BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Continue Implementation and Administration of California Renewables Portfolio Standard Program **R. 11-05-005** (Filed May 5, 2011)

REPLY COMMENTS OF SIERRA CLUB CALIFORNIA ON THE ORDER INSTITUTING RULEMAKING REGARDING IMPLEMENTATION AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE RENEWABLES PORTFOLIO STANDARD PROGRAM

June 9, 2001 Jim Metropulos, Senior Advocate Sierra Club California 801 K Street, Suite 2700 Sacramento, CA 95814 916-557-1100, x109 Jim.Metropulos@sierraclub.org Andy Katz Sierra Club California 2150 Allston Way Ste. 400 Berkeley, CA 94704 510-848-5001 andykatz@sonic.net

I. INTRODUCTION

In accordance with the schedule provided in the Order Instituting Rulemaking (OIR), Sierra Club California respectfully submits the following comments in reply to the comments filed by other Parties on May 31, 2011. These reply comments clarify the issues that Sierra Club California believes should be priority issues in this rulemaking.

II. PRIORITY ISSUES IN THIS RULEMAKING

a. IMPLEMENTATION OF PUBLIC UTILTIIES CODE § 399.20, AS

AMENDED, WILL ENCOURAGE RAPID INTEGRATION OF

RENEWABLE ENERGY RESOURCES

Sierra Club California believes that resolving issues that help to promote certainty and early procurement should be expedited in this proceeding. Sierra Club California urges, along with the Agricultural Energy Consumers Association, Center for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Technologies, Clean Coalition, California Wastewater Climate Change Group, California Solar Energy Industries Association, Solar Alliance, Sustainable Conservation, and the Union of Concerned Scientists for the Commission to treat implementation of SB 32 and Public Utilities Code § 399.20, as amended, as a top priority. Implementation of this program for standard offer contracts for small-scale renewable energy projects will increase deployment of renewable energy on an accelerated timeline, and with greater certainty of project delivery.

This is a key policy tool to developing 12,000 MW of distributed generation by 2020, and Sierra Club California urges a Tier 1 priority level for implementation of the feed-in tariff program.

Setting the market price ceiling is a necessary first step due to the amendment of § 399.20, by SB X1 2, replacing the market price referent with "market price," as defined. Sierra Club California recommended in its opening comments to set and further define market price as "avoided cost," as defined by FERC. The next essential step to a successful standard offer contract program is to establish cost-based prices differentiated by technology and project size. Sierra Club California believes that consideration of locational value and resource adequacy value as part of avoided cost is likely to support development of small-scale renewable energy projects, but that the Commission should set reasonable cost-based prices as has been done in feed-in tariff programs in other states and countries. For scheduling purposes, the Commission may need to defer some differentiated technologies from the Tier 1 priority level, but Sierra Club California urges the Commission to set and define market price, and set standard prices for the most commonly anticipated types of projects as a top priority.

b. IMPLEMENTATION OF RPS COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT REQUIREMENTS

Sierra Club California considers it a high priority to ensure a sustainable and enforceable RPS program. Therefore, Sierra Club California concurs with the Union of Concerned Scientists that the clarification of the compliance obligation for a regulated load-serving entity is a priority issue. Additionally, Sierra Club California concurs that it is important to clarify issues that would define what types and amounts of transactions will be considered eligible for compliance

with the RPS program to assist utilities with certainty in procurement. Finally, revisions to key enforcement provisions such as strengthening penalty rates and caps should be considered to ensure that they deter and mitigate noncompliance.

c. RENEWABLE ENERGY CREDIT TRADING RULES

Sierra Club California agrees with the Union of Concerned Scientists that rulemaking that helps to promote certainty and early procurement should be expedited in this proceeding.

Therefore, Sierra Club California concurs that setting rules for Renewable Energy Credit trading could promote early deployment of renewable energy and certainty in the RPS program. As stated in our opening comments, Sierra Club California urges for the Commission to consider preference for renewable energy projects and products that provide maximum environmental benefits, particularly for impacted communities, electricity products that represent greater reduction in greenhouse gas emissions, and electricity products that represent cost-effective alternatives to new transmission rights-of-way.

