

From: Horner, Trina
Sent: 4/21/2011 12:13:11 PM
To: 'Cooke, Michelle' (michelle.cooke@cpuc.ca.gov); 'sks@cpuc.ca.gov' (sks@cpuc.ca.gov); Halligan, Julie (jmh@cpuc.ca.gov); 'sba@cpuc.ca.gov' (sba@cpuc.ca.gov); 'rst@cpuc.ca.gov' (rst@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Singh, Sumeet (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=S1ST56905772); Johnson, Kirk (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=MKJ2)
Bcc:
Subject: CPUC Meeting (4/19/11) - Response to Identified Action Items

Julie, Sunil, Banu, Raffi:

Below are PG&E's follow up items from our discussion Tuesday 4/19 regarding PG&E's March 2011 data validation-related reports to the CPUC and PG&E's proposed methodology. I think this covers everything except the A-11 issue, which Sumeet is still looking at on our end.

1) Is there regulation at Rengstroff Station?

The regulation at Rengstroff Station was removed in 1989 when the section of the pipeline from Rengstroff Station to Lomita Park was updated in 1989 to 396 psig. Hence, there is no regulation at this station that separates the section of the pipeline with an identified MAOP of 400 psig from Milpitas Terminal to Rengstroff Station and the section of the pipeline with an identified MAOP of 396 psig from Rengstroff Station to Lomita Park in the L101 and L132A MAOP Validation Report. However, the MOP of this entire pipeline is 375 psig.

2) PFL Input Template and Drawing Mark-up Procedure - Instructions provided for PFL Build

Attached for your reference are the most recent drafts of these documents. Please note that there may be additional revisions to the PFL Input Template based on feedback from the PFL Build vendors.

<<...>> <<...>>

3) KPMG Report on PG&E's Data Validation Phase 1

<<...>>

4) 1998 PHMSA MAOP Guideline Document (Sunil requested this document after the conclusion of the meeting in a side conversation)

<<...>>

Please let us know if you have any questions. Thanks,
Trina