- 10 and Madam Bushey. Thank you for giving me

11 some time.

- 12 I was not directly impacted like the
- 13 first speaker was, but we live in South
- 14 San Francisco and we saw the horrendous event
- 15 that took place both from our house and then
- 16 when we drove close by. ]
- 17 And I have about 30 years of experience

18	as a utility engineer on the electrical side,
19	and now I'm retired. But one of the things I
20	wanted to highlight based on what the first
21	speaker just told you about is that there is
22	no value to life per se. It is when we do
23	cost-benefit analysis of many of these
24	investments and many of these things, there's
25	no cost to life.
26	So when I looked at the record and
27	the evolution of the events as they took
28	place, I was appalled at the shortcuts, the

208

expedient ways of getting things done and, 1 excuse me, but I think both sides are to be 2 3 blamed a little bit, the Commission for not regulating them and making sure that events 4 5 like that did not have to happen to bring you out here today, and the second thing, that 6 7 PG&E should also include in their responsibility as a civic member of the 8 9 society the welfare and the security of the people they serve besides serving all the 10 shareholders. That's why they have the 11 franchise. They are responsible for that. 12 13 I had a few comments to make 14 specifically on the regulations you are

- 15 looking at. And the time is short, so I'll
- 16 go over the list, and if anybody is
- 17 interested, I'll be very happy to talk in

18 detail later on.

19 First, I'd like to say that the

20 response of PG&E after they got the initial

21 information that something is wrong in the

22 control room, even their control room people

23 said there was a sharp drop in the pipeline

24 pressure, and they ignored it. It took more

25 than an hour and a half before anything was

26 done when the pipe was fully being pressured

27 by the gas, and burning gas, and the houses

28 were burning, people were burning. This is

209

1 appalling.

- 2 So first thing I'd like to emphasize
- 3 is that there should be an operating

4 procedure on how to react to such events at

5 PG&E in a more formal way. And these

6 exercises, this procedure should be tested

- 7 every year as a mock exercise, like we do
- 8 with our amateur radio emergency networks, so
- 9 that they would know. They would have the

10 people ready to respond in case such a thing

11 happens.

12	Second thing, why PG&E wants to now
13	tell everybody where the pipelines are. I
14	was appalled that the fire people did not
15	know where the pipelines were before this
16	thing happened. I was I read in the paper
17	the San Bruno fire people did not know where
18	the pipeline existed. This was appalling.
19	But on the other hand, I would like
20	to also mention that the threat of terrorists
21	knowing this information may be significant
22	enough so PUC and PG&E have to work in some
23	creative way so the responsible parties, like
24	fire people, police people and other security
25	people, should know about the details, but it
26	should not fall into the wrong hands and
27	create more trouble.
28	Third, I'd like to point out that
	040

there were some people who smelled gas hours
 before this event took place in the area, and
 those reports were ignored. They were seen
 to be -- seen as another report of a gas leak
 somewhere without proper study of what the
 cause was.
 So there should be a procedure in

8 proper traffic management of incoming

- 9 complaints of the gas. It could be a
- 10 small -- somebody's water heater leaking, or
- 11 it could be a gas pipeline which is about to
- 12 burst in two hours.
- 13 And I don't know how to do that.
- 14 You have the experts and you have the
- 15 expertise and PG&E has the expertise. There
- 16 should be a way of figuring out when the
- 17 message is coming and how to react to it.
- 18 Next I'd like to point out that,
- 19 given the technology we have of pipelines,
- 20 how could PG&E get away with hundreds of
- 21 miles or at least 18 miles of pipeline which
- 22 they did not know how it was welded. I was
- 23 amazed that the federal government had to
- 24 come and tell us that PG&E did not know their
- 25 own pipelines. They are the ones who looked
- 26 at those burst-out pipeline samples in order
- 27 to figure out that it was welded on one side,
- 28 not on both sides.
- 211
- Now what was -- what was it we were
   doing or PG&E were doing or the Commission
   was doing, and there are miles and miles of
   such pipelines in the ground today. And I
   hope something is done before these things

- 6 happen again. Those pipelines should be
- 7 banned and should be replaced, and not at the
- 8 cost of the customer either.
- 9 And, finally, I'm so glad that
- 10 Commissioner Simon is a member of the
- 11 national board of the natural gas pipeline
- 12 people. There should be some standard best
- 13 practices that are done in the U.S.
- 14 We are not the only company in the
- 15 whole United States. We are not the only gas
- 16 pipeline. Everybody faces these kind of
- 17 problems. What are they doing? Are we
- 18 competitive? Are we as good as they are, or
- 19 we are falling behind?
- 20 Please, I would like to have that
- 21 kind of thing done in your evaluation.
- 22 Well, thank you so much for your
- 23 time. I appreciate it.
- 24 (Applause)
- 25 ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you.
- 26 Our next speaker is Elaine Tannous.
- 27 STATEMENT OF MS. TANNOUS
- 28 MS. TANNOUS: Hi. I apologize, I
  - 212
  - 1 wasn't directly affected by the fire;
  - 2 however, I recently moved to Millbrae after

- 3 living with my father in San Bruno very near
- 4 to where the fire happened.
- 5 I also have many family members who
- 6 live in San Bruno. And, thankfully, none of
- 7 my loved ones were affected by the fires.
- 8 And I would just like to ask to see
- 9 PG&E make the appropriate and necessary
- 10 actions to ensure that this never has to
- 11 happen again.
- 12 Thank you.
- 13 (Applause)
- 14 ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you.
- 15 Richard Riechel.
- 16 STATEMENT OF MR. RIECHEL
- 17 MR. RIECHEL: Somehow I got on the
- 18 wrong list, too. I am a citizen in
- 19 San Bruno. I'm not directly affected.
- 20 But just real quickly a couple of
- 21 requests of the CPUC, that you require PG&E
- 22 and all California utility companies to be
- 23 much more responsive in a timely manner to
- 24 inquiries from state, city and citizens when
- 25 asked about locations, conditions, testing of
- 26 and testing results, and planned future work
- 27 on pipelines running near, into, out of or
- 28 through our locations.

- 1 Number two, require PG&E and all
- 2 California utility companies to provide
- 3 written documentation when requested by city
- 4 or state officials of the locations, size,
- 5 et cetera, of pipelines running in our area.
- 6 Third, require that PG&E and all
- 7 California utility companies to work much
- 8 more closely with, and provide written
- 9 documentation to, all first responders
- 10 concerning all pipelines running through or
- 11 near our location.
- 12 And, finally, require PG&E and all
- 13 California utility companies to immediately
- 14 provide full contact information for the
- 15 utility company employee that is the city
- 16 and/or state contact for pipeline questions.
- 17 This is very important as needing to
- 18 find and then talk to different utility
- 19 company employees each time is unproductive
- 20 and leads to obtaining questionable and
- 21 possibly inaccurate information.
- 22 Thank you for being here and thank
- 23 you for listening.
- 24 ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you.
- 25 (Applause)
- 26 ALJ BUSHEY: Our next speaker is Perry
- 27 Petersen.

1 MR. PETERSEN: Thank you. 2 Again, I don't know how I got so 3 high on the list. I'm a local San Bruno 4 resident. I saw the explosion. 5 And on the Planning Commission, I'm one of the Commissioners that we work with 6 staff to find ways to make it possible for 7 people to build and rebuild their homes 8 9 sooner. 10 But my professional background is as a construction manager, construction 11 12 engineer. And in looking at this subject, I 13 have worked on a lot of pipelines. 14 And in pipelines you probably know there are interstate pipelines and within-15 state pipelines. It almost seems like there 16 are two sets of rules, but basic safety is 17 18 basic safety. I would urge you to take 19 advantage of the interstate group of rules which seem to have a higher standard. 20 21 Secondly, it seems to me to be 22 reasonable if there are lines that have this amount of pressure and size that any resident 23 24 within 300 feet of the line should be

.

- 25 notified that this is there. I seriously
- 26 doubt whether some people would have located
- 27 there if they knew such a huge line was
- 28 there.

1 In the future, we can probably

- 2 expect to see more accidents like this as the
- 3 infrastructure all over the United States as
- 4 well as California becomes older. And there
- 5 seem to be many parts of the pipe that it's
- 6 not possible to predict whether it's going to
- 7 leak or explode or how fragile it is. So I
- 8 would urge you to have someone on your staff
- 9 look at the future.
- 10 In the PUC's documentation, it says
- 11 you look at planning, design, construction,
- 12 operation, maintenance, but failure
- 13 prediction, I think, should receive some high
- 14 priority, especially in the light of this

15 event.

16 And what this event shows, one of

17 the things it showed is that PG&E couldn't

18 find documentation on it. And they still

19 can't find documentation on parts of the

20 lines.

21 This, I think, draws attention to

- 22 the concept that I believe there are a lot of
- 23 good people in PG&E trying to do their job
- 24 every day, but they serve shareholders and
- 25 customers. And since they serve
- 26 shareholders, the things they do have a
- 27 financial impact. Because of that, if the
- 28 Commission doesn't put down specific written
  - 216
- 1 requirements, it's going to leave PG&E open
- 2 to interpret them, what there is in terms of
- 3 requirements and general practice, anyway
- 4 they want.
- 5 Just as an example, in your proposed
- 6 rulemaking, it talks about testing the
- 7 pipeline and making a record of the method
- 8 and pressures, and so on; but it doesn't say
- 9 what method.
- 10 And it gets down to this kind of
- 11 detail. If you air-pressure test a large
- 12 pipe, you're essentially putting a lot of
- 13 people at risk because if it breaks, that in
- 14 itself will yield a huge explosion. That's
- 15 why -- another reason why water testing is so
- 16 important to use.
- 17 But another detail -- and I'm --
- 18 this may sound terribly detailed to some of

- 19 you, but it's from my personal experience.
- 20 At PG&E there should be a requirement that
- 21 they make proper written inspection reports
- 22 with photographs and documentation about how
- 23 well -- how pipes are installed, how the
- 24 welding is done, what testing is done,
- 25 whether it passed or failed, and how many
- 26 times it took before it passed.
- 27 Modern construction management does
- 28 this kind of detail inspection on a daily

- 1 basis. The process I just talked about is
- 2 not an unusual process. It's commonplace.
- 3 But in addition to requiring this, I
- 4 would recommend that you make sure that there
- 5 is a requirement that they be able to locate
- 6 this documentation almost at a moment's
- 7 notice. I mean we have computers. We can do
- 8 searches. If you have a pipeline and a
- 9 geographical reach, you want to be able to
- 10 find that documentation pretty quickly.
- 11 I think the time has passed for
- 12 staffs of large utilities to come to work and
- 13 say, well, here we have another day of work
- 14 with 100,000 miles of pipeline, and we don't
- 15 really know much about it. I think that's

- 16 not fair to say that anymore. And I think
- 17 the rules have to say it.
- 18 That's pretty much all I have to
- 19 say. But basically the reason the PUC is so
- 20 important to this entire process is because
- 21 unless you set some minimum, specific,
- 22 explicit, quantified requirements, I would
- 23 suggest you're not going to get a whole lot
- 24 different that what we got last year.
- 25 Thank you.
- 26 (Applause)
- 27 ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you.
- 28 Bill Magoolahan.

## 1 STATEMENT OF MR. MAGOOLAHAN

2 MR. MAGOOLAHAN: Hi. My name is Bill

- 3 Magoolahan. I'm from 1611 Claremont, at
- 4 least I was from 1611 Claremont. Our house
- 5 was burned in the fire.
- 6 I've been to all of these meetings.
- 7 As more and more of the facts come out, I
- 8 guess what's most disappointing and really
- 9 unforgiveable is that this could have been
- 10 prevented with a relatively low level of
- 11 maintenance and a low level of inspections.
- 12 Not being able to find 150 or more

- 13 bad welds in nearly 50 years indicates that
- 14 really there hasn't been a very high level of
- 15 investment in making sure that ratepayers are
- 16 safe in our homes.
- 17 I'm here because I want to be part

18 of the solution. I'm here because I want to

- 19 make sure the PUC is reminded from our
- 20 perspective of the severity of the
- 21 consequences of PG&E's negligence and the
- 22 PUC's laissez-faire attitude toward oversight
- 23 and personalize what has happened here, put
- 24 faces to the burned homes and show how the
- 25 deaths and the destruction of our homes has
- 26 impacted us.
- 27 My family has been displaced from
- 28 our home which caught fire and was
  - 219
- 1 subsequently yellow-tagged with 90 percent of
- 2 our belongings being thrown away. I have
- 3 three children, ages two, three and six, who
- 4 are currently undergoing weekly therapy to
- 5 recover from this incident.
- 6 They and my wife, who was eight
- 7 months pregnant at the time, went running
- 8 bare foot down the block as the pipe
- 9 exploded, sending a plume of flames over our

- 10 house that was visible through our skylight
- 11 in our kitchen where they were just sitting
- 12 down to dinner.
- 13 It shouldn't have to take the deaths
- 14 of eight people, including a lovely
- 15 13-year-old girl that lived across the street
- 16 from us, an 18-year-old boy and Mrs. Torres,
- 17 a lively 80-year-old friend of ours.
- 18 And it shouldn't take the
- 19 destruction of so many houses for our gas
- 20 company and the organization that oversees
- 21 them to be become fully invested in pipeline
- 22 safety, but here we are.
- 23 So I want to share some ideas on how
- 24 neighborhoods, first responders, PG&E, the
- 25 PUC and our legislators can work together to
- 26 prevent more tragedies.
- 27 To me the first thing is the home
- 28 owners. The home owners are the ones who are
  - 220
- 1 most invested in this because we have the
- 2 most to lose. We have our lives to lose, our
- 3 property and our skin. So it's critical for
- 4 us to play a role in this because, at the end
- 5 of the day, we're the ones who really pay
- 6 when things go wrong.

7 Home owners need to know if there's a pipeline close to their house, understand 8 the danger and have somewhere to go to check 9 that inspections and maintenance are being 10 11 done. 12 There needs to be law that if a house is sold within 2,000 feet of a high 13 14 capacity gas line, the new owner needs to be 15 told about it. And this needs to come in the packet of information about how the pipeline 16 needs to be inspected, maintained and any 17 18 planned changes. 19 Counting on PG&E to put inserts into 20 bills or send fliers to inform residents about a nearby pipeline is not adequate for 21 22 something so important. 23 If residents had known the pipeline was there, we certainly would have been more 24 alarmed whenever there was any construction 25 going on in Glenview and whenever someone 26 reported smelling gas. 27 28 Another point is these fines. The 221

1 top two people at PG&E make enough in one

2 year to pay the entire fine the PUC is

3 threatening PG&E with over the mismanagement

- 4 of pipeline documentation.
- 5 The fines need to be significantly
- 6 more substantial. The cost to PG&E needs to
- 7 fit the results of their negligence. And in
- 8 this case, the cost of having woefully
- 9 inadequate records was eight lives and

10 55 houses.

- 11 The correlation between PG&E's
- 12 haphazard approach to keeping track of their
- 13 pipelines and the destruction of our
- 14 neighbors is poignantly clear. The sudden
- 15 change from a million-dollar-a-day fine to a
- 16 \$3 million fine from the PUC reeks of
- 17 collusion, especially after having a
- 18 fundraiser where energy companies were used
- 19 to raise money for the PUC, which was
- 20 ludicrous.
- 21 Fines need to be paid out of money
- 22 that is not from ratepayers. So it needs to
- 23 be pulled out of shareholder equity. It
- 24 needs to be reimbursed to ratepayers by sale
- 25 of stock, or shareholders will have to go
- 26 without dividends and executives will have to
- 27 go without bonuses for a few years.
- 28 Instead of the money from fines

- 1 going to the general fund, the money from
- 2 fines should be reinvested in the pipeline
- 3 system. The PUC should fine PG&E heavily and
- 4 use the money for putting in remote control
- 5 and automatic shut-off valves. That way we
- 6 have those items installed and don't have to
- 7 pay PG&E's guaranteed 11.2 percent profit on
- 8 equity investment.
- 9 Another point is to own the problem.
- 10 How come no one from PG&E or the PUC is
- 11 stepping up and saying they were responsible
- 12 for Line 132? Because essentially no one
- 13 was.
- 14 I'd like to see a team of PG&E
- 15 workers and a PUC inspector be assigned to
- 16 each stretch of pipeline, to own that line,
- 17 to be knowledgeable about its history, its
- 18 maintenance, its inspection schedule and be
- 19 responsible to keeping information about each
- 20 stretch of line updated in PG&E's database. ]
- 21 We've already heard a little bit
- 22 about first responders. Probably says enough
- 23 to know, to watch in front of NTSB as our
- 24 San Bruno Fire Chief admitting he didn't know
- 25 the gas line ran through our city. It's
- 26 unbelievable.
- 27 The PUC needs to require PG&E to
- 28 educate first responders in every

neighborhood about the pipeline and train 1 2 them how to turn them off in an emergency. 3 And PG&E said somebody got stuck in traffic that didn't even know how to turn off 4 5 the gas was ludicrous. Fire trucks cannot 6 get stuck in traffic. 7 About legislation, it became painfully clear a few months ago as we sat 8 here to watch Jerry Hill, Fiona Ma and others 9 ask the PUC questions. It's obvious the PUC 10 doesn't have to answer to our elected 11 officials. Legislation needs to be passed 12 13 that requires the PUC to make regular reports to our legislators about the status of 14 pipelines that requires PG&E to quickly and 15 thoroughly report every incident that might 16 compromise the safety of the pipelines. 17 Without legislation in place, this whole 18 19 exercise here is likely pointless. 20 The point is profits. The reason why PG&E stock is still near its all-time 21 high levels is because they stand to make 22 23 huge profits from installing automatic shut-off valves or replacing aging pipelines, 24 25 giving them a guaranteed 11.2 profit on

- 26 capital investment basically rewards them for
- 27 their wayward bad decisions.
- 28 Lines 31-32 should have been
  - 224
  - 1 replaced in the '90s when nearly the entire
  - 2 line was replaced. And paying them now
  - 3 a profit to replace aging lines and
  - 4 installing shut-off valves that should have
  - 5 been installed over the past 20 years is
  - 6 simply rewarding them for negligence.
  - 7 Also, in regards to compensation,
  - 8 having executives from PG&E making millions
  - 9 of dollars in stock awards needs to stop.
  - 10 Compensation needs to be capped. Allowing
  - 11 executives to bonus themselves seven times
  - 12 their salaries or more in a year when they
  - 13 blew up a neighborhood and killed eight
  - 14 people is ludicrous.
  - 15 The PUC needs have tighter reins on
  - 16 executive salaries and have specific
  - 17 requirements that executives have to meet to
  - 18 qualify for a bonus. Maybe not blow up
  - 19 stuff.
- 20 (Applause)
- 21 MR. MAGOOLAHAN: We need to change the
- 22 culture of PG&E from a culture of profits to

23 a culture of safety.