III. SELECTED ISSUES PROPOSED FOR TIER 1

The listing of selected issues below is in response to the "Joint Parties'

Recommendations on Scoping and Prioritization of Issues in R.11-05-005." Text in **bold** reflects a distinct recommendation of Sierra Club California to increase the priority level of a particular

¹ Public Resources Code Section 25740.5(c).

² Public Utilities Code § 399.13(a)(7).

³ Public Utilities Code Section 399.11(b)(4).

⁴ Public Utilities Code Section 1005.1.

issue, and text in *italics* introduces a new sub-issue identified by Sierra Club California with a priority level comparable to the priority level assigned by the majority of Parties in the Joint Parties' Recommendation.

Issue 1: Modify Program to Implement Recent Legislation

- 1. Modify Renewables Portfolio Standard (RPS) compliance rules
 - a. Adopt new RPS compliance targets by January 1, 2012.
 - b. Modify flexible compliance rules, including implementing different banking rules for different types of RPS contracts
 - c. Resolve seams issues between the 20% RPS and 33% RPS compliance requirements, including implementing the provision that any retail seller procuring RPS eligible energy for at least 14% of retail sales in 2010 shall not have its RPS procurement deficits, if any, added to future procurement requirements.
- 2. Modify renewable energy credit (REC) trading rules
 - a. Modify the definition of renewable energy credits to eliminate delivery requirement and other changes.
 - Modify REC trading rules to provide that, in order to count for RPS compliance,
 RECs must be retired in the tracking system within 36 months from the initial
 date of generation of the associate electricity.
 - c. Define new terms e.g. "firmed and shaped", "incremental energy" and "unbundled" RECs.
 - d. Implement usage limitations on REC transactions.

- i. Consider greenhouse gas emissions reduction when setting limits on categories of RPS-eligible procurement.
- e. Develop rules for REC contracts executed prior to June 1, 2010, including determining what it means for a contract to "count in full" toward RPS procurement requirements.
- f. Determine how trading rules should include preference to renewable energy projects that provide environmental benefits to communities that suffer from high emission levels of toxic air contaminants, criteria air pollutants, and greenhouse gases. Public Utilities Code § 399.13(a)(7).
- g. Integrate into trading rules the principles of Public Utilities Code Section 1005.1 regarding cost-effective alternatives to transmission.

Issue 3: Compliance, Reporting And Enforcement

- 1. Monitor and enforce compliance of retail sellers with RPS requirements, including but not limited to:
 - c. Clarify compliance requirements for years 2010-2013.

Issue 4: Least-Cost Best-Fit

- 1 . Review and improve bid evaluation methodology, including adjustments, as necessary for:
 - c. Resource adequacy value
 - d. Locational benefits for distributed generation

e. Evolving significance of "Best-Fit" as renewables increase to 33% of total energy resources.

Issue 5: Implement section 399.20, as amended

- 1. Develop requirements for standard tariffs of utilities for RPS-eligible generators of up to three megawatts capacity, including but not limited to:
 - a. Supplement briefs already submitted in R.08-08-009 to cover new statutory requirements.
 - b. Establish methodology to determine market price for standard tariffs.
 - i. Determine locational benefits value.
 - ii. Evaluate differentiated cost-based pricing for specific renewable technologies.
 - c. Address interconnection issues, including interconnection cost and the set up process for expedited interconnection procedures.

IV. SELECTED ISSUES PROPOSED FOR TIER 2.

Issue 1: Modify Program to Implement Recent Legislation

- 3. Modify RPS procurement plans
 - g. Determine how Commission direction to file procurement plans should include preference to renewable energy projects that provide environmental benefits to communities that suffer from high emission levels of toxic air contaminants, criteria air pollutants, and greenhouse gases. Public Utilities Code § 399.13(a)(7).

- 5. Modify RPS enforcement rules
 - a. Establish the process and rules for implementing new RPS enforcement regime.

