

From: Allen, Meredith
Sent: 6/7/2011 6:17:13 PM
To: 'Simon, Sean A.' (sean.simon@cpuc.ca.gov)
Cc: Douglas, Paul (paul.douglas@cpuc.ca.gov)
Bcc:
Subject: Re: Meeting follow up and the today's LA Time article about the Altamont

Paul, Sean,

We communicated to NextEra that the repowering projects should be bid into the RFO. Our commercial team was also planning to contact Northstar but I don't have confirmation yet on whether that happened. I will track that down and let you know.

Thanks,
Meredith

From: Simon, Sean A. [mailto:sean.simon@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Monday, June 06, 2011 3:29 PM
To: Allen, Meredith
Cc: Douglas, Paul
Subject: RE: Meeting follow up and the today's LA Time article about the Altamont

Meredith,

What is PG&E's position regarding NextEra's concerns about having re-power projects participate in a competitive solicitation?

Regards,

Sean

Sean A. Simon | Energy Division - Analyst | CA Public Utilities Commission | Tel (415)
703-3791

<http://www.cpuc.ca.gov/renewables>

Confidentiality Notice: The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and it may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient), you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us by telephone call at the number listed above.

From: Hattevik, Kerry [mailto:Kerry.Hattevik@nexteraenergy.com]
Sent: Monday, June 06, 2011 10:55 AM
To: Fitch, Julie A.; Ikle, Judith; Douglas, Paul; Simon, Sean A.; Kamins, Sara M.; Lee, Cheryl; Simon, Jason
Cc: Gosselin, Dean; Pedroni, Anthony; Ergas, Emre; Markarian, David; Allen, Meredith
Subject: Meeting follow up and the today's LA Time article about the Altamont

All-

I want to thank you for the time you took last week to discuss NextEra's plans to repower the Altamont in accordance with the settlement we signed with the AGs office late last year. I also wanted to pass along the article in today's LA times regarding the Altamont and the imperative of repowering to benefit the avian population in the area.

In closing the meeting, last week staff conveyed that bilateral negotiations to facilitate repowering were no longer acceptable and that we are expected to participate in the RFO in 2012 and beyond to repower the facilities by the settlement deadline of 2015 (or shut down entirely). We have given that further thought and continue to have concerns about how to effectuate the repowers and the legacy commercial detanglement given RFO timelines and the complexity of the area, particularly because several legacy parties may require consent. What is clear now is that the second phase of repowering in 2012 is impossible if we have to wait until 2012 to participate in the next RFO. I would like to discuss further whether this is the intent since this will simply cause a delay in all the benefits that repowering will bring to the Altamont and the avian population of the region.

Please let me know if you have any additional questions and we look forward to working with you to find a solution to repowering the existing facilities.

Warm regards,

Kerry

Kerry Hattevik | Director of West Market Affairs

NextEra Energy Resources

O: 510.898.1847 | M: 510.221.8765

e-mail: kerry.hattevik@nexteraenergy.com

Wind power turbines in Altamont Pass threaten protected birds

Scores of golden eagles have been killed after striking the thousands of wind turbines in the Bay Area, raising questions about California's move toward alternative power.



By Louis Sahagun, Los Angeles Times

June 6, 2011

Reporting from Oakland—

Scores of protected golden eagles have been dying each year after colliding with the blades of about 5,000 wind turbines along the ridgelines of the Bay Area's Altamont Pass Wind Resource Area, raising troubling questions about the state's push for alternative power sources.

The death count, averaging 67 a year for three decades, worries field biologists because the turbines, which have been providing thousands of homes with emissions-free electricity since the 1980s, lie within a region of rolling grasslands and riparian canyons containing one of the highest densities of nesting golden eagles in the United States.



Video: Golden Eagles at Altamont Pass

"It would take 167 pairs of local nesting golden eagles to produce enough young to compensate for their mortality rate related to wind energy production," said field biologist Doug Bell, manager of East Bay Regional Park District's wildlife program. "We only have 60 pairs."