Weak oversight that led to this
disaster makes the PUC complicit in
the destruction of our neighborhood and the
deaths of our neighbors. Lack of enforcement
via significant fines gives residents the

- 1 impression that the PUC is merely an
- 2 extension of PG&E rather than its task
- 3 master. The PUC needs to sends a message
- 4 with a huge fine to PG&E and the message
- 5 should be clear: Gas companies with must
- 6 invest in preventing disasters and keeping
- 7 ratepayers safe in our homes rather than
- 8 spending money on wayward propositions like
- 9 Prop. 16, supporting political campaigns and
- 10 bonusing executives.
- 11 It's important because it's not
- 12 a matter if this type of disaster is going to
- 13 happen again. It already has in
- 14 Philadelphia, in Allentown, in Minneapolis.
- 15 This is an infrastructure epidemic. Without
- 16 serious penalties and without the state and
- 17 federal government enacting laws that can
- 18 protect us from our energy companies, the San
- 19 Bruno disaster is destined to be repeated

- 20 across the country.
- 21 Let's learn from the past and
- 22 increase our level of commitment to pipeline
- 23 safety on all levels. Let's all be committed
- 24 to making the San Bruno disaster a thing of
- 25 the past rather than a premonition of things
- 26 to come.
- 27 Thank you.
- 28 (Applause)
- 226

1 ALJ BUSHEY: Our next speaker is Ariel

- 2 McCarty.
- 3 STATEMENT OF MS. MC CARTY
- 4 MS. MC CARTY: Hi. My name is Ariel
- 5 McCarty and I live in South San Francisco.
- 6 My family and friends are very
- 7 angry. This hearing is not enough. It has
- 8 been almost seven months since the disaster
- 9 occurred in September and nothing has been
- 10 done. Even the Japanese government has
- 11 operated more swiftly during its nuclear
- 12 crisis and we want action. The community
- 13 wants action but it wants responsible action.
- 14 It is must be led by this community, leaders,
- 15 this Commission, and the president of PG&E.
- 16 The people want an immediate and

- 17 effective solution. If we do not get
- 18 a solution soon, there will be a tea party
- 19 uprising and it will be just like the one
- 20 that threw out the Democratic party in
- 21 Congress.

- 22 Thank you.
- 23 ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you.
- 24 (Applause)
- 25 ALJ BUSHEY: Are there any other
- 26 persons directly affected that wish to
- 27 address the Commission?
- 28 That's the end of the sign-up list.
  - 227
  - 1 Anyone else that would like to come forward?
- 2 All right, thank you. Then we will
- 3 move on to our elected representatives.
- 4 The first one on the list is Austin
- 5 Yang, the deputy city attorney for
- 6 San Francisco.
- 7 STATEMENT OF MR. YANG
- 8 MR. YANG: Good evening, Commissioners,
- 9 ALJ Bushey. My name is Austin Yang. I'm
- 10 a deputy city attorney in the Office of City
- 11 Attorney Dennis Herrera and I make this
- 12 statement on behalf of the City and County of
- 13 San Francisco.

- 14 As Commissioner Sandoval has noted,
- 15 this Commission has a statutorily mandated
- 16 duty to ensure that utilities maintain such
- 17 adequate, efficient, just, and reasonable
- 18 service, instrumentalities, equipment and
- 19 facilities necessary to promote the safety,
- 20 health, comfort and convenience of its
- 21 patrons, employees and the public.
- 22 The city is no different. We rely
- 23 upon the Commission to ensure that public
- 24 safety is a top priority.
- 25 It is vitally important for
- 26 the Commission not to lose sight of this
- 27 foundational responsibility and take action
- 28 to ensure public safety. In recent weeks, it

- 1 has become clear that PG&E has not met
- 2 the requirements of Section 451 in
- 3 the operation of its natural gas system at
- 4 the March 28 Order to Show Cause. PG&E
- 5 admitted that it does not have sufficient
- 6 records for 152 miles of transmission lines
- 7 in high consequence areas that most resemble
- 8 the line that ruptured in San Bruno.
- 9 Getting assurance that these 152
- 10 lines are being operated safely should be

- 11 the highest priority for the Commission.
- 12 In addition, PG&E's recent filings
- 13 demonstrate that it is relying upon the use
- 14 of historical maximum allowable operating
- 15 pressure for another 455 miles of its
- 16 pipeline system. PG&E's continued use of
- 17 historical maximum allowable operating
- 18 pressure turns a blind eye to the actual
- 19 needs of the pipeline system.
- 20 In addition, PG&E's spiking its
- 21 pipelines in order to avoid performing
- 22 pressure tests which exacerbates this
- 23 problem.
- 24 There is a mandate upon the
- 25 Commission to provide the public with proof
- 26 that PG&E's gas system is being operated in
- 27 a safe and reliable manner. In satisfying
- 28 this mandate through record searches and
  - 229
- 1 conferring with federal stakeholders,
- 2 the Commission must act with a sense of
- 3 urgency.

- 4 As Commissioner Florio noted in
- 5 a recent Assigned Commissioner Ruling, we are
- 6 dealing with dire issues concerning our
- 7 public safety and human life.

8 Thank you for the opportunity

9 tonight.

10 (Applause)

11 ALJ BUSHEY: Mike Salazar, vice-mayor

12 of the City of San Bruno.

13 STATEMENT OF MR. SALAZAR

14 MR. SALAZAR: Good evening. My name is

15 Michael Salazar. I am the vice-mayor of the

16 City of San Bruno.

17 On behalf of the city council and

18 the entire San Bruno community, I want to

19 thank you for this community for our citizens

20 and for the city to express our concerns to

21 you. Really, my intent tonight is to come up

22 here and really echo some of what you've

23 already heard from our citizens. And it

24 really is our concern in making sure that

25 the disaster that occurred here does not

26 occur again. And we hope that you take what

27 you're hearing tonight and really take it to

28 heart.

230

1 I know this is the first of many of

2 these types of forums that you'll be holding.

3 And some of those forums, some of the other

4 hearings will include people that have more

SB GT&S 0494886

- 5 technical expertise and provide you very
- 6 specific recommendations based on engineering
- 7 data but we hope that what you hear tonight,
- 8 that the human value doesn't get lost and you
- 9 do remember what you've heard here tonight.
- 10 Some of the statistics, I'm sure
- 11 you're familiar with them. You've heard them
- 12 many times. 17 homes uninhabitable.
- 13 53 damaged. 38 homes completely destroyed.
- 14 66 persons burned, injured, sent to the
- 15 hospital, some with permanent medical
- 16 problems because of this. And of course, the
- 17 most tragic statistic: Eight lives lost.
- 18 We've heard the names of some of those people
- 19 and I think that we -- I feel like I really
- 20 have to name all the people that were lost:
- 21 Jacqueline Greig, Janessa Greig, Jessica
- 22 Morales, Lavonne Bullis, Greg Bullis, William
- 23 Bullis, Elizabeth Torres, and James Franco.
- 24 These were our neighbors, our friends, our
- 25 family members. They lost their lives
- 26 because of this.
- 27 In terms of the recovery process,
- 28 we are seeing some progress that there are

1 people that are going to be rebuilding their

2 homes up there and we are seeing some progression. We're very optimistic about 3 that. But there is also the physical 4 healing. The psychological healing that's 5 6 still taking place and continue to take place 7 for a long time. And a very key component of that healing process is going to be our 8 9 ability as government officials to make them feel safe in their neighborhood again. And 10 really, that becomes the key to what we are 11 looking for you tonight, what we're asking 12 13 tonight. And we do have some specific 14 recommendations that we'd like to make. We 15 16 understand that that is the purpose of this, 17 is for us to give you some impact, some direction, some things that we believe will 18 be impactful in your decision making going 19 forward. Among those, we feel that there is 20 a need for improved and more rigorous 21 inspection and testing of the high pressure 22 23 gas lines. Specifically, we're concerned 24 about the lines that were put in prior to 1970 and are currently in areas that are 25 located in areas considered high consequence, 26 27 meaning that there are large populations located around them. 28

2 some action taken regarding the remote or3 remote little operated or automatic shut-off4 valves on these pipes.

We're also interested in seeing

1

5 We're interested in seeing improved 6 instrumentation. One of the other speakers 7 mentioned that there was a pressure spike 8 detected. We're not really sure if that was 9 considered a real reading and perhaps not

10 taken seriously. So we want to make sure

11 that when these things do occur, that

12 the right alarms are going off and they're

13 going to be addressed appropriately.

14 We'd like to see better

15 communication for our residents, especially

16 the ones that are near these high consequence

17 pipelines. We would like to see them be made

18 aware of the situation and make sure that

19 they understand consequences.

20 And the last item is that we would

21 like to see more focused emergency response

22 training. We'd like to make sure that our

23 first responders are fully aware of

24 the hazards that they would be dealing with.

25 And even though something of that magnitude

26 may not occur very often, we would like to

- 27 make sure that if it should ever happen
- 28 again, in the period that until we get some

- 1 of these larger infrastructure issues fixed,
- 2 that they are going to be able to respond and
- 3 not have to get and wait for responses.
- 4 So in conclusion, I just want to
- 5 say that this was a horrible tragedy. It's
- 6 certainly nothing any of us here in the city
- 7 expected. Certainly nothing anyone on the
- 8 Commission would have expected to happen.
- 9 But it is a wake-up call. I think it may be
- 10 very obvious to all of us that we don't truly
- 11 understand what lies underneath the ground
- 12 and what the hazards are. And I hope that
- 13 when we come out of this, that we're all
- 14 better educated and better equipped to make
- 15 the decisions that will make everyone in our
- 16 community safer.

### 17 Thank you.

- 18 (Applause)
- 19 ALJ BUSHEY: Our next speaker is Jerry
- 20 Hill, Assemblymember for the 19th District.

#### 21 (Applause)

- 22 STATEMENT OF ASSEMBLYMEMBER HILL
- 23 ASSEMBLYMEMBER HILL: Commissioners,

- 24 thank you for holding this hearing in San
- 25 Bruno and giving the constituents that
- 26 I proudly represent an opportunity to share
- 27 their thoughts and their feelings with you
- 28 this evening.

1 In the months following the

- 2 explosion, we all heard about the intricacies
- 3 of gas pipelines in California. Although
- 4 the cause of the explosion is still being
- 5 investigated, hearings at the federal and
- 6 state level have revealed serious flaws in
- 7 the way California regulates its utilities.
- 8 The purpose of this hearing is to
- 9 gather information as the Commission develops
- 10 new pipeline safety regulations. Some of my
- 11 suggestions are included in the legislation
- 12 that I've introduced in the state legislature
- 13 which depends transparency and accountability
- 14 from our utilities and our regulators.
- 15 Some of the possible preventive
- 16 actions that are being discussed in this
- 17 rulemaking and in that legislation include
- 18 replacing of aging pipes, more frequent
- 19 inspection, installation of pipe burrowing
- 20 cameras and automatic or remote control gas

21 shut-off valves.

Now, there will be always tension
between the price of safety and the cost to
ratepayers. While we all agree changes are
need, we have to ask ourselves who will pay
for it.
The irony here is that ratepayers
have been paying for repairs. So those

- 1 repairs have not always been done. In the
- 2 weeks after San Bruno, we learned that in
- 3 2007, PG&E was approved to spend \$4.9 million
- 4 to replace a segment in Line 132 but the work
- 5 was never done. In 2009, the utility was
- 6 asking for an additional approval of
- 7 \$5 million for the same project, and they
- 8 received it without any accounting of what
- 9 happened with the first \$4.9 million.
- 10 The Commission must do a better job
- 11 at tracking how ratepayer money is spent.
- 12 This also raises a question. PG&E
- 13 earnings for 2010 were well above \$1 billion.
- 14 The company shareholders benefit from an
- 15 11.35 percent return. In comparison to the
- 16 rest of California's economy, PG&E is doing
- 17 very well indeed.

- 18 Part of the problem is that many of
- 19 the top executives at PG&E are from Wall
- 20 Street and operate with a Wall Street
- 21 mentality where profit is king.
- 22 PG&E has the highest rates and
- 23 the worst safety record of any other utility
- 24 in California. The utility rates are up to
- 25 30 percent higher than the national average.
- 26 I ask the PUC take a closer look at how PG&E
- 27 uses existing rates before considering any
- 28 rate increase to pay for these reforms.

1 Careful analysis and review for any request

- 2 for ratepayer responsibility is mandatory in
- 3 light of PG&E's history and past performance.
- 4 The PUC should question whether the utilities
- 5 return is fairly assessed, especially in
- 6 light of a May 2010 PUC audit that clearly
- 7 states that PG&E was cutting corners to save

8 money.

9 Commissioners, I stand here today

- 10 because I want to believe that the PUC is
- 11 headed in a new direction and is willing to
- 12 have an open and transparent process
- 13 exemplified by this evening's hearing. But
- 14 I have concerns that despite these public

- 15 olive branches, the PUC is still negotiating
- 16 deals with utilities in private, away from
- 17 public scrutiny. The PUC ordered PG&E to
- 18 show cause why it should not be fined up to
- 19 \$1 million for every day that the utility
- 20 failed to produce pipeline records from
- 21 March 15, the deadline; and yet, PUC staff
- 22 struck a deal behind closed doors in which
- 23 the utility would pay as little as
- 24 \$3 million, admitting no fault, all in
- 25 advance of the scheduled public hearing of
- 26 March 24.

- 27 Lastly, I wanted to make one
- 28 technical comment to the proposed revisions
  - 237
- 1 to reporting requirements in General Order
- 2 112-E, Section 122.2. This imposes certain
- 3 reporting requirements but would allow an
- 4 operator to exceed a pipeline's maximum
- 5 allowable operating pressure without
- 6 reporting. This exception undermines
- 7 the PUC's ability to evaluate the integrity
- 8 of the pipes in California.
- 9 As you work to improve the safety
- 10 of gas transmission, I trust and I think we
- 11 all demand that the PUC will abide by its

- 12 stated mission. And this mission is to serve
- 13 the public interest by protecting consumers
- 14 and ensure the provision of safe, reliable
- 15 utility service and infrastructure at
- 16 a reasonable rate.
- 17 Thank you very for opportunity.
- 18 (Applause)
- 19 ALJ BUSHEY: Our next speaker is
- 20 Richard Steffen, the district director for
- 21 Congresswoman Jackie Speier.
- 22 STATEMENT OF MR. STEFFEN
- 23 MR. STEFFEN: Good evening. As
- 24 Commissioner Florio noted, Congresswoman
- 25 Speier submitted a letter to the PUC last
- 26 week with 14 specific proposals.
- 27 I'll go over them very briefly but
- 28 what I'd like to first say is what she said
  - 238

1 to me when she signed the letter. She said

- 2 its inexcusable that PG&E still doesn't know
- 3 what's in the ground. That has to stop.
- 4 And so her proposals for the most
- 5 part deal with that.
- 6 First off, require that operators
- 7 disclose the location of transmission
- 8 pipelines to any and all first responders.

- 9 Two, require that operators
- 10 disclose to customers the fact that they
- 11 reside or work within 2000 feet within
- 12 a natural gas transmission line.
- 13 Three, and this is -- I think this
- 14 is an important one -- require the CPUC to
- 15 establish that a statewide database of
- 16 pipelines removed from service.
- 17 Every pipeline has DNA: Who made
- 18 it? What condition is it in? Are the welds
- 19 okay? Is there corrosion?
- 20 We would benefit if we, just like
- 21 fingerprints, we took out, whatever reason --
- 22 let's just say before September 9, we took
- 23 out Section 180 before it ruptured and we
- 24 saw, huh, no interior weld. That would tell
- 25 us something. ]
- 26 And we are suggesting that this be
- 27 a statewide database for the two operators in
- 28 California.

- 1 Require the installation of
- 2 automatic or remote control shut-off valves
- 3 every 5 miles in lines that are in high
- 4 consequence areas or that run along an
- 5 earthquake fault.

6 She would like the PUC to conduct 7 an integrity management audit every two years, and that audit exceptions that are 8 deemed critical shall be responded to within 9 10 24 hours, while all others must be answered 11 within 30 days. 12 At the NTSB hearing we were told 13 that PG&E took over a year sometimes to 14 answer the PUC as to why it hadn't done something. I don't understand why they are 15 16 allowed to take a year, but that needs to 17 stop. 18 As other speakers have said, no intentional spiking of MAOP. If an operator 19 doesn't have documentation that a pipeline 20 21 segment has been pressure tested, then reduce 22 the pressure 20 percent, hydro test and replace. That's already something the PUC is 23 24 doing. 25 Establish a rule for the duration of the pressure test. I don't think there is 26 27 any specific rules on that. I don't know 28 what would be best, but there probably should 240

1 be a standard on that.

2 Define what the most conservative

- 3 value is. That's not defined.
- 4 We believe that an operator should
- 5 report to the PUC any increase over an MAOP
- 6 within 24 hours and not 30 days or exempting
- 7 reporting all together if it's a small -- if
- 8 it exceeds it by less then 10 percent. We
- 9 think that all spikes should be reported.
- 10 And this is very key.
- 11 Require every operator to provide a
- 12 replacement plan for any pipeline installed
- 13 prior to 1961 in a high consequence area.
- 14 Each plan shall contain the time frame for
- 15 replacement beginning with the highest risk
- 16 pipeline and descending to the lowest risk
- 17 pipeline.
- 18 I want to emphasize the following:
- 19 Please give an estimated cost of replacement.
- 20 We are talking about putting in shut-off
- 21 valves and replacing lines. What's it going
- 22 to cost? We heard from the industry at the
- 23 NTSB hearing in March that it would cost
- 24 something like \$9 billion to replace all the
- 25 legacy pipelines. So those are pipelines
- 26 that were grandfathered in and haven't been
- 27 pressure tested.
- 28 So we need to get a sense of what

- 1 this is going to cost.
- 2 Also, the Congresswoman wants all
- 3 pipe sold by Consolidated Western to be
- 4 inspected and tested or replaced. She thinks
- 5 there should be a new rule for how the age of
- 6 a pipeline shall be considered a risk factor
- 7 and how the inability to utilize internal
- 8 inspection equipment increases the risk as a
- 9 pipe ages.
- 10 When we met with PG&E after the
- 11 rupture, they said that the age of a pipeline
- 12 is not a major risk factor. We don't believe
- 13 that to be true.
- 14 And finally, we would like the PUC
- 15 to have more inspectors.

### 16 Thank you.

- 17 (Applause)
- 18 ALJ BUSHEY: Our next speaker is Harold
- 19 Schapelhouman, the Fire Chief from Menlo
- 20 Park.
- 21 STATEMENT OF MR. SCHAPELHOUMAN
- 22 MR. SCHAPELHOUMAN: Madam Judge,
- 23 members of the Commission, my name is Harold
- 24 Schapelhouman. I am the Fire Chief of Menlo
- 25 Park Fire Protection District, and I am here
- 26 representing the board of directors for the
- 27 Fire District and the fire districts

28 responsible for protection to the Town of

- 1 Atherton, the City of Menlo Park, City of
- 2 East Palo Alto and portions of San Mateo
- 3 County and unincorporated within the

4 district.