V. SELECTED ISSUES PROPOSED FOR TIER 3

Issue 4: Least-Cost Best-Fit

- 1 . Review and improve bid evaluation methodology, including adjustments, as necessary for:
 - g. Determine how bid methodology should include preference to renewable energy projects that provide environmental benefits to communities that suffer from high emission levels of toxic air contaminants, criteria air pollutants, and greenhouse gases. Public Utilities Code § 399.13(a)(7).
 - h. Integrate into bid methodology the principles of Public Utilities Code Section 1005.1 regarding cost-effective alternatives to transmission.

VI. REPLY TO PROPOSED CHANGES TO ISSUE DESCRIPTIONS

Sierra Club California agrees with the scope of issues identified by the preliminary scoping order and Attachment A to the OIR, with the addition of selected issues raised in the points above. Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E) raised objections to several descriptions, seeking to significantly narrow the scope of this rulemaking before the Commission may even consider these important issues. Although some changes may be appropriate for revision, the chart below

provides a response to aspects of the proposed changes that would prematurely narrow the scope of this proceeding:

Issue as Identified in OIR	PG&E Recommended	Reason for Retaining CPUC
	Revision of Title	Language in Title, if any
"Implement usage limitations on REC transactions (§ 399.16(c))" (OIR, Attach. A, pg. 2, 2nd bullet). "Establish the process and rules for implementing new RPS enforcement regime. (§ 399.15(b)(5).) (OIR, Attach. A, pg. 3, Point 5, 1st bullet).	Revision of Title Implement accounting rules for product content requirements (§ 399.16(c)) Adopt standard process for seeking, granting, and implementing an RPS enforcement waiver. (§ 399.15(b)(5).)	The phrase "usage limitations" appropriately describe the intent of § 399.16(c) to limit the usage of each type of electricity product. The phrase "accounting rules" reflects a ministerial process that would preclude furthering policy goals of implementing usage limitations. The existing language is preferred due to the broad applicability to enforcement policy. The proposed wording would exclude discussion of penalties and other aspects of enforcement and compliance besides the waiver. A separate item in the scope regarding the waiver process would not be objectionable, although terms and applicability of the waiver process proposed by PG&E are overbroad and would discourage
Use of the phrase: "Tradable renewable energy credits (TRECs)" (OIR at 9, Issue 4, 2nd bullet; OIR at 10, Issue 6.3). Adopt rules for evaluating, and possibly auditing, the portfolio content category of all RPS	Substitute the phrase: "Firming and Shaping Products and Unbundled REC Products" Substitute the phrase: "Implement auditing rules."	Provided that evaluation of RPS transactions is sufficiently included elsewhere in the scope, no objection to indicating that this issue refers to auditing.
transactions (399.16(b)) (OIR, Attach. A, pg.1, 3rd bullet).		addring.

VII. REPLY TO COMMENTS ADDRESSING PROPOSED SCHEDULE

Sierra Club California generally endorses the schedule for priorities proposed by the Center for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Technologies (CEERT), which proposes expedited Decision schedules for SB 32 (Expanded FIT) Implementation, Definition of RPS-Eligible Products, RPS-Obligated Entities' Compliance, and Project Viability/Resource Adequacy.

Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E), San Diego Gas and Electric (SDG&E) and Southern California Edison (SCE) each proposed schedules for Tier 1 issues. Sierra Club California generally finds the suggested timeframes adequate, but urges more iterative opportunities, so that Parties may respond meaningfully to proposals. Specifically, Sierra Club California suggests that the SDG&E schedule be modified to include an optional response to proposals prior to the issuance of a Proposed Decision. In addition, the schedule should plan for a follow-up workshop on open issues following responses to comments. Particularly for an expedited timeframe, this follow-up workshop could help resolve issues without evidentiary hearings. Further, the schedule should allow for comments on the Proposed Decision so that technical modifications may be incorporated in the Commission Decision. Sierra Club California believes that these optional responses could be incorporated in a schedule targeting a Commission Decision by October 6, 2011, as intended by the schedule proposed by SDG&E.

