

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 4/27/2011 5:45:35 PM
To: Douglas, Paul (paul.douglas@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Allen, Meredith (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=MEAe)
Bcc:
Subject: Re: Abengoa

Paul,
We have signed one solar thermal and storage contract with Rice Solar. We haven't received many bids for these types of projects and have shortlisted only a very few given price and/or viability concerns.

While solar thermal with storage does rank better than solar thermal without storage because it can ensure delivery over the peak, and get a higher RA value, the Seller typically remains in control of the output and therefore it is not attributed any dispatchability benefits. When we have had offers for dispatchable products they have come with a requirement for a capacity payment. This structure usually ends up with a higher \$/MWh cost that is not competitive.

Sandy

From: Douglas, Paul [mailto:paul.douglas@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, April 26, 2011 8:34 AM
To: [Redacted]
Subject: RE: Abengoa

Thanks Sandy.

How has solar thermal + storage historically ranked in LCBF? In what market conditions would solar thermal + storage be a viable proposition from a IOU perspective?

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, April 26, 2011 7:06 AM
To: Douglas, Paul
Subject: Abengoa

Paul,
I took a quick survey, and no one on the commercial team has been approached by Abengoa about any Nevada solar projects.

Sandy