5 I have provided you this evening

- 6 with a letter. I don't know that you have
- 7 received it, but I will read from it so that
- 8 we can stay on point and I can go through my
- 9 comments relatively quickly.
- 10 I read the a agenda for this
- 11 evening. I felt it was important to respond
- 12 to the items that were on this list based
- 13 upon not only the fire district's interest
- 14 but the interests of emergency responders.
- 15 I was in San Bruno the evening of
- 16 the explosion and the conflagration that
- 17 occurred. I worked with the command staff
- 18 for two days as one of the plan section
- 19 officers trying to get ahead of the event.
- 20 I also responded our personnel to
- 21 the event from both our Fire District as well
- 22 as the urban search and rescue task force.
- 23 One of the objectives you listed,
- 24 develop and adopt safety related changes to

- 25 the CPUC's regulation of natural gas
- 26 transmission pipelines, including
- 27 requirements for construction, especially
- 28 shut-off valves, maintenance, inspections,

- 1 operation, record retention, ratemaking and
- 2 the application of penalties.
- 3 I won't respond to all those. I
- 4 will respond to the ones that I think
- 5 emergency responders would have some hopeful
- 6 benefit to you in commenting on.
- 7 The Fire District is in support of
- 8 automatic shut-off valves where practical. I
- 9 don't know enough about the network to know
- 10 where that would be practical, but it does
- 11 make sense to us.
- 12 In terms of response protocols for
- 13 both provider and emergency responders that
- 14 work in conjunction to support a unified
- 15 response to an incident as well as reasonable
- 16 and acceptable response times for provider
- 17 and management team to respond to critical
- 18 incidents, those things all make sense to us
- 19 and we would like to see and hope help with
- 20 some improvements in that.
- 21 We for years in the emergency

- response field have told the community if
  they wanted to have shut-off valves for the
  gas meters or even emergency shut-off valves
  based upon seismic activity, shut the gas off
  to their homes, we would support that. The
  downside of that is your gas goes off and it
  stays off until someone can turn it back on.
  - 244

1 The upside is your gas goes off.

2 In this particular case, as you

3 know, with the fire that raged for an

4 incredibly long period of time, that was a

5 problem.

6 In regards to construction work on

7 major distribution lines, emergency

8 notification protocols must be established to

9 increase first responder situational

10 awareness based upon risk thresholds that

11 trigger notification.

12 What do I mean by that? I know one

13 of the residents mentioned that Chief Hague

14 did not know where the gas line was or that

15 he had a gas line in his town. That's not

16 unusual. As the fire chief for the Fire

17 District, I didn't know where the gas lines

18 were. I had an idea where they were. We

- 19 knew we had them. But up until recently when
- 20 PG&E provided with us the maps we did not
- 21 have the detail or data.

- 22 We still do not have all the data I
- 23 would like to have. I think one of the
- 24 things people need to realize, as first
- 25 responders and particularly in this
- 26 particular case as you deal with the gas
- 27 lines, we understand the security issues, but
- 28 I will say this, that we need to do a better

- 1 job of understanding where those things are
- 2 so we can perform to a higher level.
- 3 The evening of the event the
- 4 incident was reported as an aircraft crash.
- 5 It came through our dispatch system that way.
- 6 There was no compromise to the response in my
- 7 opinion in terms of the amount of resources.
- 8 However, when you are a chief officer in the
- 9 field, you are setting tactical objectives.
- 10 If you know you have a gas line, your
- 11 objectives will be different because you know
- 12 you can't put the fire out right away. So
- 13 your strategy will be different than having
- 14 an aircraft crash.
- 15 Item F, consider the appropriate

- 16 balance between the CPUC's obligation to
- 17 conduct its proceedings in a manner open to
- 18 the public with legitimate public safety
- 19 concerns that arise from unlimited
- 20 availability of certain utility information.
- 21 Again, from the Fire District we
- 22 concur with the Commission's desire to
- 23 maintain a reasonable level of transparency,
- 24 yet realize that certain risks to public
- 25 safety occur from unlimited public access.
- 26 The balance point for this as it applies to
- 27 the public safety personnel should be based
- 28 upon information and again situational

246

awareness that improves response performance

2 and the safety for both the public and first responders. If we know where it is, we can 3 do a better job because we know where it is. 4 5 One of the things -- I will give you 6 an example. I am a veteran of the response 7 to the World Trade Center. One of the things that we found out afterwards was that we 8 weren't told all the information associated 9 10 with the health conditions, specifically environmental conditions around the Trade 11 12 Center. To this day many of us have

- 13 respiratory problems because of that.
- 14 I think what we really need to
- 15 realize is the first responder community is a
- 16 partner. We are not going to give the
- 17 secrets away. We need to know where these
- 18 things are so we can do a better job and we
- 19 can keep our people safer when they respond
- 20 because it is all about how we approach the
- 21 event and what we are going to do in terms of
- 22 strategic and tactical objectives.
- 23 Item H, expand emergency and
- 24 disaster planning coordination efforts with
- 25 local officials.
- 26 I agree. We would love to see it.
- 27 We will embrace it. Maybe what you don't
- 28 know is the Fire District has enjoyed a
  - 247
- 1 20-year relationship with PG&E as it applies
- 2 to our Bayland's Structural Collapse Training
- 3 Center located behind the Ravenwoods
- 4 substation in Menlo Park near the Dumbarton
- 5 Bridge.
- 6 As one of the state's eight urban
- 7 search and rescue task forces and one of the
- 8 nation's 28 national response teams under
- 9 FEMA and under the Department of Homeland

- 10 Security, the Fire District, which is the
- 11 sponsoring agency for California Task Force
- 12 3, is positioned to support any and all
- 13 efforts to collaboratively improve disaster
- 14 planning and coordination within San Mateo
- 15 County, the Bay Area and California.
- 16 The Fire District, working in
- 17 conjunction with a partnership with the
- 18 Industrial Emergency Council or IEC in San
- 19 Carlos has trained thousands of emergency
- 20 personnel throughout the region, state, the
- 21 nation, the world.
- 22 Recently when we heard about the
- 23 Japanese firefighters that got stuck here
- 24 when the earthquake and tsunami hit their
- 25 country, those firefighters were training
- 26 with our personnel out at that site.
- 27 The interesting thing,
- 28 unfortunately, about that as well is that at
  - 248
- 1 one time the Fire District conducted joint
- 2 training with PG&E until the company went
- 3 into bankruptcy. We are actually on their
- 4 site on their property. They own the dirt.
- 5 We are prepared to renew that commitment to
- 6 both PG&E and this Commission and the

- 7 community so that we can improve
- 8 collaborative and efficient joint response
- 9 going forward.
- 10 So there is an existing training
- 11 facility that is being used by the fire
- 12 service, by emergency responders that come
- 13 from all over the world, and we train
- 14 together there in a collaborative fashion.
- 15 In summary, as one of the first
- 16 responders that arrived within the first
- 17 hours after the San Bruno gas line explosion
- 18 and conflagration, I humbly respect and
- 19 appreciate the task and efforts of this
- 20 Commission and all of us to learn from this
- 21 very unfortunate and tragic event.
- 22 I believe our collective and
- 23 primary goal should be to improve safety and
- 24 performance of this important and vital
- 25 distribution system so that we restore public
- 26 confidence and improve collaborative
- 27 coordination of the emergency responders with
- 28 PG&E as an important partner and with this

1 Commission as the lead.

- 2 It is important that you carefully
- 3 move forward so that we truly honor those who

4 lost their lives, their loved ones, family

5 members, friends, homes, their livelihoods,

- 6 and most importantly, their feeling of
- 7 security and confidence of the gas line
- 8 infrastructure during and after this event.
- 9 We were on the top one hundred
- 10 list. That didn't happen in our town, and we
- 11 did respond, but I can tell you from going to
- 12 the city council meetings in East Palo Alto
- 13 and Menlo Park and Atherton, this spread well
- 14 beyond San Bruno in terms of the confidence
- 15 and also the fear in the community from not
- 16 only our residents but also local officials
- 17 who were concerned that they needed to do
- 18 something.
- 19 I appreciate the opportunity to
- 20 address the Commission. I believe that we
- 21 must restore faith in all these important
- 22 performance aspects as we are truly hoping to
- 23 move forward to a better and safer tomorrow.
- 24 One last thing. As a responder of
- 25 30 years, not only the fire service but under
- 26 the national response system, I have been
- 27 involved with responses I mentioned to the
- 28 World Trade Center, the Oklahoma City

1 bombing, Hurricane Katrina, I helped recover

2 the Space Shuttle Columbia astronauts and a

3 number of other events. Earlier in 2010 we

4 had the aircraft crash in East Palo Alto. We

5 worked very closely with PG&E.

6 The go forward is very important.

7 This is where the dust starts to settle.

8 What we do from here on is going to be a

9 critical thing.

10 I don't know if tonight that we

11 will prevent these incidences from occurring.

12 As a fire chief I never tell you that that

13 could truly happen. I think we can make it

14 show we can do better. We can make things

15 safer. And we certainly don't have to repeat

16 the difficult lessons of the past.

17 So thank you for your time.

### 18 (Applause)

19 ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you.

20 That concludes the list of elected

21 officials.

22 Before we move on to the next list,

23 can we back up and make sure that there

24 aren't any other persons that were directly

25 affected that are present.

26 Would you like to address the

27 Commission?

28 STATEMENT OF MS. ALEXANDER

SB GT&S 0494909

1 MS. ALEXANDER: My name is Rochelle 2 Alexander. I live in South San Francisco. 3 My concern is I saw the blast start, and it was quite a long time before it went 4 5 out. 6 But we moved out here in '54, and 7 what concerns me is I'm sitting by pipes that are over 60 years old. And I have got 8

251

9 nothing from the PG&E, my bill or anything,

10 saying what are they doing, what kind of

11 testing are they doing, what do they intend

12 to do.

13 The only thing I got was about this

14 hearing. And I mean, what has it been, seven

15 months? So I am suggesting that people on

16 your list in this area be notified like you

17 notified them of this hearing so that we know

18 what's going on because I'm sitting close to

19 these lines and it kind of worries me. And

20 it would make things a lot easier for me if I

21 knew what PG&E was doing on my behalf.

22 Thank you.

23 (Applause)

24 ALJ BUSHEY: Everyone who is interested

25 in being notified as this proceeding moves on

 $\Box$ 

26 should stop at the public advisor's desk on

27 the way out and they can help you get signed

28 up to receive further notification.

252

- 1 Are there any additional elected
- 2 officials that wish to present to the
- 3 Commission?
- 4 (No response)
- 5 ALJ BUSHEY: All right, then.
- 6 Mr. Torres.
- 7 MR. TORRES: The pipe thickness is only
- 8 3.75 thickness, which is less than a half
- 9 inch thick. So why couldn't they have had
- 10 certified professional welders instead of
- 11 subcontracted people that came in
- 12 periodically and helped PG&E?
- 13 So I think that they need to have
- 14 certified welders and not just a weld to put
- 15 these things together. They are not that

16 tech.

- 17 ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you.
- 18 The next speaker, Joy-Ann Wendler.
- 19 STATEMENT OF MS. BUSTAMONTE
- 20 MS. BUSTAMONTE: I will be very brief.
- 21 First of all, my name is Pat Bustamonte. I
- 22 live in Cupertino.

23 I brought some information that I
24 don't think anyone in this room has except
25 me. I will read some of it. I will show you
26 something.
27 And I really have had no problems

28 with Pacific Gas and Electric, and I am going

253

1 to be 74 years old. I was born in

- 2 California. I am a sixth generation.
- 3 I think that the Utilities
- 4 Commission is doing a great job. I just
- 5 wanted to have a chance to say that.
- 6 All right. Now this is dated
- 7 September 9th, 2010, 3:29 p.m. Two asteroids
- 8 passed Earth one after the other on
- 9 Wednesday, according to the U.S. Space Agency
- 10 NASA. The larger of the two space rocks
- 11 Asteroid 2010RX30, I call it Frick, between
- 12 33 and 65 feet wide, passed within 154,000
- 13 miles of Earth, and the other one named
- 14 20110RF-12, I call it Frack, and measuring 20
- 15 by 46 feet, passed within 49,000 miles of
- 16 Earth. And these are asteroids. They are
- 17 rubble. A rock this size could have gone
- 18 down and ignited that gas, I don't care how
- 19 thick the pipe is.

- 20 ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you for that.
- 21 That's a different perspective.
- 22 MS. BUSTAMONTE: It is something to
- 23 think about. It may not have been -- we have
- 24 learned a lot of instructive things tonight.
- 25 It may not have been the fault of the people
- 26 that put the pipeline in. And they only
- 27 happen every 10,000 years. 9,700 years ago
- 28 another one hit --

- 1 ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you.
- 2 Our next speaker is Joy-Ann Wendler.
- 3 (No response)
- 4 Bruce Donoghue.
- 5 STATEMENT OF MR. DONOGHUE
- 6 MR. DONOGHUE: Thank you for allowing
- 7 me to speak. And thank you for serving the
- 8 public in California. And thank you for
- 9 listening and trying to digest the common
- 10 public's expressions of grief and
- 11 understanding of your rules.
- 12 I spent a number of hours trying to
- 13 decipher your Commission website along with
- 14 the federal regulations, along with other
- 15 PG&E ideas. It seemed very confusing. There
- 16 wasn't a hot topics list. There wasn't

17 references.

 $\Box$ 

- 18 I was given a reference of R.11
- 19 something or other. And after hours of
- 20 looking here, there and everywhere to get the
- 21 correct document so I could come to the
- 22 meeting and understand it, I eventually found
- 23 a news organization site.
- 24 I couldn't decipher the public
- 25 outreach of the Public Utilities Commission,
- 26 and I'm not computer literate, but I made a
- 27 real effort to sort out between the legalese
- 28 and the organization of IT and between other
  - 255
- 1 things. It was very difficult for me to
- 2 understand and be able to confirm facts so
- 3 that I could come forward and say that at
- 4 least I digest what you offer and I
- 5 understand what is happening.
- 6 The woman who spoke about public
- 7 information cards in all the mailings, that
- 8 would be very helpful.
- 9 I spent a lot of time mainly on the
- 10 MAOP, if I understand correctly, and that is
- 11 an interesting term. Evidently, from an
- 12 engineer's viewpoint, it is calculated when
- 13 the original design is made. There are a

- 14 number of factors that establish an envelope
- 15 of allowable pressures.
- 16 One idea is that there is a
- 17 definitive number between the state and the
- 18 fed saying this line has an MAOP of this
- 19 certain pressure for this line, if I
- 20 understand correctly, it was 400 psi or
- 21 somewhere close to that. Some people say
- 22 other pressures. But in that range.
- 23 The pipe had a thickness. It had an
- 24 engineering calculation. It was recorded.
- 25 The world should have been organized. And
- 26 there were no records, evidently, of this
- 27 line and many other lines.
- 28 So the notion goes that you have

- 1 come forward with your regulations and you
- 2 are trying to be clear and have a
- 3 perspective. I only want to get to two or
- 4 three very interesting details about the
- 5 MAOP.
- 6 PG&E has said they have tested many
- 7 miles of gas line and they have established
- 8 what is considered the weakest section of the
- 9 pipe by hydrostatic testing. And this was
- 10 after a certain period, 1970 I think if I

- 11 recall correctly. Well, no one has stated
- 12 anywhere what this test pressure is.
- 13 Now that might not seem very
- 14 important, but is it 10 percent greater than
- 15 the engineering calculations? Is it
- 16 25 percent greater than the original -- what
- 17 level of hydrostatic test was done?
- 18 The federal regulations leave it
- 19 open, the way I read them. Of course, I am
- 20 not an expert. And your regulations leave it
- 21 open. Again, I am I am not an expert. But
- 22 just to a common man's investigation, there
- 23 is no public official disclosure that's
- 24 reliable of what test pressure was used in
- 25 all of these gas lines.
- 26 I think you should have a flyer, it
- 27 should be on your website, it should be in a
- 28 mailing, these lines, this chart, we have
  - 257
- 1 established that in a hydrostatic test right
- 2 after construction for these period lines,
- 3 this line was tested to 99 percent of its
- 4 yield strength, 50 percent of its yield
- 5 strength, 80 percent of its operating
- 6 pressure.
- 7 No one understand what this is, but

8 this is the key idea in safety. In other words, you took and spent a lot of money to 9 verify what the operating pressure was, but 10 is it a proper level? 11 12 And so I give you the example of 13 Line 132. It had an unverified 400 psi operating pressure, if I understand it, in 14 15 the records. It was operated at a hundred 16 psi. So then for all these years it was at 95 percent, plus or minus, I am not an 17 accurate calculator, that it was operating at 18 95 percent of its burst strength. 19 20 Now how many lines have been hydrostatically tested, let's say 10 percent 21 greater than their maximum operating 22 23 pressure, and if you went to 12 percent it 24 would burst? No one knows the factor of 25 safety from the burst strength down to the maximum operating pressure. And that's 26 not -- I could see why no one wanted to pay 27 attention. It's a huge understanding. But 28 258

1 it's the primary fact.

2 You people, forgive me for the

3 criticism, are in default of terms. You want

4 to deal with this, you want to deal with

5 that. But the actual thing is when that is

6 stressed up, will it take the load and what

7 is the factor of safety?

8 You have reduced pressures 20 9 percent. Well, if the burst that happened here was actually a test and the pipe burst, 10 then you would have it fixed. Well, people 11 12 would say, well, we are back to the 400 psi 13 allowable. But would you test it again and when you test it at 430 psi and would you 14 really know what the factor of safety is? 15 16 Your 20 percent reduction in pressure reduced this pressure by 20 percent 17 and gave you a 20 percent factor of safety. 18 The original design of the pipe had a 50 19 20 percent mandatory factor of safety. 21 So you are legislating away the 22 original design tolerance. We are going to be safe, we're going to go ahead, we're going 23 to establish a 20 percent reduction, everyone 24 feel good about it. You guys ought to be 25 26 talking a 50 percent reduction if you don't 27 have a full hydrostatic test. Your hydrostatic test doesn't necessarily 28

 $\square$ 

259

1 establish the maximum burst pressure and you

2 are reducing the margin of safety.

3 So you come forward, everyone comes forward, it is safe to live on this street. 4 You could be within 3 percent of the same 5 6 thing happening again after all your 7 regulations and all the stuff has been done, 8 no matter what year. I can't tell you, can 9 you tell me, after 1970 what the hydrostatic 10 pressure was, this rule, that rule? 11 There is no way for the public to 12 understand those rules. That's where you 13 people need to pay a iittle more attention in getting public confidence back to a level 14 where they say, geez, the Public Commission 15 went ahead and established this rock-hard 16 17 primary idea. We have no (inaudible) 18 alternatives. We could talk about a lot of things. The only thing people care about is 19 20 that that line has a 50 percent factor of 21 safety and it's not going to blow up. 22 So the idea is I would hope you 23 would review your proposals. I would hope 24 you would establish a public disclosure office that brings this information out in 25 simplified form for the average guy to 26 27 understand. And I hope you will have 50 percent factual engineering established 28

SB GT&S 0494919

1 idea for the factor of safety.