Likewise, Sierra Club California urges with regard to the Tier 2 schedule recommended by SCE sufficient iterative opportunities to respond to proposals, and for open issues to be addressed in follow-up workshops if necessary. Specifically, the schedule could include a workshop in March or April 2012 on the staff proposal prior to comments on the staff proposal.

The level of opportunity needed for comment and dialogue may vary depending on the

contentiousness of the specific issues within each Tier. Sierra Club California notes that

additional parties suggest the opportunity to file reply/response comments.

VIII. CONCLUSION

Sierra Club California urges the Commission to prioritize implementation of SB 32 to

expedite increased deployment of renewable energy on an accelerated timeline and include

setting of prices for a feed-in tariff as a Tier 1 issue. Sierra Club California considers

compliance and enforcement, and renewable energy credit rules to be priority issues to help

provide regulatory certainty and encourage early deployment and successful compliance.

When renewable energy credit rules and bid evaluation methodology are considered,

Sierra Club California urges for the Commission to consider preference for renewable energy

projects and products that provide maximum environmental benefits, particularly for impacted

communities, electricity products that represent greater reduction in greenhouse gas emissions,

and electricity products that represent cost-effective alternatives to new transmission rights-of-

way.

Dated: June 9, 2011

/s/ Jim Metropulos

/s/ Andy Katz

Jim Metropulos, Senior Advocate

Andy Katz

Sierra Club California

Sierra Club California

11

801 K Street Ste. 2700 2150 Allston Way Ste. 400

Sacramento, CA 95814 Berkeley, CA 94704

916-557-1100, extension 109 510-848-5001

Jim.Metropulos@sierraclub.org andykatz@sonic.net

VERIFICATION

I am the Senior Advocate with Sierra Club California and am authorized to make this verification on its behalf. I am informed and believe that the matters stated in this pleading are true.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the matters stated in this pleading are true and correct.

Executed on the 9th day of June, 2011, at Sacramento, California.

/s/ Jim Metropulos		

Jim Metropulos, Senior Advocate

Sierra Club California

801 K Street, Suite 2700

Sacramento, CA 95814

Tel: 916-557-1100, extension 109

jim.metropulos@sierraclub.org

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Continue Implementation and Administration of California Renewables Portfolio Standard Program **R. 11-05-005** (Filed May 5, 2011)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have duly served a copy of "Reply Comments of Sierra Club California on the Order Instituting Rulemaking Regarding the Implementation and Administration of the Renewables Portfolio Standard Program" in compliance with the Commission's Rules of Practice, this 9th day of June, 2011. Parties on the Service List have been served by e-mail, if an e-mail address has been provided, or by first class mail, if none provided. The assigned Commissioner and Administrative Law Judge have been served by first class mail.

A copy of the service list is attached.

Signed this 9th day of June, 2011 in Sacramento, CA

/s/ Jim Metropulos

Jim Metropulos 801 K Street Ste. 2700 Sacramento, CA 95814 Tel: 916-557-1100, extension 109 jim.metropulos@sierraclub.org Service List for R.11-05-005 as of June 9, 2011 (Last Changed June 8, 2011)

By First Class Mail:

Commissioner Mark Ferron California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

ALJ Anne E. Simon California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

ALJ Burton Mattson California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

ALJ Regina DeAngelis California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

Michael Meacham City of Chula Vista 276 Fourth Avenue Chula Vista, CA 91910

Morgan Hansen Morgan Stanley-Commodities 2000 Westchester Ave 1st Floor, Purchase, NY, 10577

Samara Rassi Fellon-Mccord & Associates 10200 Forest Green Blvd., Ste. 501 Louisville, KY, 40223-5183

Commerce Energy, Inc. 5251 Westheimer Rd. Ste. 1000 Houston, TX, 77056-5414

Harvey Eder Public Solar Power Coalition 1218 12th Street, No. 25 Santa Monica, CA 90401