The fate of the Bay Area's golden eagles highlights the complex issues facing wildlife authorities, wind turbine companies and regulatory agencies as they promote renewable energy development in the Altamont Pass and across the nation and adds urgency to efforts to make the technology safer for wildlife, including bats, thousands of which are killed each year by wind turbines.

Gov. Jerry Brown in April signed into law a mandate that a third of the electricity used in California come from renewable sources, including wind and solar, by 2020. The new law is the most aggressive of any state.

The development and delivery of renewable energy is also one of the highest priorities of the Interior Department, which recently proposed voluntary guidelines for the sighting and operation of wind farms. Environmental organizations led by the American Bird Conservancy had called for mandatory standards.

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service authorizes limited incidental mortality and disturbance of eagles at wind facilities, provided the operators take measures to mitigate the losses by replacing older turbines with newer models that are meant to be less hazardous to birds, removing turbines located in the paths of hunting raptors and turning off certain turbines during periods of heavy bird migration. So far, no wind energy company has been prosecuted by federal wildlife authorities in connection with the death of birds protected by the Migratory Bird Act, the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act or the federal Endangered Species Act.

The survival of the Bay Area's golden eagles may depend on data gathered by trapping and banding and then monitoring their behavior in the wilds and in wind farms.

On a recent weekday, Bell shinnied up the gnarled branches of an old oak to a bathtub-sized golden eagle nest overlooking a canyon about 25 miles south of Altamont Pass.

Two fluffy white and black chicks, blinked and hissed nervously as he scooped them up and placed them into cloth sacks. He attached the sacks to a rope and delicately lowered them 45 feet to the ground.

"As adults, these birds could eventually wind up anywhere in the Western United States or Mexico — that is, if they live that long," Bell said.

With field biologist Joe DiDonato, he banded the birds' legs and recorded their vital statistics in a journal that chronicles more than a decade of raptor research in the region. The message is a grim one.

Each year, about 2,000 raptors are killed in the Altamont Pass by wind turbines, according to on-site surveys conducted by field biologists. The toll, however, could be higher because bird carcasses are quickly removed by scavengers.

Environmentalists have persuaded the energy industry and federal authorities — often through litigation — to modify the size, shape and placement of wind turbines. Last year, five local Audubon chapters, the California attorney general's office and Californians for Renewable Energy reached an agreement with NextEra Energy Resources to expedite the replacement of its old wind turbines in the Altamont Pass with new, taller models less likely to harm birds such as golden eagles and burrowing owls that tend to fly low.

The neighboring Buena Vista Wind Energy Project recently replaced 179 aging wind turbines with 38 newer and more powerful 1-megawatt turbines. That repowering effort has reduced fatality rates by 79% for all raptor species and 50% for golden eagles, according to a study by Shawn Smallwood, an expert on raptor ecology in wind farms.

It remains unclear, however, whether such mega-turbines would produce similar results elsewhere, or reduce fatalities among bats.

Nationwide, about 440,000 birds are killed at wind farms each year, according to the Fish and Wildlife Service. The American Wind Energy Assn., an industry lobbying group, points out that far more birds are killed each year by collisions with radio towers, tall buildings, airplanes, vehicles and in encounters with hungry household cats.

And while "there's quite a bit of growth to come in wind energy development, it won't be popping up everywhere," said attorney Allan Marks, who specializes in the development of renewable energy projects. "That is because you can only build these machines where the wind is blowing. So a lot of the new development will be replacing old facilities in areas such as Cabazon, the Tehachapi Mountains and Altamont Pass."

Nonetheless, the generating facilities will continue to threaten federally protected species such as eagles and California condors, a successfully recovered species that is expanding its range into existing and proposed wind farms in Kern and Fresno counties.