2	The factor of safety on this line
3	for years and years and years and years
4	according to the documented records and
5	historical operation pressures was supposed
6	to be adequate. It was 2 percent. Why it
7	didn't burst before, who can tell?
8	Now, if that was the standard of the
9	welders in those days, statistically all
10	these pipes probably have a fairly decent low
11	factor of safety.
12	So your job is to reassure the
13	public by establishing a factor of safety.
14	Thank you very much. I appreciate
15	your time.
16	ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you.
17	(Applause) ]
18	ALJ BUSHEY: Phillip Tucker.
19	STATEMENT OF MR. TUCKER
20	MR. TUCKER: Commissioners, my name is
21	Phillip Tucker. I'm project director for
22	California Healthy Communities Network, Tides
23	Center, San Francisco. We work on
24	sustainable community development.
25	And in that pursuit, in Suisun City,

26 we came across high pressure pipelines as

SB\_GT&S\_0494920

27 well as gas pipelines running adjacent to a

28 project that we were evaluating. And what

261

- 1 that resulted in after we found that no one
- 2 could give us any information on those
- 3 pipelines and on their location and proximity
- 4 to a very, very large project adjacent to
- 5 Travis Air Force Base, we got involved with
- 6 the Pipeline Safety Trust and Mr. Carl
- 7 Weimer, who basically worked with us.
- 8 One of the things that we found that
- 9 was amazing was there's no studies or -- that
- 10 we could find, and that Mr. Weimer was aware
- 11 of, on the variant of safety of aging
- 12 hazardous pipelines. It's something for some
- 13 reason had been dropped, has missed the mark
- 14 of all the home studies for the federal
- 15 government.
- 16 We applied for a grant with the
- 17 Pipeline Hazardous Materials Safety
- 18 Administration and received a technical
- 19 assistance grant in 2009 to conduct such a
- 20 study.
- 21 Mr. Anthony Moscarelli, one of our
- 22 committee people who worked with us, also who
- 23 lives adjacent to this pipeline and this

SB\_GT&S\_0494921

particular project, took on that project as
our coordinator. He's here tonight.
What I wanted to tell you is that
this study was released last week. It's
34 pages, and what it really points out are

262

1 some things that I think are very critical.

2 We got Professor Robert Curry to

3 work with us because Bob Curry has had a lot

4 of experience in marshland, watershed and the

5 soils involved, especially when you have

6 these high-pressure lines running immediately

7 adjacent as well as jet fuel lines within --

8 within 40 feet of a 215,000 square foot

9 building going into -- into the marshland and

10 very little known about what the overall

11 ramifications safetywise are. And in our

12 opinion, public safety is one of the most

13 important elements of any healthy community.

14 So, again, we took on the project.

15 I'd like to just read you just a short thing

16 relative to our executive summary.

17 Two groups of hazardous fuel

18 pipelines pass through the community of

19 Suisun City at the San Joaquin River Delta in

20 San Francisco Bay. These include jet fuel

- 21 transmission pipelines delivering fuel for
- 22 Travis Air Force Base and the regional

23 high-pressure natural gas transmission lines

- 24 operated by PG&E.
- 25 Both pipeline systems are more than
- 26 50 years old and now pass through the
- 27 suburban, residential and commercial
- 28 neighborhoods of Suisun.
  - 263

This study uses the information 1 available to the public, as well as Freedom 2 of Information Act requests that cooperative 3 pipeline operators supplied information, to 4 5 evaluate potential safety issues with these 6 pipelines. 7 We conclude that the jet fuel pipelines are in poor condition and need to 8 be decommissioned as soon as possible. 9 10 We also recommend that Congress 11 reconsider classifications for so-called 12 gathering lines that are in fact used for fuel transmission deliveries in urban areas 13 rather than gathering in the oil fields. 14 We conclude that PG&E must continue 15 its gas transmission system upgrade to allow 16 more comprehensive and thorough routine 17

- 18 inspections of pipeline integrity.
- 19 Aging steel pipelines do not last
- 20 forever, and those over 50 years old need to
- 21 be carefully and frequently monitored.
- 22 We also recommend the further study
- 23 of questions raised during the study about
- 24 the operating parameters such as pressure
- 25 surges, safety issues such as shut-off
- 26 valves, and emergency response planning be
- 27 included in further studies.
- 28 We have applied for another grant to
  - 264

1 further take a lot of the information that

- 2 will be provided hopefully in the near future
- 3 to come out with some recommendations based
- 4 on facts and based on a lot of effort and

5 study.

6 I do want to say it was a real

7 tragedy to watch what happened in San Bruno

8 on television. When I came in, I found I had

9 heard on the radio as I was driving home that

- 10 an airliner had crashed, but then it was
- 11 corrected. When I saw the pictures of the
- 12 flames coming out of that, I knew what it
- 13 was, I knew in my gut, because all of our
- 14 research had pointed to this catastrophe,

- 15 which had been witnessed before.
- 16 And it's -- again, I don't think we
- 17 can -- we can equate profits when it comes to
- 18 public safety. And I agree with everything
- 19 that's been said here tonight relative to the
- 20 need to put safety first. And I would ask
- 21 this Commission to do everything in its power
- 22 to make sure that PG&E and other providers do
- 23 develop standards of which this particular
- 24 Commission can agree with and enforce.
- 25 Thank you very much.
- 26 ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you.
- 27 (Applause)
- 28 ALJ BUSHEY: Our next speaker is Rhea

1 Aguinaldo.

- 2 I understand that you're speaking on
- 3 behalf of several other speakers as well.
- 4 Thank you.
- 5 STATEMENT OF MS. AGUINALDO
- 6 MS. AGUINALDO: Good evening,
- 7 ALJ Bushey and Commissioners. My name is
- 8 Rhea Aguinaldo. I'm here in my capacity as
- 9 both a concerned Daly City resident and, more
- 10 importantly, as the associate director of the
- 11 National Asian American Coalition, or the

12 NAAC, whose headquarters are located in

13 San Bruno.

- 14 We are involved in many issues
- 15 before the PUC on a statewide basis. Our
- 16 constituents reside in San Mateo County as
- 17 well as throughout California. I was
- 18 designated by my organization to speak on
- 19 behalf of our constituents on issues brought
- 20 forth to the PUC today.
- 21 Representatives of our organization

22 are in the audience, and people holding the

23 signs throughout the audience are also with

24 us.

- 25 And I'm going to just read some of
- 26 the signs which state a lot of their
- 27 concerns.
- 28 PG&E President Chris Johns, we need
  - 266

1 you.

- 2 Let the shareholders, not the
- 3 public, pay for this, our safety.

4 Billions in rate increases, zero in

5 safety.

Lower our rates. Increase our

7 safety.

8 We want the Commissioners to help

- 9 solve the problem now with -- what we need is
- 10 a local emergency corps.
- 11 Some of our constituents are among
- 12 those families that lost their homes, and
- 13 it's difficult for us to minimize their
- 14 uncertainties since one of the strongest
- 15 governments in the world and one of the
- 16 leading utilities in the world could not
- 17 predict a disaster that was going on
- 18 recently. And that is the Japanese
- 19 government and the Tokyo utility company in
- 20 regards to the nuclear disaster going on.
- 21 I know that it's -- the disaster is
- 22 unlike San Bruno, but it does have its
- 23 similarities, which are the lack of
- 24 government in preparedness, the lack of
- 25 utilities and the lack of people being
- 26 prepared for the disaster.
- 27 So I'm speaking into that context
- 28 today, which is the growing fear of people in

- 1 San Bruno, people in the Bay Area, especially
- 2 the PG&E customers, and this quote is often
- 3 brought up by the PUC: How can we make sure
- 4 that this will never happen again.
- 5 I'd like to go over a survey that we

- 6 administered of 190 PG&E ratepayers primarily
- 7 in San Mateo County.
- 8 When asked whether the CPUC should
- 9 first punish PG&E or fix the problem,
- 10 85 percent of ratepayers believe the PUC
- 11 should first fix the problem.
- 12 90 percent of ratepayers believe
- 13 that Governor Brown and the PUC should
- 14 require PG&E to train local, skilled
- 15 residents to manually turn off the gas lines
- 16 to avert future explosions.
- 17 When asked who should bear the cost
- 18 of fixing the problem, 72 percent of
- 19 ratepayers believe that PG&E shareholders and
- 20 top executives should bear the cost of fixing
- 21 the problem. Only 6 percent believe the
- 22 ratepayers should pay the cost. And the
- 23 remaining 22 percent believe that it should
- 24 be paid for by jointly PG&E and the

25 ratepayers.

- 26 And lastly, 88 percent of ratepayers
- 27 believe PG&E should be denied of any rate
- 28 increases until it fixes all of its gas

- 1 transmission problems.
- 2 Okay. So we -- the NAAC, like the

- 3 Commission, want to make sure that this never
- 4 happens again. And we have two solutions,
- 5 two quick actions that can be implemented by
- 6 PG&E and can be guided by the PUC that should
- 7 be done within the next ten days. It
- 8 shouldn't take another seven months to make
- 9 this happen.
- 10 The first is massive community
- 11 disaster preparedness education. This should
- 12 be, as I said, supported by PG&E, guided by
- 13 the PUC and led by community-based
- 14 organizations such as the NAAC.
- 15 The second is the training of a
- 16 local emergency gas pipeline preparedness
- 17 corps to resolve any future crises. And also
- 18 this should be guided by the PUC.
- 19 There really should be no reason
- 20 that it should take more than 5 to 10 minutes
- 21 to shut off the gas lines, unlike the
- 22 90 minutes that it took in September.
- 23 So again, working with community-
- 24 based organizations like the National Asian
- 25 American Coalition -- I'm also representing
- 26 four other organizations that are in the room
- 27 today: The Black Economic Council and the
- 28 Latino Business Chamber, who also conducted

- 1 the survey with us, as well as NAHREP, the
- 2 National Hispanic Association of Real Estate
- 3 Professionals.
- 4 And I'd like them to stand around
- 5 the room.
- 6 And I'd also like to acknowledge
- 7 Assemblyman Jerry Hill, who is our
- 8 assemblyman and who also will be working with
- 9 us closely on this issue.
- 10 Thank you.
- 11 (Applause)
- 12 ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you.
- 13 The next speaker is Anthony
- 14 Moscarelli.
- 15 STATEMENT OF MR. MOSCARELLI
- 16 MR. MOSCARELLI: My name is Anthony
- 17 Moscarelli.
- 18 I was going to follow after Phil
- 19 Tucker. I'm the one who wrote the grant for
- 20 the grant awards received from the Pipeline
- 21 and Hazardous Materials Safety
- 22 Administration.
- 23 I was quite worried about our aging
- 24 pipelines since I've lived so close to them.
- 25 I have a mechanical background from Lawrence
- 26 Berkeley Laboratories. I know a lot about
- 27 welding materials. I know about different

28 materials and their ages and how they have

- 1 changed metallurgy in all these years,
- 2 welding techniques. I've learned a lot.
- 3 I also don't trust everything I'm
- 4 told. We test things. We know how to check
- 5 things up at the lab. But I'm going to talk
- 6 about our pipelines.
- 7 I was the only one in the nation
- 8 that applied for a grant for aging pipelines,
- 9 the only one that had the insight to do this.
- 10 And was I upset and somebody called me up and
- 11 says, it happened. And it was San Bruno.
- 12 Back in 2008 in Appomattox,
- 13 Virginia, luckily in a -- farm lands, a
- 14 pipeline burst. And I saw that and that
- 15 shocked me. What would have happened if that
- 16 would have happened in a populated area?
- 17 Now I got together with Carl Weimer,
- 18 and he's very well known. The Pipeline
- 19 Safety Trust was put together back after the
- 20 Bellingham disaster, and it was done because
- 21 a federal judge took part of the award and
- 22 funded it. And they're a watchdog, and
- 23 they've done a wonderful, wonderful job. And
- 24 he's in Texas now testifying on another -- I

25 think he's already testified here on the

26 San Bruno.

- 27 But we went ahead and did this
- 28 study, and we were looking at all the aspects

- 1 of what could happen. We looked at the
- 2 emergency from our own, let's say, local
- 3 officials, who's got a little bit too
- 4 comfortable with the gas utilities. And it
- 5 seems to be catching, because they are very,
- 6 very smooth talking.
- 7 I actually had a very good
- 8 experience with PG&E as such as cooperating.
- 9 My gosh, I got \$50,000 to do this grant study
- 10 which we spent almost three years on. I did
- 11 it for -- out of love, and -- I should say,
- 12 and Professor Curry did it the same way. We
- 13 really made no money on this at all. Just
- 14 barely paid our bills.
- 15 So what has happened is that I've
- 16 been listening to all these people jumping on
- 17 board something that I've already been
- 18 working on before. And before when I
- 19 applied, I was the -- I got the only grant in
- 20 California, the only one in the nation taking
- 21 and going after these aging pipelines, and

22 now we're seeing everybody jumping on the
23 bandwagon. And I'm kind of concerned with
24 this.

Now at the last Pipeline Safety
Trust meeting, I came up with a three-point
plan to do a database for the whole nation.
Now I got -- heard that from Jackie Speier's

spokesperson almost copying what I have

1

272

already -- had submitted. I had excitement 2 from the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials 3 Safety Administration, but guess what? No 4 funding. It seems like 50,000 is about all 5 6 they can fund. 7 And but yet, I didn't know that all these commissions that are setting up blue 8 panel basically without public involvement, 9 people that actually live by these pipelines 10 involved in it, are making a lot of money, 11 12 probably millions of dollars between all of 13 them. But yet we need something that's standard, a database. We need to take and be 14 able to test our own pipelines. We need to 15 16 take -- and every time something is taken out of service, it's inspected, not every one, 17 but done by an independent lab, not any more 18

19 of this independent integrity management

20 that's kept in-house so nobody knows what

21 happened.

These things should be put together
in a database, and they will be put together
and submitted all around the nation.
California should be leading all this. We
can be the leaders of this nation in putting
together a database for replacement. Work
together with labor, work together with

1 public officials, industry. Put together a

2 system.

3 The system that I looked at would

4 take about three years to develop and could

5 be put through the whole nation. And also,

6 we would find out about these patterns.

7 Why was I worried about the

8 pipeline? It seemed like there's a pattern

9 that's been going on. 1950 vintage pipelines

10 have been bursting across the United States,

11 one at -- one in Virginia, 1950. San Jose,

12 New Mexico, 1950 vintage.

13 And which is the vintage pipeline

14 that just burst here? 1950 vintage. So it

15 is exactly the same kind of pipeline we have

16 in our area.

17 And so when I heard the same things

18 being said to me, these pipelines last

- 19 forever, well, nothing lasts forever.
- 20 And we would like for you to put
- 21 together -- or if you're going to do
- 22 something, put panels together, get safety
- 23 people involved, and I hate to say it, let's
- 24 get some people that are not, I would put it,
- 25 as already recognized as being involved in
- 26 these things because people don't trust them
- 27 any more.
- 28 And so I think without anymore,

274

- 1 let's get some more studies done and correct
- 2 our safety problems.
- 3 COMMISSIONER FLORIO: Do you have a
- 4 copy of your report that you could provide to

5 our staff?

6 MR. MOSCARELLI: Yes, I have -- it is

- 7 online. If you want to go -- we started on
- 8 this back -- it was three years ago. It's
- 9 healthycommunityresearch.com. And we are now
- 10 adding in some -- a lot of the data that we
- 11 have of the -- PG&E, I have to say this, they
- 12 were cooperative, but what could a study for

13 \$50,000 do to hurt them? Nothing.

14 Absolutely nothing. And they have been

15 cooperative. So I want them to continue. I

16 want to see these pipelines. I want to see

17 the data.

18 And Professor Curry has also been

19 involved with PG&E and has gone over the data

20 before when they were doing their smart pigs.

21 And he actually stopped a sports complex from

22 being built in Tracy because of the condition

23 of that pipeline, which had to be replaced.

24 This is the kind of thing that we

25 need to take and be proactive about checking

26 these things out and making sure we keep our

27 public safe.

28 Thank you very much.

275

1 (Applause)

2 COMMISSIONER FLORIO: I would

3 encourage you, as well as the Pipeline Safety

4 Trust, to get involved in our formal

5 proceeding. We need input from folks who

6 have been working in this field and certainly

7 welcome any kind of input that we can get.

8 ALJ BUSHEY: The next speaker, Diana

9 Marie Delfin Polk.

SB GT&S 0494936

10 STATEMENT OF MS. DELFIN POLK

11 MS. DELFIN POLK: Good evening, ALJ

12 Bushey and Commissioners.

13 My name is Diana Delfin Polk. I am

14 a graduate of UC Berkeley and a Master's in

15 Public Policy candidate at Mills College in

16 Oakland. And I have been living in the Bay

17 Area for about four years now.

18 I work in San Bruno. My nonprofit

19 is headquartered here in San Bruno, El Camino

20 Real, about five minutes away from this

21 location.

22 I've been doing a lot of research

23 on the gas explosion problem on behalf of my

24 organization and also for some projects that

25 I've been doing in my work at Mills.

26 In my opinion, this does not need

27 to happen again. But as all students of

28 history know, crises that never happen again

- 1 are often -- that should never happen again
- 2 are often repeated. And this is because we
- 3 do cut corners in our future solutions. And
- 4 I urge that we don't cut any more corners and
- 5 that PG&E don't cut any more corners.
- 6 PG&E, this Commission, Governor

- 7 Brown, and our community needs to work
- 8 together against the common enemy which is
- 9 a future gas transmission problem. And I say
- 10 to this Commission, the CEO of PG&E: Enough
- 11 is enough.
- 12 Thank you.
- 13 (Applause)
- 14 ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you. Jalen Lewis.
- 15 STATEMENT OF MR. LEWIS
- 16 MR. LEWIS: My name is Jalen Lewis.
- 17 I'm in sixth-grade and I live in San Jose,
- 18 California. I'm here today because I'm
- 19 concerned about my safety.
- 20 ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you.
- 21 (Applause)
- 22 ALJ BUSHEY: Yolanda Lewis.
- 23 STATEMENT OF MS. LEWIS
- 24 MS. LEWIS: Hi. My name is Yolanda
- 25 Lewis. I'm here today -- I live in San Jose,
- 26 and that was my son Jalen.
- 27 I came here today speaking on
- 28 behalf of the Black community. But as

- 1 I think about the situation, it's color
- 2 blind. Disaster is colorblind. Death is
- 3 colorblind. And I listened to the names of

- 4 those individuals who died, and those were
- 5 families. So I stand here before you as
- 6 a mother, representing millions of mothers
- 7 California who are concerned about our
- 8 families.
- 9 What we want is we want to make
- 10 sure we have something in place, not to hear
- 11 that it won't happen again. Because as you
- 12 heard, there's not very much belief that
- 13 that's going to happen because the problem's
- 14 a lot bigger than I think a lot people
- 15 understand or I think. But I want to be
- 16 empowered as an individual in my community.
- 17 And I heard the fire chief say that they
- 18 heard that it was a airplane. And the people
- 19 of the community are the ones who provided
- 20 the information to the first responders.
- 21 The people in this community need to be
- 22 educated. We need to be empowered so that we
- 23 can take steps and actions that in our own
- 24 hands. We want the Commission, we want
- 25 the governor, we want PG&E to come as
- 26 a collaboration to empower us with education,
- 27 information and knowledge.
- 28 I want to know what I should do in

- 1 that situation. I live in San Jose but if
- 2 I lived in San Bruno, what I would have done?
- 3 What would I instruct my son to do?
- 4 We need to make sure the community
- 5 is informed, that we are trained. And as
- 6 Leah stated earlier, we want to a task force
- 7 in every community that PG&E serves. Because
- 8 until this problem rectified, we're always
- 9 going to be in fear. We are going to be
- 10 panicked.
- 11 Just as you see, what Faith
- 12 mentioned in Japan, it's a different
- 13 situation, but when you have a disaster and
- 14 a tragedy such as the level that they had
- 15 here in San Bruno without empowering the
- 16 people in the community, it's not fair. It's
- 17 not something that's fair, and we have no
- 18 control and it makes it that much worse for
- 19 us.
- 20 So we implore you, we implore the
- 21 governor, we ask the management, the senior
- 22 leadership of PG&E to take a proactive stand
- 23 and to take the steps to proactively educate
- 24 and train members of the community so that we
- 25 can take actions and not wait around for
- 26 someone else to take our destiny into their
- 27 own hands.
- 28 Thank you.