3 Phases Renewables LLC 2100 Sepulveda Blvd, Ste. 37 Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

Solar Semiconductor Inc. 1292 Kifer Road, Suite 808 Sunnyvale, CA 94086

Tony Chen Cool Earth Solar 4659 Las Positas Rd., 94551 Livermore, CA 94551

Mountain Utilities PO Box 1 Kirkwood, CA 95646

By E-Mail:

cadowney@cadowneylaw.com chris.leveriza@glacialenergy.vi ccasselman@pilotpowergroup.com douglass@energyattorney.com liddell@energyattorney.com jnelson@psrec.coop matthew@turn.org sheldon.kimber@recurrentenergy.com wplaxico@axiopower.com lwisland@ucsusa.org Laurie.Mazer@bp.com patrick.vanbeek@commercialenergy.net rhardy@hardyenergy.com Andrew.Luscz@GlacialEnergy.com dgulino@ridgewoodpower.com rick noger@praxair.com rresch@seia.org keith.mccrea@sablaw.com jim_p_white@transcanada.com tlocascio@libertypowercorp.com JCasadont@BlueStarEnergy.com jkern@bluestarenergy.com mkuchera@bluestarenergy.com

angela.gregory@DirectEnergy.com kb@EnerCalUSA.com TPhillips@TigerNaturalGas.com jordan.white@pacificorp.com dsaul@pacificsolar.net Jean-Claude.Bertet@ladwp.com GouletCA@email.laccd.edu kelly.cauvel@build-laccd.org thall@laccd.edu npedersen@hanmor.com mmazur@3PhasesRenewables.com susan.munves@smgov.net mmcdannel@lacsd.org igoodman@commerceenergy.com rmcmahon@amonix.com ej_wright@oxy.com d.moss@renewables100.org stephen.burnage@gmail.com pssed@adelphia.net cathy.karlstad@sce.com kswitzer@gswater.com cponds@ci.chula-vista.ca.us

mary@solutionsforutilities.com AMSmith@SempraUtilities.com DAKing@SempraGeneration.com fortlieb@sandiego.gov ileslie@luce.com thomaspcorr@gmail.com tdarton@pilotpowergroup.com kswitzer@scwater.com GloriaB@anzaelectric.org phil@reesechambers.com andrea.morrison@directenergy.com Joe.Langenberg@gmail.com dorth@krcd.org ek@a-klaw.com tam.hunt@gmail.com mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com mpo@cpuc.ca.gov jeanne.sole@sfgov.org MGML@pge.com nes@a-klaw.com abrowning@votesolar.org bcragg@goodinmacbride.com

