From: Cooke, Michelle

Sent: 6/29/2011 9:27:33 AM

To: Horner, Trina (/O=PG&E/OU=CORPORATE/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TNHC)

Cc: Redacted

Garber, Stephen (Law) (/o=PG&E/ou=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=SLG0)

Bcc:

Subject: RE: PG&E Hydrotest report on 6/30

First option please. The generation information should be part of the information that is sent just to the internal team in the weekly updates.

Thanks.

Michelle

**From:** Horner, Trina [mailto:TNHc@pge.com] **Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2011 8:24 AM

To: Cooke, Michelle

Cc: Garber, Stephen (Law); Redacted

Subject: PG&E Hydrotest report on 6/30

Hi Michelle,

Following up on our conversation last week about PG&E's first monthly hydrotest report, I wanted to confirm how you'd like to proceed in terms of the "affected generation" information. PG&E can either 1) not include that particular information/column in its monthly report, and provide a more detailed report to the CPUC staff offline, or 2) PG&E can file a public version (with generation column redacted) and a confidential version of the exact same report. If you prefer the latter option, I think we will need a ruling from the ALJ Division or at least some guidance by you to the Docket Office, so that the confidential version is not automatically rejected. Just let us know what option you prefer. If you could copy Steve Garber and Redacted on your response in my absence from the office this week, I'd appreciate it. Thanks,

Trina