 $\Box$ 

- 1 (Applause)
- 2 ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you.
- 3 May Perez.
- 4 STATEMENT OF MS. PEREZ

5 MS. PEREZ: Good evening. My name is

- 6 May Perez, and I live in Millbrae which is
- 7 two and a half miles away from the San Bruno
- 8 explosion.
- 9 I am afraid this could happen again
- 10 if PG&E does not act now to ensure our
- 11 safety. When another disaster occurs, we
- 12 should not wait an hour-and-a-half for PG&E
- 13 staff to shut off the gas lines. They should
- 14 create a massive community education program
- 15 to prevent another San Bruno explosion.
- 16 The community does not have
- 17 confidence that either PG&E or the Commission
- 18 knows what to do or will protect us from
- 19 future explosions. We do not want another
- 20 explosion, and we do not want a Japanese
- 21 nuclear-like disaster.
- 22 The Commission and the president of
- 23 PG&E should meet with the community within
- 24 ten days to develop an effective future plan.
- 25 Every PG&E ratepayer must be fully prepared

- 26 to protect themselves if there is a future
- 27 disaster. This means that PG&E must, with
- 28 input from the community, help devise an

- 1 emergency disaster education program.
- 2 Thank you.
- 3 ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you.
- 4 (Applause)
- 5 ALJ BUSHEY: Paula Bustos.
- 6 STATEMENT OF MS. BUSTOS
- 7 MS. BUSTOS: Good evening, everyone.

8 My name is Paula Bustos. My husband and I

- 9 live in Burlingame, a short drive from San
- 10 Bruno explosion.
- 11 I work in San Bruno for a nonprofit
- 12 that wants to make sure that nowhere in
- 13 California will there ever be another gas

14 explosion.

- 15 This is the first time I have ever
- 16 spoken at a public hearing. I hope it is

17 the last time. And I'm hoping this problem

18 will be solved immediately. In Tagalog:

19 Ngayon na.

20 Thank you.

- 21 ALJ BUSHEY: Mia Martinez.
- 22 STATEMENT OF MS. MARTINEZ

MS. MARTINEZ: Good evening. My first
name is Mia. My last name is Martinez.
So first of all, I'd like to thank
the Commission for holding this public
hearing.
And my office is located in San

 $\Box$ 

- 1 Bruno. And it is our hope that this
- 2 Commission will not stop at this hearing but
- 3 take real action, preventing another
- 4 disaster. And there are of course very many
- 5 opportunities for the PUC to do so, as
- 6 previously mentioned by other speakers prior.
- 7 For example, we request
- 8 the Commission to urge PG&E to promote and
- 9 invest in a safety measure program that will
- 10 train local community members or residents to
- 11 respond quickly during times of crisis.
- 12 A flier that was distributed at the
- 13 end of the hall, it of course was mentioned
- 14 by Meria Canonizado earlier, recommends
- 15 an emergency gas pipeline corps. Such
- 16 emergency response programs will not only
- 17 help -- will not only prevent another
- 18 disaster here in San Bruno but could also
- 19 perhaps be a model for other regions and

- 20 states to follow.
- 21 So again, thank you very much for
- 22 listening to our concerns and our
- 23 recommendations. And please continue to
- 24 promote community participation and keep us
- 25 engaged not just as ratepayers without
- 26 a voice, especially when it concerns our
- 27 safety.

28 So thank you.

- 1 ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you.
- 2 Victoria Torres. Victoria Torres?
- 3 (No response)
- 4 ALJ BUSHEY: All right. Frances
- 5 Boscacci. Sorry.
- 6 STATEMENT OF MS. BOSCACCI
- 7 MS. BOSCACCI: Thank you, Commission.
- 8 My name is Frances Boscacci.
- 9 I live in San Mateo. I'm a real estate
- 10 agent. I'm here to speak as a very concerned
- 11 citizen about our safety. I work all over
- 12 the San Mateo Peninsula. I was working in
- 13 San Bruno the day of the tragedy.
- 14 I am very concerned. My -- many of
- 15 my clients are very concerned about their
- 16 safety.

- 17 As you are very aware, as a real
- 18 estate agent, it is my job to tell my
- 19 potential buyers and homeowners what dangers
- 20 are near their home, and this is a great
- 21 concern of mine.
- 22 I'm here also as the president of
- 23 San Mateo chapter of the National Hispanic
- 24 Organization of Real Estate Associates. And
- 25 I would like to read a statement that the
- 26 president of the National Hispanic
- 27 Organization of Real Estate Associates wanted
- 28 to read but she had to leave.

 $\Box$ 

- 283
- 1 And obviously, the same concerns
- 2 that we have and that we represent are
- 3 similar concerns of the San Mateo County
- 4 Association of Realtors. We all would like
- 5 the authorities and the communities to work
- 6 together so that we can have pertinent
- 7 information to disclose to our clients and to
- 8 our communities.
- 9 So I on behalf of Patricia Lindo
- 10 the president of the National Hispanic
- 11 Organization of Real Estate Associates,
- 12 I will read this statement.
- 13 I'm here today to speak on behalf of

- 14 two very important Hispanic community
- 15 organizations: NHORA, the National
- 16 Hispanic Organization of Real Estate
- 17 Associates and the Latino Business
- 18 Chamber of Greater Los Angeles,
- 19 the largest California Hispanic chamber
- 20 of commerce. Our organizations
- 21 collectively represent more than
- 22 400,000 constituents in PG&E's
- 23 territory. We live in San Mateo
- 24 County. Many members of NHORA are or
- 25 were here today. Many members of NHORA
- 26 live and work throughout the PG&E
- 27 territory, including Santa Clara, San
- 28 Mateo and San Francisco counties. Many

- 1 of our members are or were here --
- 2 I've already said that.
- 3 Responsible realtors are a safety
- 4 net for this Commission and PG&E. Many
- 5 homeowners and families rely on us for
- 6 far more than just buying a home. We
- 7 are a key link in this commission.
- 8 I'm here to rely -- to respectfully
- 9 and formally ask PG&E and the
- 10 Commission to take specific actions to

- 11 make sure that the San Bruno disaster
- 12 never happens again. We invite PG&E on
- 13 behalf of NHORA and the Hispanic
- 14 chambers throughout California to
- 15 a dialogue with our community. This
- 16 should include all five Commissioners
- 17 and the 100 top executives at PG&E. If
- 18 we're convinced that PG&E will allocate
- 19 the resources backed by immeasurable
- 20 goals to help the homeowners and all
- 21 ratepayers, then we will be your
- 22 strongest supporters. If we determine
- 23 you will neglect your responsibilities
- and duties, rest assured that we will
- 25 be your loudest, objective critics and
- 26 will advocate at the PUC for the rights
- of our constituents.

- 28 I would also like to specifically
  - 285
  - 1 commend someone who invited us here
  - 2 today, a colleague from San Bruno who
  - 3 is doing far more than anyone else to
  - 4 protect homeowners in distress and PG&E
  - 5 residents who fear another gas
  - 6 explosion. This person is here today.
  - 7 We really thank her. Her name is Faith

- 8 Bautista, president of the National
- 9 Asian-American Coalition with its
- 10 national headquarters in San Bruno.
- 11 And we beg her to continue including us
- 12 in the dialogue.
- 13 Thank you very much.
- 14 (Applause)
- 15 ALJ BUSHEY: Marilyn Becklehimer.
- 16 STATEMENT OF MS. BECKLEHIMER
- 17 MS. BECKLEHIMER: Good evening. My
- 18 name is Marilyn Becklehimer. I'm a real
- 19 estate agent and I'm a past president of
- 20 the Filipino-American Real Estate
- 21 Professional and working with the NACC.
- 22 The Commission said it wants to
- 23 make sure that the gas explosion never happen
- 24 again. This can only occur if the
- 25 community's involved. Within two weeks we
- 26 expect that the commission, commissioner will
- 27 come to San Bruno along with the president
- 28 and CEO of PG&E for a second meeting, for

- 1 a second hearing. At this hearing,
- 2 the Commissioner, the PG&E -- and PG&E should
- 3 come up with a solution based on what they
- 4 have heard today.

- 5 Thank you so much.
- 6 ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you.
- 7 (Applause)
- 8 ALJ BUSHEY: Patricia Lindo.
- 9 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: She had to
- 10 leave.
- 11 ALJ BUSHEY: She had to leave?
- 12 Benjamin Rojas.
- 13 STATEMENT OF MR. ROJAS
- 14 MR. ROJAS: Hi. Thank you for allowing
- 15 me to speak.
- 16 My name is Ben Rojas and although
- 17 though I live in San Francisco, my family
- 18 lives in San Bruno and surrounding area.
- 19 I work with a couple of nonprofits:
- 20 The National Hispanic Organization of Real
- 21 Estate Associates and the National
- 22 Asian-American Coalition. I'm here to share
- 23 what my community feels needs to be addressed
- 24 by PG&E.

- 25 We feel that the California Public
- 26 Utilities Commission needs to enforce PG&E to
- 27 create a local, trained specialist group of
- 28 individuals in your area, in our areas to be

287

1 able to shut off gas in less than 1-1/2 hours

2 of time.

3 And 90 minutes is a long time to

4 wait for -- outside of your home to be

5 burning at 600 to 800 degrees.

6 Additionally, we'd like PG&E to

7 enforce emergency evacuation and community

8 organization to be prepared for emergencies

9 such as this.

10 In 2009, the Pipeline and Hazardous

11 Materials Safety Administration noted that of

12 the 2.4 million miles of pipeline in the

13 U.S., only 420 inspectors are employed to

14 keep track of that distance. That's roughly

15 the distance of here to New York and back,

16 for one inspector.

17 A lot individuals have been looking

18 for data on pipes, age of pipes, failure

19 rates, pressure tests, and I just don't think

20 this exists.

21 With one person assigned

22 the distance of here to New York and back,

23 it's just not feasible. So we need more

24 money allocated to reports, to the community

25 organizations who live and reside in this

26 area to be able to inform the community that

27 directly is affected by your policies.

28 As part of the California Public

288

SB GT&S 0494950

- 2 and protect the local community who is
- 3 directly affected by these pipelines.
- 4 Thank you.
- 5 ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you.
- 6 (Applause)
- 7 ALJ BUSHEY: Our next speaker is Sudi
- 8 Scull.
- 9 STATEMENT OF MS. SCULL
- 10 MS. SCULL: Thank you, Commissioners.
- 11 Hi. I'm Sudi Scull. I'm
- 12 the co-chair of SmartMeters SF.
- 13 The San Bruno explosion was
- 14 a tragedy of profound proportions. All of us
- 15 in the room today share in San Bruno's pain
- 16 and sorrow. Obviously, we want to prevent
- 17 a disaster like this from happening again.
- 18 Some say it is an anomaly and many
- 19 experts blame it on an aging gas pipe
- 20 infrastructure. Much attention has gone to
- 21 PG&E's faulty welds in the main pipe, spiking
- 22 high gas pressure, and improper
- 23 documentation. We need to look at the cause
- 24 of this accident from all angles and consider
- 25 that are there might be multiple causes in
- 26 this explosion.

- 27 According to the Wall Street
- 28 Journal, there has been a dramatic increase

- 1 in the incidents of gas explosions recently.
- 2 We may have an aging national pipeline
- 3 infrastructure. But even so, with so many
- 4 pipes start exploding suddenly, all at once.
- 5 Maybe, but maybe not. And I don't think so.
- 6 Since San Bruno there have been
- 7 three major explosions written up in the Wall
- 8 Street Journal: One in Philadelphia,
- 9 Pennsylvania, one in Allentown, Pennsylvania
- 10 just outside Philadelphia, and recently on
- 11 March 18 there was a ball of flames explosion
- 12 in Minneapolis, Minnesota.
- 13 I spoke with Center Point Energy in
- 14 Minneapolis and Pennsylvania Gas and Electric
- 15 in Philadelphia and Allentown. Not
- 16 surprisingly, all three sites, and four sites
- 17 if we include the San Bruno, had wireless
- 18 smart meters installed on their gas and
- 19 electric meters. PG&E calls them Smart
- 20 Meters. The other utilities meters call them
- 21 AMR, automatic meter reading.
- 22 PG&E states when you smell gas, do
- 23 not use your automatic garage door opener,

your doorbell or, more importantly, turn off
your cell phone. These can create a spark
that can cause a fire. A wireless meter is
like a cell phone with RF radiation that
sends pulses every one to two minutes.

- 1 The meter itself could be sending the spark
- 2 or the cell phone interaction with a smart
- 3 meter could be spending the spark. This all
- 4 needs to be thoroughly researched.
- 5 How many more tragic explosions
- 6 need to occur before the utility companies
- 7 and the regulatory commissioners wake up and
- 8 do their job -- delivering safe and
- 9 reasonably priced energy to homes and
- 10 businesses.
- 11 Here in California, Silver Springs
- 12 Network smart meter was never independently
- 13 tested for safety. President Peevey from the
- 14 CPUC admitted before the state legislature
- 15 that there was never any testing done, an
- 16 environmental impact report was never done,
- 17 and the smart meter does not have a UL code
- 18 on it. If we are buying it and especially if
- 19 we are being forced to buy these smart
- 20 meters, it is a consumer product and it needs

- 21 to be tested for safety.
- 22 What is going on here? PG&E has

23 a history of corporate greed and repeatedly

- 24 putting that before public safety.
- 25 PG&E spent \$46 million on Prop. 16
- 26 last year. Last year, CEO Peter Darbee and
- 27 president Christopher Johns each received
- 28 substantial increases in their base salaries.
  - 291
- 1 Darbee made 1.2 million and Johns made
- 2 600,000 last year. These are huge amounts of
- 3 money. They're not small amounts.
- 4 Meanwhile, the CPUC only fined PG&E
- 5 a paltry 3 million for the San Bruno
- 6 explosion.
- 7 What is going on here?
- 8 It's obvious and it's been stated
- 9 many times before but it just appears to me
- 10 that the CPUC cares more about PG&E's profits
- 11 than the public safety. It just always seems
- 12 to come down to money.
- 13 PG&E needs to be broken apart and
- 14 made into a true not-for-profit utilities
- 15 company.
- 16 And, you know, I have enjoyed each
- 17 of you commissioners here and I continue to

- 18 do, but I just wonder if somehow the CPUC was
- 19 set up differently and possibly if you guys
- 20 were elected versus appointed, that the CPUC
- 21 would be acting less like a bureaucracy and
- 22 more like the CPUC that needs to be
- 23 protecting the public.
- 24 We need a Smart Meter moratorium
- 25 now so that safety and health issues can be
- 26 independently and thoroughly researched.
- 27 Thank you.
- 28 ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you.

1 Michael Boyd.

- 2 STATEMENT OF MR. BOYD
- 3 MR. BOYD: I brought a visual aid.
- 4 Hello. My name is Mike Boyd and
- 5 I'm the president of Californians for
- 6 Renewable Energy, Inc., CARE.
- 7 And at first, I wanted to make

8 a procedural request. I had -- there was an

9 e-mail address on -- that you guys put online

- 10 to become a party in this proceeding. I've
- 11 sent a request to this address and it was
- 12 rejected, something about 30-day timeline or
- 13 something that I must have missed.
- 14 ALJ BUSHEY: Mr. Boyd, if you talk to

15 our public advisor, the desk outside --

16 MR. BOYD: I did. They told me to talk

17 to you to become a party.

18 ALJ BUSHEY: Well, you are hereby

19 authorized. All right. There you go.

20 MR. BOYD: Okay. Essentially what

21 I would like to do is first talk about this

22 thing that I've handed you, which includes

23 pictures from the NTSB report that came out

24 in January.

25 My group CARE has -- and I'm not

26 a party in this, as I said earlier -- has an

27 application to, before the Commission to

28 modify Decision 06-07-027. And what that

293

1 decision is that's the original proceeding

2 for PG&E's smart meter. And as one of the

3 previous speakers said, there was no CEQA

4 analysis done and there was no risk analysis

5 done on the smart meter before it was

6 installed in everybody's homes without their

7 permission.

8 ALJ BUSHEY: Mr. Boyd, we're here in

9 a different proceeding. This is from

10 Application 10-09-028.

11 MR. BOYD: I'm aware of that. I'm

12 going to talk about the information here.

13 That's why I'm giving you the background on

14 what this is from so that you don't think I'm

15 trying to, you know, do something I'm not

16 supposed to.

17 ALJ BUSHEY: Okay. Could you link

18 the information you're presenting to

19 the rulemaking in front of the commission?

20 MR. BOYD: Yes, ma'am. I'm trying to

21 get information. My understanding is this is

22 information about pipeline safety; is that

23 correct?

- 24 ALJ BUSHEY: But we're not here to get
- 25 information. We're here to listen to

26 comments from the public.

- 27 MR. BOYD: I'm requesting your
- 28 assistance in getting information. That's

294

what I'm trying to get, and that's why I'm
 referring to this, is because there's a big
 part of the picture that's being missed here
 in safety. And it's not clear to me whether
 that's because of financial irregularities or
 something. But I can't get the information
 I need to determine -- what I'm trying to do
 is before you can come up with a plan for

9 safety, okay, you have to do what's called

10 a failure mode analysis.

- 11 You have to figure out what the root
- 12 cause of this catastrophic event was. The
- 13 reason I am wearing this coat here is because

]

- 14 I was going to state my qualifications. I
- 15 have worked for 20 years doing failure
- 16 analysis in the industry. And for ten years
- 17 I worked for this company called QP Labs in
- 18 Santa Clara. I did military and space level
- 19 testing, failure analysis using radiation
- 20 effects, that kind of thing, high temperature
- 21 testing, low temperature testing, all those
- 22 kind of things.
- 23 So what I did was I went with my
- 24 background and I looked at that NTSB report,
- 25 and some of the things that they're missing
- 26 which are critical to getting to the root
- 27 cause are, one, that there was a fire that
- 28 burned for quite a while before the

- 1 explosions began to occur. If you look at
- 2 page 7 here of what I handed out, you will
- 3 see two pictures that I took from the NTSB
- 4 report. And what they show, and I have added
- 5 in red text here, is there was a fire zone

6 and there was a burn zone.