jsqueri@goodinmacbride.com

jarmstrong@goodinmacbride.com

mday@goodinmacbride.com

nmurray@naturener.net

shong@goodinmacbride.com

dhuard@manatt.com

todd.edmister@bingham.com

jkarp@winston.com

edwardoneill@dwt.com

jeffgray@dwt.com

bobgex@dwt.com

stevegreenwald@dwt.com

ssmyers@att.net

gpetlin@3degreesinc.com

kerry.hattevik@nexteraenergy.com

wbooth@booth-law.com

info@calseia.org

jpross@sungevity.com

jody_london_consulting@earthlink.net

jkepke@ch2m.com

jkeyes@keyesandfox.com

kfox@keyesandfox.com

sstanfield@keyesandfox.com

Patrick. Van Beek @ Commercial Energy. net

gmorris@emf.net

ndesnoo@ci.berkeley.ca.us

clyde.murley@comcast.net

tomb@crossborderenergy.com

erasmussen@marinenergyauthority.org

janreid@coastecon.com

michaelboyd@sbcglobal.net

johnrredding@earthlink.net

jweil@aglet.org

jsanders@caiso.com

Ralf1241a@cs.com

cmkehrein@ems-ca.com

dcarroll@downeybrand.com

davidb@cwo.com

jmcfarland@treasurer.ca.gov

jim.metropulos@sierraclub.org

wynne@braunlegal.com

blaising@braunlegal.com

aecaonline@gmail.com

sgp@eslawfirm.com

abb@eslawfirm.com

dkk@eslawfirm.com

jig@eslawfirm.com

Imh@eslawfirm.com

wwester@smud.org

kristin@consciousventuresgroup.com

kmills@cfbf.com

peter.eichler@libertywater.com

kevin.lynch@iberdrolaren.com

jcolive@bpa.gov

Tom.Elgie@powerex.com

amber@iepa.com

freesa@thirdplanetwind.com

acitrin@prosoliana.com

WBlattner@SempraUtilities.com

ccollins@Energystrat.com

davidmorse9@gmail.com

dtownley@infiniacorp.com

ddavie@wellhead.com

elvine@lbl.gov

esison@smud.org

ehadley@reupower.com

Erin.Grizard@BloomEnergy.com

klatt@energyattorney.com

harry.singh@gs.com

HYao@SempraUtilities.com

jpepper@svpower.com

janice@strategenconsulting.com

jsanders@caiso.com

jspears@orrick.com

kmills@cfbf.com

KFrancone@energystrat.com

kgiddens@orrick.com

sahm@fitcoalition.com

Isherman@orrick.com

lwhouse@innercite.com

moxsen@calpine.com

mfrazee@anaheim.net

matt.miller@recurrentenergy.com

mcox@calplg.com

mpf@stateside.com

mdeange@smud.org

michael.wheeler@recurrentenergy.com

nedrayoung@gmail.com

obartho@smud.org

seang@kroadpower.com

sean.beatty@genon.com eddyconsulting@gmail.com siobhan.doherty@frv.com StephanieC@greenlining.org steven@iepa.com sue.mara@rtoadvisors.com tculley@keyesandfox.com ttutt@smud.org todd.johansen@recurrentenergy.com regulatory.affairs@recurrentenergy.com e-recipient@caiso.com regulatory@silveradopower.com mrw@mrwassoc.com artrivera@comcast.net CKebler@SempraGeneration.com cynthia.brady@constellation.com cynthia.brady@constellation.com Derek@AltaPowerGroup.com pstoner@lgc.org imcgowan@3DegreesInc.com Jennifer.Barnes@Navigantconsulting.com James.Stack@CityofPaloAlto.org judypau@dwt.com Imitchell@hanmor.com m.stout@meridianenergyusa.com martinhomec@gmail.com mniroula@water.ca.gov nrader@calwea.org pblood@columbiaenergypartners.com pshaw@suntechamerica.com richard.chandler@bp.com r.raushenbush@comcast.net rwalther@pacbell.net pletkarj@bv.com SEHC@pge.com ted@fitcoalition.com thomase.hobson@ge.com mpr-ca@coolearthsolar.com dwtcpucdockets@dwt.com TReid@fce.com

nicole.fabri@clearenergybrokerage.com ron.cerniglia@directenergy.com catherine.krupka@sutherland.com

Karen.Kochonies@MorganStanley.com

alexandra.konieczny@sutherland.com tjaffe@energybusinessconsultants.com DBodine@LibertyPowerCorp.com

cswoollums@midamerican.com abiecunasjp@bv.com nblack@calbioenergy.com cpacc@calpine.com echiang@elementmarkets.com

jpittsjr@pcgconsultants.com roxane.perruso@tac-denver.com jon.jacobs@paconsulting.com kjsimonsen@ems-ca.com jenine.schenk@apses.com mark@pdsplc.com chilen@sppc.com emello@sppc.com tdillard@sppc.com jgreco@terra-genpower.com bryan.schweickert@ladwp.com leilani.johnson@ladwp.com Randy.Howard@ladwp.com Jeff.Newman@bth.ca.gov rkeen@manatt.com fyanney@fulbright.com

gbawa@cityofpasadena.net Douglas@Idealab.com cchang@cityofpasadena.net vjw3@pge.com vpuffer@gwpenergy.com fhall@solarelectricsolutions.com jackmack@suesec.com AMRO@pge.com BJeider@ci.burbank.ca.us HPandey@ci.burbank.ca.us ylehr@ci.azusa.ca.us case.admin@sce.com george.wiltsee@sce.com Joni.Templeton@sce.com Laura.Genao@sce.com nquan@gswater.com kswitzer@gswater.com

