

From: Fitch, Julie A.
Sent: 6/21/2011 11:13:16 AM
To: Cherry, Brian K (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BKC7)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: RE: Counsel for OIIs

I don't think so. We have a clean split on roles. Energy division just reports on the facts. Whether to pursue an OII is not our recommendation or choice and we were not involved (I assume you are talking about the recent RA OII). I am only vaguely aware of it. I think I would not be involved in any negotiation on this. I am not in the office today and possibly tomorrow also. But when I can, I am happy to explain the approach and our roles- I already talked briefly in general with Fong on that level.

Julie

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 21, 2011, at 10:48 AM, "Cherry, Brian K" <BKC7@pge.com> wrote:

Julie - FYI. I need some guidance. Should you be involved ?

From: Bottorff, Thomas E
Sent: Monday, June 20, 2011 8:36 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K
Subject: RE: Counsel for OIIs

Brian,
Paul actually suggested calling Julie first. So, I'd call her and see who can speak with to settle.
Tom

From: Cherry, Brian K
Sent: Monday, June 20, 2011 5:57 PM
To: Bottorff, Thomas E
Subject: RE: Counsel for OIIs

Do we really want the PUC lawyers to pursue this with our lawyers ?

From: Bottorff, Thomas E
Sent: Monday, June 20, 2011 5:37 PM
To: Cherry, Brian K
Subject: FW: Counsel for OIIs

fyi
Tom

From: Clanon, Paul [mailto:paul.clanon@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Monday, June 20, 2011 4:41 PM
To: Bottorff, Thomas E
Subject: Fwd: Counsel for OIIs

Tom, the PG&E lawyer(s) should contact the PUC lawyers below to explore discussions. CPSD tells me they'll be ready to talk in a week or so.

Jason Reiger - CEQA

Shapson, Mitchell - Resource Adequacy