7	In the burn zone you will see that
8	it's carbonized because of the burn in the
9	asphalt. The fire zone is where the asphalt
10	melted. You will notice that it is
11	perpendicular it is parallel to the pipe.
12	And that's important because it shows there
13	was a fire that burned for a sufficient long
14	time to burn the asphalt before the explosion
15	occurred.
16	Now if you look at page 8 here, you
17	see two pictures on page 8 which are, I have
18	noted, the figures from the NTSB report by
19	their numbers, you will see that this is
20	below the section where the pipe was bent up,
21	in the middle where it was collapsed. You
22	will see that the below the pipe was areas
23	where there was no asphalt. And you will see
24	in the lower picture here that there's one
25	area where there's pitting or unoxidized
26	steel. You can see the difference in the
27	steel there. It's not rusty.
28	Now what my hypothesis thinks is
	296

1 that something caused that fire, and what

2 that something was is what is commonly

3 referred to is arc flash event. Something caused that arc flash event. One possibility 4 is that the power facility in Milpitas when 5 it went down, it didn't go down hard and that 6 7 it was basically sending surges on the pipe 8 from Milpitas to cause that spark. 9 The other possibility is that when 10 the smart meter tried to take a reading, since the smart meter was no longer properly 11 grounded because there was no power on the 12 main anymore from Milpitas, it caused the 13 breakers in the house to trip. The surge 14 arrestors in the house then sent a 32-amp, 15 220-volt arc to the pipe. 16 Now, how do I prove that? The way I 17 18 prove that is I need metered information. I 19 need the network data from PG&E. I need to 20 see what readings they took from the smart meters before and after the explosion in San 21 Bruno. I can't get that information. Only 22 you can get that information from PG&E. And 23 24 if you can't, then I am going to go to the 25 Legislature. 26 So the point is that you got to get to the root cause before you can come up with 27 28 a safety plan. You have to identify the

- 1 failure modes of the explosion, and then you
- 2 can come up with a safety plan.
- 3 There's lots of measures you can do,
- 4 the best being avoidance, okay. You could
- 5 have had the pressure lower. That may help.
- 6 Or you could have the surge protection
- 7 system. I don't know. There's other things
- 8 that we can do. Once we get to the root
- 9 cause, we can then put in measures to prevent
- 10 this from re-occurring. Without that you're
- 11 just guessing. You are making a guess, and
- 12 it's just going to cost a whole lot of money
- 13 with no proven outcome.
- 14 Now that's the technical
- 15 information.
- 16 Now I want to make some statements
- 17 about what needs to be done to fix the
- 18 problem, which I see as a systemic problem
- 19 with PG&E.
- 20 PG&E is a company that kills its
- 21 customers. And this is not the first time it
- 22 killed its customers. On June 14th, 2000, a
- 23 company called Enron constrained the
- 24 transmission grid, and as a result there were
- 25 blackouts. A company called Calpine, a
- 26 company called Mirant, took their power
- 27 plants off line and PG&E started rolling

28 blackouts in the San Francisco Bay area that

298

- 1 killed ten people. No one went to jail even
- 2 though that blackout was contrived. Enron
- 3 even admitted to it. Okay?
- 4 So fundamentally PG&E flipped the
- 5 switch and killed ten people as a result.
- 6 And now here we are nearly ten years
- 7 later or approximately ten years later, more
- 8 than ten years later, and they did it again.
- 9 They killed eight more people. And the fact
- 10 of the matter is you guys aren't in a
- 11 position to do anything about it because of
- 12 the state budget. Because most of the --
- 13 there's a big chunk of money being invested
- 14 in PG&E, stock being held, by the pension
- 15 funds of state employees.
- 16 So my first recommendation is that
- 17 you guys ask the pension fund to divest from
- 18 PG&E so that when you do something to PG&E it
- 19 doesn't hurt the people's pensions, because
- 20 if you guys give a hundred million dollar
- 21 fine to PG&E and that hurts the people that
- 22 are CaIPERS investments, that's not going to
- 23 help fix the budget. That is going to make
- 24 the budget worse, okay?

 $\Box$ 

So first you got to divest yourself
from the state. It's the same way when we
were dealing with South Africa. We divested
from them and then we had the leverage we
299

1 need to do the right thing.

2 Right now we are not in a position
3 to do that. Right now we have too much of
4 the state employees' money wrapped up in
5 this.

6 So now what I propose is that you do that first. Then you do the fines. And I'm 7 talking the million-dollar-a-day fine. If 8 9 you guys don't do it, I will go to the FERC 10 and get it from them or whoever else I need to go to. And if you know me, you know I 11 12 will. 13 So I want you guys to do the right thing. I really do. I want to you do the 14 15 analysis. I want you to do the failure 16 stuff. I want you to fine them and make it hurt but not hurt us as a result. So that's 17 an important thing. But more important than 18 19 fining it, I don't want you to fine them a dime until you fix the problem. If you can't 20 21 fix the problem, that's what the money should

- 22 go to is fix the problem, not that.
- 23 Now another information request.
- 24 PG&E had insurance policies on this pipeline
- 25 and all their pipelines, okay? And my
- 26 attorney, Martin Homec, worked on some of the
- 27 toxic issues and he looked at those insurance
- 28 policies. So I know they're there.
  - 300
- 1 We all want to know what those
- 2 insurance policies covered and how long they
- 3 were good for, because as he recollected,
- 4 they were basically in perpetuity. So I'm
- 5 sure the people whose homes were burned down
- 6 would like to know about that insurance
- 7 policy, too. Okay?
- 8 So that's another piece of
- 9 information that's needed.
- 10 And then finally, I agree with one
- 11 of the previous speakers that said that it's
- 12 time to break PG&E up. They have already had
- 13 two chances and killed twice. If they do it
- 14 again, break them up. Third strike, you're
- 15 out. They're not serving their purpose as a
- 16 corporation. It says nowhere in their
- 17 articles of incorporation that their purpose
- 18 is to kill their customers. And until they

19	stop	doing	it,	they	can't	continue	to exist.
----	------	-------	-----	------	-------	----------	-----------

20	Thank you.
21	ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you.
22	(Applause)
23	ALJ BUSHEY: Bill Van Meter.
24	(No response)
25	ALJ BUSHEY: Joanne Carey.
26	Bill Van Meter.
27	STATEMENT OF MR. VAN METER
28	MR. VAN METER: Good evening. I am
	301

1 here because of this editorial in the Oakland

2 Tribune which wants to throw out the

3 president of PG&E, amongst other things.

4 So I answered the editorial with a

5 letter which I will read. And I think it

6 will help you understand some of the fixing

7 problems that you can do.

8 So this is from William Van Meter,

9 which is me, to PG&E's public feedback

10 website.

11 Attention: PG&E Board of

12 Directors, Lewts Chew,

13 Barry Lawson Williams and

14 others interested or

15 concerned.

16 Refer	ence: Bay Area News
----------	---------------------

- 17 Group, East Bay Opinion
- 18 Editorial, March 27th,
- 19 2011: To Restore Trust
- 20 PG&E Leaders Have to Go.
- 21 Dear sirs: I sold most of
- 22 my PG&E stock shortly after
- 23 the San Bruno disaster,
- 24 upon reading of the gas
- 25 transmission lines
- 26 condition and records in
- the newspapers. I would
- 28 say that the California

1	Public Utilities
2	Commission, PUC, should
3	share some of the blame for
4	allowing shoddy
5	recordkeeping by PG&E for
6	so many years.
7	I disagree that PG&E
8	should eliminate the
9	dividend, as good faith
10	stock investors did not
11	cause any harm. PG&E's
12	bankruptcy referenced was

13	the efforts of Enron
14	Corporation and the then
15	Secretary of Energy Bill
16	Richardson did nothing to
17	stop the fraudulent rate
18	charges by criminals later
19	convicted.
20	It is too bad that the
21	Nuclear Regulatory
22	Commission, (NRC), was not
23	responsible for PG&E's gas
24	pipelines, as everything
25	would be 200 percent
26	A-okay.
27	So, where do we go from
28	here? PG&E and PUC
	303

1 retrofit program. One,

 $\Box$ 

2 engage a first class 3 architect and engineer such 4 as Bechtel Corporation. Two, evaluate all of the 5 6 high risk areas by 7 population, present and 8 future, rate systems by 9 classes (see nuclear code).

10	Three, install automatic or
11	manual shut-off valves for
12	system isolation. Install
13	blowdown, dump system and
14	flare-offs. All control
15	systems to have independent
16	on-site power supply until
17	a safe shutdown and be
18	foolproof.
19	Four, survey existing
20	lines and prepare updated
21	drawings, history, take
22	soil samples and conduct
23	lab analysis of corrosion
24	rates for lines and prepare
25	future histories for
26	allowable pressures.
27	Confirm this data with
28	destructive testing.
	304

1	Five, for seismic areas,
2	lines to have automatic
3	shutdown, dump and flare
4	and/or flexibility design.
5	Six, engineering, design,
6	safety, inspection to be

7	equal to the NRC standards.
8	The cost of all the
9	retrofitting should be
10	financed through bond
11	issues where the costs will
12	be paid by ratepayers
13	(which it should have been
14	during original
15	installation but wasn't,
16	just delayed). And no
17	dismissal of CEO Peter
18	Darbee, or others, as
19	culprit is too long ago to
20	assess. The frenzied
21	aftermath should be put
22	aside and let us use our
23	talents. We need energy
24	and PG&E. And remember,
25	PG&E designed and built
26	Diablo Canyon with a little
27	help from Bechtel for
28	licensing.
	305

1 Yours truly, William Van

2 Meter.

3 I will give you a copy.

- 4 ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you, Mr. Van Meter.
- 5 Joanne Carey.
- 6 STATEMENT OF MS. CAREY
- 7 MS. CAREY: Good evening Commissioners.
- 8 Thank you for holding this hearing after
- 9 hours so that more of the public can attend.
- 10 I am a former complainant in front
- 11 of the PUC regarding a PG&E gas explosion
- 12 that destroyed my home on January 29th, 1996.
- 13 At that time I filed a complaint CV 97-11-14,
- 14 and we cited fraud and misappropriation of
- 15 funds.
- 16 The CPUC decided not to hear the
- 17 case and they fined them \$976,000 for
- 18 \$100 million worth of rate increases that
- 19 PG&E collected that they ultimately did not
- 20 perform.
- 21 I am very sorry to be here 15 years
- 22 later and find that basically nothing has
- 23 happened regarding the records.
- 24 PG&E still continues to run rings
- 25 around the CPUC, and you continue to ignore
- 26 the problem.
- 27 You may be all new bodies in those
- 28 chairs that you all occupy right now, but the

1 problem is systemic.

2 You really need to focus on copies 3 of all work orders on a regular basis held by the California Department of Justice or held 4 5 by the CPUC so that there's no question of where the records went and whether or not the 6 work has been done. 7 8 Additionally, you need to ensure 9 that PG&E has an immediate compensation program, because one of the complaints that 10 has been ongoing for years is that there's no 11 rationale for cost/benefit ratio for the loss 12 of human life. This particular incident, 13 there were eight people that were killed. If 14 PG&E had to pay a minimum of \$10 million per 15 16 person, no questions asked every time there's a death within ten days of the incident 17 happening, you can darn well bet they would 18 have a cost/benefit ratio analysis performed 19 when they are off-putting work that is 20 critically needed. 21 22 At the time that we met with you in 23 1997 through 2000 I funded the lawsuit out of my own pocket and got no benefit from trying 24 to get you to act to protect public safety. 25 26 I personally had to write the change in gas regulations to be able to say this will never 27

28 happen again.

1	PG&E has a history of not following
2	the Federal Code of Regulations as well as
3	the California regulations. Every time they
4	ignore you and you do nothing about it. They
5	are rewarded. Yes, they pass that off as
6	profits to their shareholders, but also they
7	pass it off as profits to the people that run
8	the company, the chairman and the other upper
9	management folks.
10	I really need you to think about the
11	fact that you need to set a minimum loss
12	guarantee of \$10 million immediately payable
13	for every body that's lost within ten days of
14	an incident, for every homeowner, no
15	questions asked, a minimum of a million
16	dollars. That's average California property
17	price out here before you go into the
18	destruction.
19	For every renter, \$500,000. This
20	has nothing to do with what they ultimately
21	may get in a court system, but it would do a
22	lot to regulate PG&E, put them on notice that
23	regardless of what the actual benefit ratio
24	is for cost/benefit analysis, you have picked
25	a number, a number that's reasonable in

26 today's rates that would go towards

27 preventing these types of accidents in the

28 future.

- 1 Also I would like to recommend that
- 2 you look at what companies like Chevron and
- 3 Enron do for joint ventures and sharing
- 4 expenses and use that kind of accountability
- 5 when you are allowing rate increases.
- 6 We pay them money and we have no way
- 7 to focus on whether or not the work is
- 8 actually performed until after disaster of
- 9 this nature happens and we figure out, oh,
- 10 there are no records. Gee, does that mean
- 11 the work was done? Probably not. If the
- 12 work was done, you'd be darn tootin' there
- 13 would be records.
- 14 Thank you very much for your time,
- 15 and I hope you have some success in avoiding
- 16 this type of disaster in the future. I don't
- 17 think that's possible, but I think you really
- 18 need to put money on the line because money
- 19 motivates change, not meetings, not studies,
- 20 not discussions. Just make them financially
- 21 accountable and make it be a timely
- 22 accountability to the people that actually

23	suffered.
24	Thank you.
25	ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you.
26	(Applause)
27	Meria Canonizado.
28	STATEMENT OF MS. CANONIZADO

1 MS. CANONIZADO: Good evening. My name

- 2 is Meria Canonizado. I live at 210 States
- 3 Drive near the Glenview, near the gas

4 explosion.

5 We did not come home at the time,

6 maybe five days. And I just live in my

7 sister's house in Daly City. So I feel very

8 unsafe in my house right now. We are very

9 concerned, my family and friends, are very

10 much, very insecure regarding living in that

11 place.

12 It has been over seven months since

13 the disaster occurred in September. Nothing

14 has been done. I repeat, nothing has been

15 done. Even the Japanese government operated

16 more swiftly during its nuclear crisis.

17 We want action. The community wants

18 action. But it wants responsible action. It

19 must be lead by the community leaders, this

- 20 Commission and the president of PG&E.
- 21 So maybe you can give a little
- 22 pressure to the PG&E and to all the staff.
- 23 Thank you very much.
- 24 ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you.
- 25 Mike Knell.
- 26 STATEMENT OF MR. KNELL
- 27 MR. KNELL: Hi. My name is Mike Knell.
- 28 I have a degree in mechanical engineering. I

- 1 have a long history of asking the CPUC to
- 2 investigate utility executives who are
- 3 altering records to conceal problems in the
- 4 underground infrastructure. ]
- 5 I have documentation which proves
- 6 that the former PG&E executive had a history
- 7 of altering records and committing fraud to
- 8 conceal problems in the underground
- 9 infrastructure. She did this when she worked
- 10 at the company now known as AT&T.
- 11 There's a culture of altering
- 12 records at ATT, and based on the pipeline
- 13 failure here in San Bruno and the inability
- 14 for PG&E to find critical records, there is
- 15 evidence of that culture at PG&E.
- 16 Sadly, there's a culture of

- 17 corruption at this Commission which actively
- 18 assists the Commission -- or the utilities in
- 19 covering up problems.
- 20 This police report dated
- 21 November 2nd, 2010, is evidence of corruption
- 22 and a coverup. It can be linked to e-mails I
- 23 sent to Paul Clanon and Richard Clark
- 24 requesting a meeting.

- 25 I first met Richard Clark back in
- 26 March of 2001. We have some history. I will
- 27 get back to this police report in a moment.
- 28 In a formal complaint I filed with
  - 311
- 1 the CPUC back in 2001, I was able to prove
- 2 that records were altered and missing to
- 3 conceal problems in the underground
- 4 infrastructure. You can get these documents
- 5 on a website I put up,
- 6 www.aphonenumberforthepresident.com. It
- 7 shows how phone company attorneys
- 8 literally --
- 9 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can you say that
- 10 again, please?
- 11 MR. KNELL: www.aphonenumberforthe-
- 12 president.com.
- 13 It describes how a phone company

- 14 attorney could literally create a fictional
- 15 phone number and say a phone number on a
- 16 document which got service through an
- 17 affected phone line wasn't my phone number.
- 18 On the top of the page, there's a link right
- 19 to the FBI. You can see the documents which
- 20 provide other evidence of the corruption at
- 21 the Commission.
- 22 I had contacted the FBI about
- 23 corruption back in 2007, but the FBI told me
- 24 it didn't have the resources to do anything.
- 25 Anyways, back to the formal complaint.
- 26 The Administrative Law Judge, Janice
- 27 Grau, ruled that it was okay for the utility
- 28 to alter and delete records. Worst of all,

- 1 the Judge created fraudulent statements in
- 2 her decision to conceal problems in the
- 3 underground infrastructure.
- 4 It seems that everybody at the
- 5 Commission went along with the frauds,
- 6 including all of the Commissioners, but they
- 7 all have an alibi. There are no signatures
- 8 on the denial for rehearing. And an expert
- 9 at the PUC, a real expert, Richard Smith,
- 10 told me that document should have had

- 11 signatures or at least a rubber stamp.
- 12 Richard Smith is now an
- 13 Administrative Law Judge at the CPUC, and he
- 14 will probably be very angry for what I'm
- 15 about to say, which is sad because he was
- 16 trying to help me get a fair hearing when he
- 17 knew I was not being treated fairly.
- 18 On August 27, 2003, while working in
- 19 the Office of the Ratepayer Advocates group,
- 20 Richard Smith sent an e-mail to me describing
- 21 how Judge Grau committed legal error by
- 22 refusing to follow the rule of law. The
- 23 e-mail could be found on the website,
- 24 thephonenumberforthepresident.com. Scroll
- 25 down to the very bottom, you'll see Richard
- 26 Smith's name.
- 27 During the formal complaint, Richard
- 28 Smith called me several times giving me legal
  - 313
- 1 advice. Mr. Smith told me that someone at
- 2 the Commission told him to call me, but he
- 3 refused to say who this person was.
- 4 Mr. Smith told me stories of
- 5 corruption at the Commission. Unfortunately,
- 6 Richard Smith, like so many others at the
- 7 Commission, doesn't have the courage to speak

- 8 out publicly about the underbelly of the
- 9 Commission. Those are Richard Smith's words,
- 10 "underbelly of the Commission."
- 11 The fact is if you don't have the
- 12 courage to talk publicly about the corruption
- 13 at the Commission, you can become an
- 14 Administrative Law Judge. If you don't
- 15 believe me, ask Administrative Law Judge
- 16 Richard Smith. He is living proof.
- 17 Back to this pipeline explosion. I
- 18 always knew that there was a disaster like
- 19 the one here in San Bruno that would be
- 20 linked to inaccurate records. Even worse, I
- 21 fear that there would be coverups. It is
- 22 classic human behavior by people who mess up.
- 23 This police report dated November 2,
- 24 2010, is evidence of a coverup up by Richard
- 25 Clark and Paul Clanon. Shortly after the
- 26 state senate hearing regarding the San Bruno
- 27 fire, I contacted Richard Clark and Paul
- 28 Clanon by e-mail requesting to meet with them

- 1 about problems at the CPUC they should be
- 2 aware of.

- 3 Instead of scheduling a meeting to
- 4 discuss problems at the Commission, the state

- 5 police showed up at my house, supposedly
- 6 because Judge Janice Grau suddenly decided I
- 7 was a threat to her.
- 8 I have had no contact with Judge
- 9 Grau in over three years. What I want to
- 10 know is how can e-mails requesting a meeting
- 11 to discuss altered records with Commission
- 12 officials result in the state police showing
- 13 up at my house.
- 14 The police told me they read the
- 15 e-mails I sent to Richard Clark and Paul
- 16 Clanon, and there was nothing wrong, illegal
- 17 or threatening in any of my e-mails. The
- 18 fact that the police read the e-mails to
- 19 Richard Clark and Paul Clanon is the link
- 20 that Clark and Clanon are involved in a
- 21 coverup and abusing their powers.
- 22 This meeting is supposed to be about
- 23 making the pipeline safer. This Commission
- 24 with its current leaders that sent the state
- 25 police to my house cannot be trusted. I
- 26 don't have to tell the citizens of San Bruno
- 27 that the CPUC failed to do its job. I just
- 28 want to make sure you see the evidence of a
  - 315

1 coverup by top officials.