NextEra Energy's proposed North Sky River Project calls for 102 wind turbines across 12,582 acres on the east flank of the Piute Mountains, about 17 miles northeast of the Tehachapis. A risk assessment of that project warned that condors spend considerable time soaring within the potential rotor-swept heights of modern wind turbines, which are more than 200 feet tall. It also pointed out that condor roosts are as close as 25 miles away.

"We taxpayers have spent millions of dollars saving the California condor from extinction," said Gary George, spokesman for Audubon California. "How's the public going to feel about wind energy if a condor hits the turbines?"

In the meantime, raptors such as golden eagles, American kestrels, red-tail hawks and prairie falcons continue to compete with wind turbines for their share of the winds blowing from the southwest through the Altamont Pass.

Golden eagles weigh about 14 pounds, stand up to 40 inches tall and are equipped with large hooked bills and ice-pick talons. Their flight behavior and size make it difficult for them to maneuver through forests of wind turbine towers, especially when distracted by the sight of prey animals such as ground squirrels and rabbits.

"The eagles usually die of blunt-force trauma injuries," Bell said. "Once, I discovered a wounded golden eagle hobbling through tall grass, about a quarter mile from the turbine blades that had clipped its flight feathers."

As he spoke, an adult male golden eagle glided a few yards above the contours of Buena Vista's sloped

grasslands, prowling for prey. It floated up and over a rise, narrowly evading turbine blades as it followed the tantalizing sight of a ground squirrel scurrying through the brush.

Bell sighed with relief. "A wind farm owner once told me that if there were no witnesses, it would be impossible to prove a bird had been killed by a wind turbine blade," he said. "My response was this: If you see a golden eagle sliced in half in a wind farm, what other explanation is there?"

louis.sahagun@latimes.com

Copyright © 2011, [Los Angeles Times](#)

- 

Around the Web

- [Solar Fill-Up: Clean Energy For Clean Car |courant.com](#)

Comments (34)

[Add / View comments](#) | [Discussion FAQ](#)

rdiamond5220 at 9:55 AM June 06, 2011

I love eagles. We have eagles on the Oregon Coast and they are beautiful. That being said, I have a dilemma.

One side says, "Drill, Baby, Drill." Bad vibes. Lots of CO2 in the air. That's a bad thing.

Other side says (lots of groups here)," Don't have wind machines because it kills birds", "Don't have hydroelectric power because it kills the salmon;" "Don't have solar power because it kills turtles;" "Don't burn pellets because it uses wood and puts smoke into the air which means more CO2" (this is a carnard because it merely puts back the CO2 that the trees consumed; "Don't use wave power because it is ugly to look at and some fish might die;" "Don't use natural gas because it causes pollution and is owned by the energy companies;" "Don't import oil from the Middle East or allow drilling in Alaska and the Gulf." Etc. Etc. Etc.

I'd like to have warmth, electricty and operate my car. How can I do this without have a source of electricity or am I supposed to live in the pre-fire days of humanity?

mmmkit at 9:08 AM June 06, 2011

Okay - Here's what I don't understand about all of this wind turbine stuff. First off, it's a pretty good idea, although not as cool as solar. But I'm thinking, if our little sized box fans or oscillating fans have guards around them, why don't these wind turbine designers put the same cage thing around these big things? It seems like a no-brainer to me.

onceafan at 8:28 AM June 06, 2011

Another great idea from morons who worship the creation and not the creator. Who think they have all the answers who save the enviroment from EVIL man. And where are all these so called green jobs? All in China! Someone is getting rich at the expense of the American taxpayers.

Comments are filtered for language and registration is required. The Times makes no guarantee of comments' factual accuracy. Readers may report inappropriate comments by clicking the Report Abuse link. [Here are the full legal terms you agree to by using this comment form.](#)

Kerry Hattevik | Director of West Market Affairs

NextEra Energy Resources

O: 510.898.1847 | M: 510.221.8765

e-mail: kerry.hattevik@nexteraenergy.com