rkmoore@gswater.com chad@cenergypower.com rjgilleskie@san.rr.com j.miles.cox@sbcglobal.net ggisel@indenergysolutions.com gbass@noblesolutions.com SNelson@Sempra.com TRoberts@SempraUtilities.com CentralFiles@SempraUtilities.com farrellytc@earthlink.net marcie.milner@shell.com HRasool@SempraUtilities.com ipierce@semprautilities.com DNiehaus@SempraUtilities.com KDeremer@SempraUtilities.com CentralFiles@SempraUtilities.com sharding@iidenergy.com fwnoble@WintecEnergy.com peter.pearson@bves.com CBlack@riversideca.gov csteen@bakerlaw.com chestonem@sharpsec.com john@deweygroup.com leichnitz@lumospower.com galiteva@aol.com JLehman@anaheim.net msamra@anaheim.net hanigan@encous.com kerry.eden@ci.corona.ca.us pfmoritzburke@gmail.com janet.gagnon@solarworldusa.com Jeff.Hirsch@DOE2.com hal@rwitz.net

Brian.Prusnek@sce.com
nao@cpuc.ca.gov
austin.yang@sfgov.org
Dan.adler@calcef.org
mramirez@sfwater.org
mdacunha@ecoplexus.com
mcampbell@sfwater.org
tburke@sfwater.org
norman.furuta@navy.mil
andre.devilbiss@recurrentenergy.com
dcover@esassoc.com

reg@silveradopower.com

jim.howell@recurrentenergy.com

luke.dunnington@recurrentenergy.com

marcel@turn.org

sam.maslin@recurrentenergy.com

snuller@ethree.com

regulatory_affairs@recurrentenergy.com

mcarboy@signalhill.com

avege@firstwind.com

RegRelCPUCCases@pge.com

CRMd@pge.com

ELL5@pge.com

jay2@pge.com

jsp5@pge.com

filings@a-klaw.com

ldri@pge.com

MMCL@pge.com

mginsburg@orrick.com

tjl@a-klaw.com

spauker@wsgr.com

cmmw@pge.com

nxk2@pge.com

Eriks@ecoplexus.com

amartin@nextlight.com

fderosa@nextlight.com

jstoddard@manatt.com

iwoodruff@nextlight.com

jscancarelli@crowell.com

jared.johnson@lw.com

jrehfeld@naturener.net

mchediak@bloomberg.net

markfumia@dwt.com

rafi.hassan@sig.com

sdhilton@stoel.com

tkaushik@manatt.com

vidhyaprabhakaran@dwt.com

mday@goodinmacbride.com

lcottle@winston.com

tsolomon@winston.com

Diane.Fellman@nrgenergy.com

cem@newsdata.com

sho@ogrady.us

atk4@pge.com

CPUCCases@pge.com

BXSZ@pge.com

bkc7@pge.com

GXL2@pge.com

k1k3@pge.com

mrh2@pge.com

S2B9@pge.com

ryan.heidari@endimensions.com

chris@emeter.com

wetstone@alamedamp.com

beth@beth411.com

bill@fitcoalition.com

andy.vanhorn@vhcenergy.com

kowalewskia@calpine.com

barmackm@calpine.com

JChamberlin@LSPower.com

phanschen@mofo.com

timea.Zentai@navigantconsulting.com

masont@bv.com

dietrichlaw2@earthlink.net

alex.kang@itron.com

ramonag@ebmud.com

scott.goorland@fpl.com

bepstein@fablaw.com

nellie.tong@us.kema.com

cpucdockets@keyesandfox.com

ramesh.ramchandani@commercialenergy.net

ron.perry@commercialenergy.net

cwooten@lumenxconsulting.com

rschmidt@bartlewells.com

andykatz@sonic.net

gtrobinson@lbl.gov

ed.smeloff@sunpowercorp.com

dweisz@marinenergyauthority.org

sara@solaralliance.org

juliettea7@aol.com

lynn@lmaconsulting.com

tfaust@redwoodrenewables.com

paulfenn@local.org

anders.glader@elpower.com

jna@speakeasy.org

tim@marinemt.org

johnspilman@netzero.net

ed.mainland@sierraclub.org

keithwhite@earthlink.net

wem@igc.org

eric.cherniss@gmail.com shani@scvas.org renee@gem-corp.com vsuravarapu@cera.com sberlin@mccarthylaw.com tom_victorine@sjwater.com jrobertpayne@gmail.com mjensen@mercedid.org davido@mid.org joyw@mid.org lindaf@mid.org brbarkovich@earthlink.net dgrandy@caonsitegen.com rmccann@umich.edu tobinjmr@sbcglobal.net saeed.farrokhpay@ferc.gov e-recipient@caiso.com