2 One person such as myself is not
3 going to make a difference. I have asked to
4 meet with Jerry Hill about the evidence that
5 can be linked to the San Bruno fire, but
6 because I am not his constituent, his staff
7 won't get involved.
8 Mr. Florio, I sent a meeting request

9 to your office dated March 24, 2011. I sent

10 one earlier by fax, and it got lost. Your

11 staff agreed they got it. A week later they

12 said it was gone. I sent another one by

13 e-mail, and it was declined for no reason.

14 So I want to give you this meeting

15 request form so you can do what I asked you

16 to do. Is that okay? (Handing document)

17 Thank you, Mr. Florio.

- 18 I want to thank you for listening to
- 19 me, and I do hope we can make this Commission
- 20 a better place and safer for everybody in our

21 state.

- 22 Thank you.
- 23 (Applause)
- 24 ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you.
- 25 Bill Adams.
- 26 STATEMENT OF MR. ADAMS
- 27 MR. ADAMS: I'm Bill Adams from Rohnert
- 28 Park in Sonoma County. I was a 22-year

- 1 employee at the Commission, retired in 1990.
- 2 They say the best -- the best revenge is to
- 3 live long, so I'm trying.
- 4 The reports -- this is touching on
- 5 some of the reports that I have read in the
- 6 papers. There were two responders who went
- 7 out to shut those valves. And it seems to me
- 8 they should have split up and one went to one
- 9 valve and the other one go to the other
- 10 valve, except those valves may not be
- 11 operable by one individual.
- 12 They should be, they should be
- 13 operable by one individual, whether it's a
- 14 110-pound person or a 210-pound person. They
- 15 should be operable by one person.
- 16 When I was at the Commission, one of
- 17 the gas inspectors, Al Kercham, found many
- 18 valves in San Francisco that were inoperable.
- 19 Three or four people couldn't move them.
- 20 They had to be disassembled and greased and
- 21 finally able to be operated after giving them
- 22 a quarter turn to prove that the valve is
- 23 operable.
- 24 You can give them a quarter turn
- 25 without turning the gas off, and that's a
- 26 standard test for whether a valve is operable

27 or not.

28 If these valves are ball valves, you

- 317
- 1 can pass a pig past the valve, through the
- 2 valve, and up into the line and go

3 uninterrupted through the -- through the line

- 4 and not have to disassemble the line in
- 5 several places to get in there.

6 And my guess is that most of these

7 valves are ball valves, so that they can --

8 when they're full open, you've got a 30-inch

9 opening in that ball, and when you shut the

10 valve, the ball turns 90 degrees and closes

11 it off.

12 I heard one -- at least one speaker

13 talking about factors of safety. This line

14 should have been designed with a factor of

- 15 safety at least 2 or 3. And if it wasn't up
- 16 to 2 or 3, it's inherently unsafe to start

17 with.

18 And when you have a 40- or 50-pound

- 19 spike in pressure, that shouldn't have split
- 20 this line. That's -- what I read in the
- 21 paper was that this line operates -- was
- 22 operating at 337 pounds pressure, pounds per
- 23 square inch. And if you kick that up to,

24 say, double, if it had a 2-to-1 factor of

25 safety, the line should have held even with

- 26 double that pressure.
- 27 And I'm sure I'm not -- using quite

28 a bit of common sense and my old sophomore

318

1 strength of materials course, when I was an

- 2 engineering -- getting my engineering degree,
- 3 and you can figure how much stress there is
- 4 on that, how much loop stress there is on
- 5 that pipe, with a simple \$2.79 calculator.
- 6 And you can figure it out very easily.
- 7 And you can -- you can scare
- 8 yourself to death or you can sit back and
- 9 feel real confident, depending on what the
- 10 figures are that you come up with.
- 11 Now this welding that was said to be
- 12 a seamless pipe, and that turned out to be a
- 13 lie. It wasn't a seamless pipe. I kind of
- 14 doubt if there is such a thing as a seamless
- 15 pipe. But any welding that was done should
- 16 have been done by certified welders.
- 17 I have two sons who at times have
- 18 been construction certified welders, one for
- 19 an elevator company. You kind of like to
- 20 have the elevator hold together when you're

- 21 going up and down. And the other one was a
- 22 special foundation for a heavy masonry
- 23 building that had been moved onto a site in
- 24 Santa Rosa.
- 25 So certified welders should be
- 26 recertified periodically so that you know
- 27 what -- so that you can trust them to be
- 28 doing a proper job and x-ray every inch of
  - 319
- 1 every weld. That can be done. And it's done
- 2 in nuclear power plants. Every weld is
- 3 x-rayed, and you know whether you're --
- 4 whether it's got voids in it or slag in the
- 5 bead.
- 6 And back to the operation of valves,
- 7 and I'm just going to make this one more
- 8 point and then I'll sit down. Remote
- 9 operation of valves should be -- should be
- 10 mandated. And they should be very reliable
- 11 and very quick to operate and don't have to
- 12 have a party of two or three to go out there
- 13 and operate those valves, whether they're
- 14 manual operated or automatic -- or remote
- 15 control or automatic control.
- 16 And that's all I have. Thank you
- 17 for your attention.

- 18 ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you.
- 19 (Applause)
- 20 ALJ BUSHEY: Neil Delfino.
- 21 STATEMENT OF MR. DELFINO
- 22 MR. DELFINO: Good evening. I'm Neil
- 23 Delfino. I'm actually from Lovelock, Nevada,
- 24 but I grew up in California.
- 25 Prior to retirement, I was a subject
- 26 matter expert for offshore pipelines for a
- 27 rather large oil company that's based in
- 28 California. And several of my old colleagues
  - 320

1 asked me what happened in the San Bruno

- 2 explosion, and I proceeded to explain to them
- 3 exactly what happened. And so far nobody has
- 4 brought this up. It has nothing to do with
- 5 the design of the pipeline. It has to do
- 6 with the road over the pipeline.
- 7 One of the things that is not
- 8 covered in 49 CFR 192 -- that's the Code of
- 9 Federal Regulation -- is the dynamic
- 10 pipelines. And normally you consider a
- 11 pipeline out in a cornfield as being static,
- 12 and it is sort of static. Now when you get
- 13 into the offshore, you find out about dynamic
- 14 pipelines.

- And since this is a 1950 vintage 15 pipeline, it probably had more than a million 16 cycles from people driving over it with no 17 sleeve in between the pipeline and the road 18 19 to take the load from vehicles. And garbage 20 trucks, dump trucks, other similar things do an excellent job of imparting load into a 21 22 pipeline. 23 So when you go back and look at this, it's really not that the pipeline was 24 incorrectly designed. It's that a road was 25 allowed to be put over a pipeline. 26 27 Now standard practices say that when 28 you cross a road with a pipeline or a road 321
- 1 crosses a pipeline, you put it inside a

- 2 sleeve. And most pipeline crossings of roads
- 3 are done perpendicular to minimize the
- 4 exposure of the pipeline to the road.
- 5 So when you get down to it, somebody
- 6 needs to talk to the city of San Bruno and
- 7 find out how they took this line from being
- 8 what would probably be considered a Class 1,
- 9 Class 2, which is out in the middle of a
- 10 field, very little human exposure, to
- 11 essentially a Class 4 where you have people

- 12 right next to the pipeline.
- 13 So there are existing standards.
- 14 And, remember, standards are written by
- 15 humans, not by God, and usually they're the
- 16 bare minimum to get by on.
- 17 There are existing standards used in
- 18 the offshore industry that could easily be
- 19 applied. There's a API Recommended Practice
- 20 2A, which is designing of offshore platforms,
- 21 but in there there is a stress/strain curve
- 22 which allows you to determine how many cycles
- 23 you can take and at what load. ]
- 24 And this is very common practice in
- 25 the offshore pipelines. It's the fatigue
- 26 test before you do a design.
- 27 Okay, this has been in for 50
- 28 years. You can't really go in there and
  - 322
- 1 fatigue test it. But what usually fails are
- 2 the welds. You put a million cycles into
- 3 something, it will break. And if these welds
- 4 that everybody's all so worried about were
- 5 cracked during the welding process or during
- 6 early operation, the pipeline would have
- 7 leaked long ago. But if you sit there and
- 8 bounce it like taking a paper clip and bounce

- 9 it and bounce it and bounce it until it
- 10 finally breaks, that's what's happening.
- 11 Okay.
- 12 There are plenty of existing codes,
- 13 regulations, but again those are the bare
- 14 minimum. But I think there's a need to
- 15 incorporate what are the cities doing in
- 16 relationship to pipelines. Are they going
- 17 ahead and approving developments that are far
- 18 too close to the pipeline, whether it's this
- 19 one in San Bruno or anywhere else in
- 20 the country or the world?
- 21 Some place in this there needs to
- 22 be some controls that say if you're going to
- 23 take it from a Class 1, Class 2 area and put
- 24 it in 3 or 4, that's not just a simple
- 25 planning commission meeting of city planners
- 26 saying, oh yeah; we can build right next to
- 27 this or we can build over the pipeline.
- 28 I think that may be one area where you should

- 1 take the lead and say, look, we need to be
- 2 involved as the Commission to look at these
- 3 things before a city makes a change and just
- 4 sticks a road or, worse yet, sticks houses
- 5 close to pipelines.

- 6 And as other people have said,
- 7 I don't have a dog in this fight but I saw
- 8 what was going on and decided I think I need
- 9 to throw in my two cents and try and guide
- 10 your findings in the right direction as to
- 11 where to look.
- 12 Thank you very much. Have a good
- 13 day.
- 14 ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you very much.
- 15 (Applause)
- 16 ALJ BUSHEY: Hendre Carpaux.

## 17 (No response)

- 18 Hendre Carpaux.
- 19 MR. CARPAUX: You have to speak up.
- 20 ALJ BUSHEY: Oh. I'm sorry. Andre.
- 21 I'm sorry.
- 22 STATEMENT OF MR. CARPAUX
- 23 MR. CARPAUX: Good evening, ladies and
- 24 gentlemen. My name is Andre Carpaux. I'm
- 25 hard of hearing.
- 26 I thank you, the member of
- 27 the judiciary, your Honor, and
- 28 the Commission.

 $\Box$ 

- 324
- 1 I want to express my sympathy and
- 2 my condolence to the victim of this

3 unfortunate accident. It's very sad that such a thing has to happen to essentially 4 have this gathering and all this attention. 5 6 I have technical comment to make in 7 this instance. And one of which is in the 8 chemistry of the gas, physical chemistry of the gas, it is my belief that to pinpoint the 9 10 blame strictly on PG&E would be a grave mistake. It will also be a mistake to blame 11 the Commission because it's impossible unless 12 you have indication to make an anticipation 13 of the risk of having pipeline. 14 15 There is many, many instances in which technical difficulty prevent 16 installation of pipeline or gas line in which 17 18 it will be absolutely certain that no 19 accident will happen. For instance, it's impossible for a gas, a pure gas like methane 20 or any combustible gas to ignite unless it 21 has a source of ignition and it has a mixture 22 23 of oxygen. 24 So in this instance here, I would 25 say that perhaps part of the blame could be assumed by the residents around the area 26 where the explosion because, to my knowledge, 27 methane gas furnished by PG&E are infused 28 325

- 1 with an immersion of odorant. And
- 2 the odorant multiplied by about 50,000, this
- 3 is a big -- this is the number. So to me,
- 4 there must have been some smell around.
- 5 Maybe the resident who have smelled, those
- 6 who passed away.
- 7 But the conflagration and the
- 8 propagation of the flame cannot reach inside
- 9 the pipe unless the gas has a mixture of
- 10 oxygen with the delivery. And the delivery
- 11 of gas is something that is very technical
- 12 not at -- not, in my opinion, at the level of
- 13 the Commission. I really don't think the
- 14 Commission are equipped to make a critical
- 15 assessment in the quality of gas and if the
- 16 gas have been in dilution.
- 17 So in here, I would, in my opinion,
- 18 I would be extremely careful in assuming
- 19 the blame strictly on PG&E.
- 20 PG&E is the big name, is the big
- 21 letter, and they are the deep pockets so
- 22 everybody is going to look at them to try to
- 23 get damages or whatever. But I would be
- 24 really careful.
- 25 Here, PG&E is an entity, is
- 26 a public service, and accidents happen. It
- 27 doesn't matter how caution you are. And it's

28 impossible to preview in anticipation

- 1 accident due to strictly what is chemistry.
- 2 Thank you.
- 3 ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you.
- 4 Rod Hall.
- 5 STATEMENT OF MR. HALL
- 6 MR. HALL: My name is Rod Hall.
- 7 My wife and I live in the Skyridge
- 8 housing development at Skyline Boulevard and
- 9 Sharp Park, one mile from the center of this
- 10 tragedy.
- 11 I'm not an expert. I don't
- 12 represent anybody else. I'm just a regular
- 13 guy who is very, very, very scared.
- 14 I, like many of my neighbors and
- 15 a number of people in this room, sleep within
- 16 100 feet of a PG&E high pressure natural gas
- 17 pipeline. I, like many of the people in this
- 18 room, live within a quarter of a mile of
- 19 the San Andreas fault. Talk about dynamic
- 20 stress. Makes a garbage truck look like
- 21 small potatoes.
- 22 In 1906, almost 80 percent of
- 23 the damage to the City of San Francisco was
- 24 caused not by the quake but by the subsequent

- 25 fires fueled in large part by broken natural
- 26 gas pipelines. God only knows what an
- 27 8.0 quake right here on the peninsula would
- 28 do right now given our current pipelines.

\_

## 327

1 When the tragedy occurred in 2 San Bruno, when there was a fireball raging over a hundred feet in the air, it took PG&E 3 an hour and a half to shut off the gas. That 4 was one break. For 90 minutes, the fire ball 5 burned unchecked. 6 7 What's going to happen when a major quake hits and we have 20 or 30 or 50 breaks 8 9 in the pipelines? 10 Virtually everyone in this room has an automatic PG&E meter reader at their 11

- 12 residence. These were installed to save PG&E
- 13 millions of dollars every year.
- 14 What my wife, my neighbors and
- 15 I want to know is what requirements you,
- 16 the members of the California Public
- 17 Utilities Commission, have made on PG&E to
- 18 force them to put automatic pipeline shutoffs
- 19 so that no break in a pipeline will burn for
- 20 more than 90 seconds, not 90 minutes.
- 21 They can put automatic readers at

- 22 our homes. I would think they could scale it
- 23 up a little bit and attach it to valves.
- 24 Like I said, I'm scared. I think
- 25 everyone in this room would like to hear what
- 26 actions you the PUC have taken to get
- 27 automatic shut-off valves in place.
- 28 Anything happen?
- 328
- 1 Have you made any requirements or
- 2 we still studying it?
- 3 Still waiting for the earthquake?
- 4 I understand that pipelines are
- 5 supposed to be inspected by internal robots.
- 6 Twelve inches I think is the diameter that
- 7 they're supposed to be able to run those
- 8 little things down with the camera, looks for
- 9 any breaks, corrosion.
- 10 What steps have you the California
- 11 PUC made to force PG&E to robotically inspect
- 12 every foot of every pipeline, high pressure
- 13 pipelines that are about that limit before
- 14 the end of next year?
- 15 People are sleeping next to those
- 16 pipelines.
- 17 Now some of them, like the one that
- 18 blew up, could not be inspected. What steps

- 19 have you taken to make sure PG&E replaces
- 20 those pipelines within five years so that
- 21 pipelines can be inspected on a regular

22 basis.

- 23 It seems appropriate that you
- 24 members of the PUC might also require PG&E to
- 25 inform residents who live within 200 feet of
- 26 a high pressure pipeline of any major
- 27 changes, like they turn one on that's been
- 28 off.

- 1 It's my belief that one or more of
- 2 the executives of PG&E should be investigated
- 3 by a criminal grand jury under the leadership
- 4 of the district attorney James Fox to
- 5 determine if criminal indictments of
- 6 manslaughter should be brought against them.
- 7 I have a petition. Anyone who'd
- 8 like to sign it, I'll be outside these
- 9 proceedings.
- 10 Thank you very much for listening
- 11 so patiently.
- 12 (Applause)
- 13 ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you.
- 14 Daniel Shugar.
- 15 STATEMENT OF MR. SHUGAR

16 MR. SHUGAR: Good evening. My name is

17 Dan Shugar. We live in San Bruno, about half

- 18 a mile from where the tragedy occurred.
- 19 I used to work at PG&E. And I'm a licensed
- 20 professional engineer in California. I'm not
- 21 here to neither attack nor defend PG&E.
- 22 I really appreciate you holding
- 23 this hearing in our community after
- 24 the disaster happened. Friends of my son
- 25 died in the disaster.
- 26 I'm here to talk about something no
- 27 one's talked about tonight, okay, which are
- 28 what are we using the gas for?
  - 330
- 1 I've worked in the solar power
- 2 industry for over 23 years. Okay.
- 3 43 percent of the natural gas used in
- 4 California today goes into a power plant.
- 5 Gas is the largest growing source

6 of power.

- 7 What is really amazing is that
- 8 solar is signif- -- for peak generation,
- 9 solar is much less costly today than the peak
- 10 power that's being installed here in
- 11 California.
- 12 I'd like to share with you one

- 13 page, a chart from the California Energy
- 14 Commission that shows where gas peakers are
- 15 still being installed.
- 16 So we're still on gas peakers which
- 17 are aeroderivative turbines. It's strictly
- 18 a jet engine. It's more than twice the cost
- 19 of solar on-peak generation.
- 20 I have the data from Lazard which
- 21 is the most respected energy consultant on
- 22 the same page.
- 23 If you can pass this out, please.
- 24 Thank you.
- 25 Thanks so much.
- 26 So as we look forward, basically
- 27 these big gas transmission lines, a little
- 28 bit of it is going to our homes but by far
  - 331
- 1 the largest single source of gas is going
- 2 into these power plants. Okay.
- 3 We have some old legacy plants,
- 4 Moss Landing and these other plants, down you
- 5 know that were built a long time ago. But
- 6 why are we continuing to build gas peaking
- 7 power plants for --
- 8 It turns out when these peakers --
- 9 So when do they turn these peakers

10 on, all the utilities in California?

11 It's when the -- when people are12 using energy.

13 When are they using energy? In 14 the middle of the day on the summer, hot 15 peaks. It's driven by basically commercial buildings, air conditioning load, and water 16 17 pumping. Okay. 18 So at a minimum, let's take a step back and say let's stop building these gas 19 20 peakers. Period. 21 The state legislature yesterday 22 passed the 33-percent renewable portfolio 23 standard. California's a real leader. We have hundreds of thousands of jobs in this 24 25 state to basically build the technology to 26 for these plants so we don't have to keep pushing more gas through these pipelines. 27 28 I'm personally CEO of one of many 332

1 solar companies. Our company Solaria builds

2 panels in California.

