From: Cooke, Michelle
Sent: 6/7/2011 5:04:25 PM
To: Vieth, Jean (jean.vieth@cpuc.ca.gov); Horner, Trina (/O=PG\&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject: FW: QUestions about PG\&E's Draft workshop agenda

Trina- Judge Vieth forwarded this to Bill, can you pass on to the correct person who is taking over for him?

Thanks.
Michelle

From: Vieth, Jean
Sent: Tuesday, June 07, 2011 2:19 PM
To: 'WCS3@pge.com'
Subject: QUestions about PG\&E's Draft workshop agenda
Bill --

Under Technical Agenda, II. Pipelines, 2nd black bullet is this phrase/concept: "Pressure reduction approach ${ }^{*}$

Not sure I follow. Why is this separately listed and what issues/concerns is it supposed to represent or capture?

Thanks,
Jean

Jean Vieth
Administrative Law Judge

# California Public Utilities Commission 

415-703-2194

415-703-1723 FAX
jean.vieth@cpuc.ca.gov

From: Stock, William [mailto:WCS3@pge.com]
Sent: Friday, May 20, 2011 8:07 AM
To: Cooke, Michelle
Cc: Horner, Trina
Subject: Draft workshop agenda

Michelle:

Attached is a draft of an agenda fro the workshop.

A few thoughts

- This contemplates either a two day or a two day plus an additional day a week or so later.
- 
- This agenda would be for only the first day which is proposed to be just a technicallevel setting discussion.
- The second day could then be used to discuss other related issues such as costs, etc (I heven't formulated any structure around that at this point).
- The third day, or part day, if held could be used to synthesize Days 182 after there has been some time to digest it all.
- We suggest the use of out side experts to help define some of the technical issues and to render their thoughts on the decision tree concepts. We will forward you some ideas on those people for your consideration.

I am at an all day off site meeting today, but will get back to you with some ideas on Day 2 as soon as I can.

Bill