dennis@ddecuir.com brian.theaker@nrgenergy.com rick@sierraecos.com david.oliver@navigantconsulting.com kenneth.swain@navigantconsulting.com cpucrulings@navigantconsulting.com Ipark@navigantconsulting.com pmaxwell@navigantconsulting.com tpomales@arb.ca.gov tbrunello@calstrat.com mclaughlin@braunlegal.com danielle@ceert.org jluckhardt@downeybrand.com bernardo@braunlegal.com steveb@cwo.com Tiffany.Roberts@lao.ca.gov dseperas@calpine.com bsb@eslawfirm.com cte@eslawfirm.com rroth@smud.org mdeange@smud.org vwood@smud.org Iterry@water.ca.gov hurlock@water.ca.gov varanini@sbcglobal.net karen@klindh.com atrowbridge@daycartermurphy.com

dsanchez@daycartermurphy.com

DocToxics@aol.com

dbranchcomb@spi-ind.com

cpuc@liberty-energy.com

c.mentzel@cleanenergymaui.com

sas@a-klaw.com

mpa@a-klaw.com

cathie.allen@pacificorp.com

Tashiana.Wangler@PacifiCorp.com

dws@r-c-s-inc.com

castille@landsenergy.com

lindsay zaitsoff@transalta.com

djurijew@capitalpower.com

john_dunn@transcanada.com

meredith_lamey@transcanada.com

spillott@capitalpower.com

mark.thompson@powerex.com

Nancy.Norris@powerex.com

AEG@cpuc.ca.gov

CNL@cpuc.ca.gov

DBP@cpuc.ca.gov

MWT@cpuc.ca.gov

SMK@cpuc.ca.gov

TRH@cpuc.ca.gov

Igonzale@energy.state.ca.us

jmcmahon@8760energy.com

as2@cpuc.ca.gov

aes@cpuc.ca.gov

bwm@cpuc.ca.gov

clu@cpuc.ca.gov

ctd@cpuc.ca.gov

dbp@cpuc.ca.gov

dot@cpuc.ca.gov

gtd@cpuc.ca.gov

jm3@cpuc.ca.gov

jls@cpuc.ca.gov

jzr@cpuc.ca.gov

jp6@cpuc.ca.gov

jaa@cpuc.ca.gov

jf2@cpuc.ca.gov

jmh@cpuc.ca.gov

kar@cpuc.ca.gov

kho@cpuc.ca.gov

kwh@cpuc.ca.gov

mrl@cpuc.ca.gov

mjs@cpuc.ca.gov

mjd@cpuc.ca.gov

mc3@cpuc.ca.gov

sha@cpuc.ca.gov

nlr@cpuc.ca.gov

nil@cpuc.ca.gov

psd@cpuc.ca.gov

rmm@cpuc.ca.gov

rkn@cpuc.ca.gov

rmd@cpuc.ca.gov

srt@cpuc.ca.gov

svn@cpuc.ca.gov

tbo@cpuc.ca.gov

dietrichlaw2@earthlink.net

ys2@cpuc.ca.gov

Will.Brieger@doj.ca.gov

claufenb@energy.state.ca.us

cleni@energy.state.ca.us

hraitt@energy.state.ca.us

kz occhet @energy.state.ca.us

mpryor@energy.state.ca.us

pdoughma@energy.state.ca.us

dvidaver@energy.state.ca.us

gherrera@energy.state.ca.us

jwoodwar@energy.state.ca.us

pbarthol@energy.state.ca.us

hcronin@water.ca.gov

rmiller@energy.state.ca.us