3 So let's look at the demand side.

4 We have all these concerns about the gas

5 pipeline and the safety that are valid. It's

6 a very tough problem. But let's stop

SB GT&S 0494999

- 7 compounding the problem when we have
- 8 solutions that are half the cost of
- 9 generating 30-to-40-cent per kilowatt-hour
- 10 on-peak energy using these gas peakers when
- 11 we have solar, land, roofs, parking lot where
- 12 we can install these systems to basically
- 13 meet the energy needs and stop pushing all
- 14 this gas through the system.
- 15 So, the Public Utilities Commission
- 16 has been a leader in supporting renewable
- 17 energy in California. This is the place
- 18 exactly on this point where we can really go
- 19 to the next level. And we're looking forward
- 20 to your continued leadership in executing on
- 21 this 33 percent renewable portfolio standard.
- 22 The first thing to do, now that
- 23 the governor is going to sign that into law
- 24 in the next few weeks, as soon as that
- 25 happens, stop these gas peaker from going in.
- 26 There's a lot of hydro and an opportunity for
- 27 utilities to balance the system without
- 28 building it. So let's stop increasing our

333

1 demand for gas nationally.

2 Thank you.

3 ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you.

- 4 Barry Pearl.
- 5 STATEMENT OF MR. PEARL

6 MR. PEARL: Good evening,

7 Commissioners. Thank you very much.

8 Barry Pearl. I'm a native

9 Californian. And I'm here not necessarily to

10 attack PG&E, but I'm here to speak to you as

11 commissioners to carry out your role as

12 regulators.

13 PG&E has made it very clear, based

14 on your own document that you've distributed

15 tonight, your own staff recommended fines and

16 penalties based on willful noncompliance.

17 You need to enforce against these companies

18 and make sure that these companies are

19 abiding by the rules, not making up their own

20 rules.

21 The fact that PG&E had to research

22 their records in the Cow Palace, that was an

23 absolute farce. This is a company that's not

24 allowed to say well, we didn't have all of

25 the records. They need to have all records.

26 They need to be completely accurate and they

27 need to be complete.

28 And the fact that you're -- that

- 1 the PUC has been encouraging these companies,
- 2 these utilities to comply, you clearly cannot
- 3 encourage any more. You need to be
- 4 regulators. You need to be strong. You need
- 5 to not allow a slap on the wrist.
- 6 I understand that there are
- 7 political considerations in play here, but
- 8 there are human lives in play as well. So as
- 9 Commissioners, you need to take your role as
- 10 regulators extremely seriously. And it's not
- 11 a question of profits for these companies.
- 12 It's a question for making sure that the
- 13 people that are served by these utilities are
- 14 safe.
- 15 So, do not allow for reduction of
- 16 penalties and fines. And make sure that if
- 17 the utility company provide no records, they
- 18 need to do whatever inspections are necessary
- 19 no matter the cost. They need take these
- 20 pipelines out of service, inspect them
- 21 completely.
- 22 And do not allow yourselves to feel
- 23 any sympathy for the stockholders of these
- 24 companies. Feel sympathy for the people that
- 25 died. If you don't enforce the regulations,
- 26 you're not carrying out your role, and you
- 27 should probably resign and let another
- 28 Commissioner be appointed who will carry out

1 the role.

•	
2	Thank you very much.
3	(Applause)
4	ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you.
5	Cesar Abad.
6	STATEMENT OF MR. ABAD
7	MR. ABAD: Good evening.
8	The reason I came in here, I live
9	on Bennington and Crestmoor. And there's
10	a shut-off valve in front of the house and
11	I would like to know if you could transfer it
12	to across the street from where the school
13	is.
14	There's a shut-off valve in front
15	of the house, like 10 feet from the house,
16	right through the walkway. And I have a
17	aging mother-in-law, lives there, and she's
18	kind of worried about it right now. Is it
19	possible you could transfer that shut-off
20	valve that's on that street and move it to
21	the other side? Because I'm fighting right
22	now.
23	COMMISSIONER SIMON: Can he mee

- 24 a CAB staff member?
- 25 MR. ABAD: Okay. That's it.

meet with

26 ALJ BUSHEY: Why don't you meet with

27 our executive director and he can direct you

28 to the correct person.

336

- 1 Kathleen Bernard.
- 2 STATEMENT OF MS. BERNARD
- 3 MS. BERNARD: Thank you. Thank you for
- 4 holding these hearings and giving the people
- 5 a chance to speak.
- 6 I do want to say that I think three
- 7 meetings for the entire state is inadequate.
- 8 You need about five meetings just for the Bay
- 9 Area alone, south Bay, Peninsula, North Bay,
- 10 East Bay, San Francisco.
- 11 I think people have a lot to say
- 12 about this.
- 13 I am extremely concerned. My family
- 14 was one of the first to settle in San Bruno.
- 15 I have been living in the South Bay for the
- 16 last few years. But we have very strong
- 17 roots in the City of San Bruno. And I
- 18 watched in horror on the news for hours. And
- 19 PG&E denied responsibility for about an hour
- 20 and a half. They were so complacent. They
- 21 said they had no lines in the area. That's
- 22 ludicrous on the face of it. What do you

23 mean you have no lines in the area? Who the
24 heck else is supplying gas? Of course they
25 had lines in the area. They have lines
26 everywhere.
27 A few hours before, and I have been
28 following the news reports, the in-depth

337

- 1 reporting, a few hours before in Milpitas
- 2 station they lost power. They knew they
- 3 overloaded the Peninsula. And it seems like
- 4 they didn't do anything about it. They
- 5 didn't notify anybody.
- 6 Both in these incidences they should
- 7 have had procedures in place and executed
- 8 immediately to call their superiors, safety
- 9 emergency response teams, the State Office of
- 10 Emergency Services who would in turn call
- 11 local counties who would in turn call local
- 12 cities.

- 13 We were so fortunate that we did not
- 14 have more than we did.
- 15 The shut-off valves are imperative.
- 16 And one of the things that really got me was
- 17 that the news had a clearer perspective than
- 18 the emergency response teams on the ground.
- 19 They didn't know what they were dealing with

- 20 for hours. And by 6:05 a fellow, very
- 21 intelligent, that worked in this industry had
- 22 done inspections for years, had said he was
- 23 at the corner of Skyline and San Bruno Avenue
- 24 and he felt and saw the ground rise several
- 25 feet and heard the thunder. And while people
- 26 were still wondering if a plane went down, he
- 27 knew for sure it was a gasoline eruption.
- 28 And within 30 seconds to a minute
  - 338
- 1 and a half of him feeling that at that corner
- 2 it exploded.
- 3 As I am sure you have heard now, the
- 4 lack of documentation on the lines that were
- 5 assumed or declared safe is unacceptable.
- 6 Apparently, they didn't even have sketches,
- 7 vague summaries, no test data, no
- 8 installation data.
- 9 I am a former computer consultant by
- 10 trade. That is absolutely unacceptable.
- 11 I'm sure if I dropped some numbers
- 12 on their finances they would notice it
- 13 immediately.
- 14 It begs the question if our bills
- 15 have ever been right.
- 16 This company really needs serious

- 17 monitoring. And I think the biggest affront
- 18 to many of the citizens of this state is that
- 19 they spent \$54 million on ballot issues
- 20 trying to preclude local municipalities from
- 21 becoming their own power companies, which the
- 22 voters saw through, thank goodness.
- 23 They should be restricted on what
- 24 they can spend on ballot measures, what they
- 25 can give to shareholders for profit until all
- 26 lines are upgraded, inspected and they have
- 27 resolved with San Bruno the medical and
- 28 infrastructure damage that they have caused
  - 339
- 1 through their complacency and negligence.
- 2 The Commission here, if you have to
- 3 hire 500 inspectors, that is what you have to
- 4 do. You have to be out there and you have to
- 5 look at those pipes, you have to have
- 6 knowledgeable people who know what they're
- 7 looking at before they cover them with dirt
- 8 and the tar, asphalt, because who wants to
- 9 dig them up then. Who even knows where they
- 10 are. It may be a little bit over here and

11 there.

 $\Box$ 

- 12 I am wondering if we shouldn't have
- 13 a little TV camera in the fire department

- 14 command truck, the main truck, because indeed
- 15 we had two or three different stations of
- 16 news helicopters who were directly over the
- 17 site and getting multiple reports from
- 18 multiple people. I guess they are skilled in
- 19 this area, many of them, that I think could
- 20 have been of help.
- 21 Those are my major concerns. I hope
- 22 you will be addressing these.
- 23 And I think maybe you have been
- 24 perceived as an old-boy network and just
- 25 rubber-stamping things. And indeed that
- 26 seems to have been what's gone on with budget
- 27 cuts. But some things are too imperative.
- 28 Some things need to be regulated, banks,

- 1 insurance companies, utilities, our water.
- 2 These are all critical to all of our very
- 3 survival. And of course when they poison our
- 4 food, that needs to be regulated.
- 5 Thank you very much for your time.
- 6 ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you.
- 7 (Applause)
- 8 ALJ BUSHEY: That concludes the list of
- 9 people who have signed up to speak. Are
- 10 there any other members of the public in the

11 room that would like to address the

12 Commission?

13 (No response)

14 ALJ BUSHEY: Seeing none --

15 Cesar, is there something more you

16 need to discuss with us?

17 MR. CESAR: Actually, I discuss about

18 the water pressure. I just want to know,

19 like San Francisco when we had no water, what

20 happened, they have to go to the ocean --

21 ALJ BUSHEY: I think some members of

22 the Commission staff can discuss the water

23 pressure issue with you.

24 MR. ABAD: I just want to find out if

25 you put four cycles will be running, see what

26 the pressure is to it.

27 ALJ BUSHEY: I think the water pressure

28 issues can be addressed by our staff.

341

1 Are there any other members of the

2 public that wish to address the Commission.

3 STATEMENT OF MS. AL-MUKDAD

4 MS. AL-MUKDAD: Hi. I am Wendy

5 Al-Mukdad. Most of you know me.

6 Commissioner Ferron, you don't know

7 me. I work for you. I didn't get to come

- 8 yesterday. I'm off this week because my9 son's daycare is closed this week. I am on10 paid vacation.
- I guess I just want to say my 11 12 experience. I live in San Bruno. I lived at the time of the explosion in an apartment 13 14 complex which is about a block and a half 15 from where the explosion was. I was home. I 16 was home early that day, 6:15 or whatever, with my toddler and my husband. And the 17 power of that explosion I just will never 18 forget. Not just -- there were two events in 19 my experience. One was what I thought was an 20 airplane overhead. And the reason was the 21 22 whole apartment complex, we live on the 23 second floor of a three-story apartment complex, the whole apartment complex was 24 shaking so hard, the whole thing. I have 25 been in a 6.8 earthquake in Coalinga, 26 California. I lived in Japan for five years 27 when I was young. My father was in the Navy. 28 342

1 I have been in earthquakes. I knew it wasn't

- 2 an earthquake because it wasn't coming from
- 3 the ground. It was coming from overhead.
- 4 And it shook for a long time to the point so

- 5 that I knew something was going on. My son
- 6 was in a highchair a few feet away, and I
- 7 walked over and I just instinctively as a
- 8 mother put my body over him because I thought
- 9 a plane was overhead and I thought it was
- 10 going to crash into our building. I didn't
- 11 know what to do. So there was that one

12 event.

- 13 And then it was at least 15 seconds,
- 14 30 seconds, there was a loud explosion that
- 15 we heard and felt, a different -- and then we
- 16 could see huge smoke.
- 17 So I don't know, I still in my
- 18 heart, it doesn't make sense to me that that
- 19 was -- that that explosion came from under
- 20 400 psi. I am an electrical engineer. It
- 21 was so powerful.

- 22 So I still feel like there's so many
- 23 things that need to be investigated. I also
- 24 feel like because I am an engineer and I work
- 25 at the CPUC, I know how much limited
- 26 resources we have in engineering. I know the
- 27 NTSB has the same issue; they have four
- 28 engineers working on all this. We put so
  - 343

1 much on so few engineers.

2 I want the right questions to be 3 asked so that the right -- so that we get to 4 the right recommendations to make the right kind of improvements in the future. And I'm 5 6 still concerned whether or not that's 7 happening. 8 The control center issue, the power 9 going down in Milpitas, why wasn't there --10 could there be a backup power control center? These are the -- how does the SCADA system 11 work? These are the questions in my mind. 12 13 What was going on in the control center operating the system? 14 15 So anyway, I just want to speak out 16 because I am in a dilemma. I am both working 17 for you, and there's ramifications to that by 18 speaking up, but I'm also a resident and I also am a mother, and I'm really concerned. 19 20 I couldn't sleep at night. I knew the more I found out -- I actually called 21 Kirk Johnson directly a couple of times 22 23 because he was the only one I could actually 24 talk to and get some real information to find out some things. And I do really appreciate 25 that he spent some time talking with me. But 26 27 I couldn't sleep at night. As the winter got closer, I knew that meant. We use more gas 28

SB GT&S 0495012

1 in gas lines.

2 I happen to live in that area. I 3 found out more information is not always -doesn't always give you peace because I found 4 5 out I happen to live in the area where there 6 were two PG&E gas transmission lines, Lines 7 109 and 132 are 52.8 feet from my apartment. 8 I also knew that afterward, I knew we lived near the San Andreas fault. I 9 remembered the Marina going up in flames from 10 the gas lines breaking and stuff. 11 12 So I have moved because I didn't feel safe. That's how serious I took it. I 13 14 had the opportunity. We were only renting, and we bought a house. And actually it's not 15 far from here. I made a conscious decision, 16 my husband supported me, to become a San 17 Bruno resident. I feel like the community 18 here is a wonderful community. They really 19 20 come together. 21 The part of the story I didn't tell 22 you is that for those of you who don't know, it's just surreal for all of us that knew 23 24 Jacki, that worked with Jacki, Jacki Greig. When I walked out of the apartment I called 25 26 her on my cell phone at 6:31 and I waited all

27 night for return call, and I started calling

28 emergency phone lines. And I ended up

345

self-evacuating because I did not feel safe 1 2 with that fire ball a block from our house. 3 I do think we can learn a lot from 4 this. The emergency response, it wasn't clear to me who should be evacuating after 5 the incident and after they knew what was 6 7 going on. 8 There were a lot of people that stood on my block watching the event. I 9 decided to leave with my son within 20 10 11 minutes because it just didn't look safe. 12 But that block where all these people were watching, two has transmission lines, 13 including the one that exploded, 132, was 14 right underneath them. You see, more 15 information I do think would help people in 16 17 the future so that responders could know, 18 hey, maybe it's not safe for these people to stand here and watch all this. 19 20 Anyway, there's a lot in my mind. I just wanted to speak up and put another face 21 22 to it.

23 And welcome, Commissioner Ferron.

## SB GT&S 0495014

24 You guys have a big, big job in front of you.

25 We all do. And I hope we can be up to the

26 task.

27 ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you.

28 (Applause)

346

1 Any additional speakers?

2 Yes, sir.

3 STATEMENT OF MR. TRACY

4 MR. TRACY: Brian Tracy.

5 I don't want to get too much into

6 the technical details, but I have a degree in

7 welding engineering and a Ph.D. in material

8 science.

9 I encourage you -- of course you

10 have already studied the Transportation

11 Safety Board's report, but the problem of

12 incomplete penetration weld is a mandatory

13 requirement to pass any welding procedure

14 specification. You cannot allow an

15 incomplete penetration weld.

16 It concerns me so much that there

- 17 was such a long, long length of incomplete
- 18 penetration. So this wasn't a thing of one

19 welder didn't -- left an inch that was not

20 complete penetration. So that's a concern to

SB\_GT&S\_0495015

21 me.

22 It is also a concern to me that over
23 the past 50 years that PG&E has been
24 excavating pipeline, replacing valves, doing
25 upgrades. It is hard for me to imagine that
26 that there was several meters of incomplete
27 penetration in this location and they hadn't
28 seen any other evidence of incomplete

-

- 1 penetration somewhere else.
- 2 So just some thoughts. And thank
- 3 you very much.
- 4 ALJ BUSHEY: Thank you.
- 5 (Applause)
- 6 ALJ BUSHEY: That will then be our last
- 7 speaker.
- 8 Would any of the Commissioners like
- 9 to offer some closing comments?
- 10 COMMISSIONER SIMON: I would just like
- 11 to thank Judge Bushey and Commissioner Florio
- 12 for holding this series of public
- 13 participation hearings.
- 14 And I also want to thank you for
- 15 agreeing to the March 11th en banc OSC
- 16 hearing. I know that there is going to be
- 17 some redundancy for you, that much of this

- 18 you already heard in the ex parte hearing,
- 19 but I felt it was beneficial to have a fuller
- 20 complement of Commissioners there. And I
- 21 really want to thank you for your flexibility
- 22 because you could have declined and you
- 23 agreed. So I thank you for that.
- 24 COMMISSIONER SANDOVAL: I just wanted
- 25 to thank you all very much for coming out
- 26 this evening and staying here so late to
- 27 share your views.
- 28 I want to assure you we are

348

1 listening. This is part of why we have our

- 2 court reporters working diligently to record
- 3 a transcript. We will be studying your
- 4 suggestions. We have studied issues that
- 5 have come up in other parts of this

6 proceeding.

- 7 I also wanted to assure you that
- 8 this is one step. There are many other
- 9 steps. Commissioner Simon referenced a
- 10 hearing which will take place on Monday. So
- 11 there are a variety of steps that we are
- 12 taking to try to get to the bottom of why
- 13 this happened to try to address the record
- 14 keeping issues and try to address the next

- 15 step issues.
- 16 So thank you so much. And we also
- 17 do encourage you to participate in the
- 18 proceeding, sign up to get more information.
- 19 I just wanted to thank very much.
- 20 COMMISSIONER FERRON: I would like to
- 21 echo that as well. Here on the Commission we
- 22 get literally tens of thousands of pages of
- 23 material, hundreds of thousands of pages.
- 24 And there's really no substitute for hearing
- 25 directly from people who have experienced
- 26 things firsthand and who likewise have been

So I would like to thank everyone

- 27 thinking very seriously about the issue.
- 28

- 349
- 1 who appeared today. Thank you.
- 2 COMMISSIONER FLORIO: I would like to
- 3 thank my fellow Commissioners. Usually, a
- 4 meeting like this, only the assigned
- 5 Commissioner attends. I think the fact that
- 6 we have got four Commissioners here shows how
- 7 important this is to all of us.
- 8 I certainly want to thank all the
- 9 members of the public for coming out. We
- 10 have got a lot of work ahead of us, and your
- 11 thoughts and suggestions will be a major part

- 12 of that.
- 13 I would also like to thank all the
- 14 Commission staff, the public advisor's
- 15 office, security, all the folks who helped
- 16 make this event possible. We were afraid we
- 17 wouldn't have enough room, and it turns out
- 18 that we did. And everybody got a chance to
- 19 have their say.
- 20 Thank you.
- 21 ALJ BUSHEY: With that, then, this
- 22 public participation hearing is concluded,
- 23 and the Commission is adjourned.
- 24 (Whereupon, at the hour of
   9:00 p.m., this public participation
   25 hearing was concluded.)]
- 26 \* \* \* \* \*
- 27
- 28