

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Continue
Implementation and Administration of California
Renewables Portfolio Standard Program

R. 11-05-005
(Filed May 5, 2011)

**REPLY COMMENTS OF SIERRA CLUB CALIFORNIA
ON THE ORDER INSTITUTING RULEMAKING
REGARDING IMPLEMENTATION AND ADMINISTRATION
OF THE RENEWABLES PORTFOLIO STANDARD PROGRAM**

June 9, 2001 Jim Metropulos, Senior Advocate
Sierra Club California
801 K Street, Suite 2700
Sacramento, CA 95814
916-557-1100, x109
Jim.Metropulos@sierraclub.org

Andy Katz
Sierra Club California
2150 Allston Way Ste. 400
Berkeley, CA 94704
510-848-5001
andykatz@sonic.net

I. INTRODUCTION

In accordance with the schedule provided in the Order Instituting Rulemaking (OIR), Sierra Club California respectfully submits the following comments in reply to the comments filed by other Parties on May 31, 2011. These reply comments clarify the issues that Sierra Club California believes should be priority issues in this rulemaking.

II. PRIORITY ISSUES IN THIS RULEMAKING

a. IMPLEMENTATION OF PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE § 399.20, AS AMENDED, WILL ENCOURAGE RAPID INTEGRATION OF RENEWABLE ENERGY RESOURCES

Sierra Club California believes that resolving issues that help to promote certainty and early procurement should be expedited in this proceeding. Sierra Club California urges, along with the Agricultural Energy Consumers Association, Center for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Technologies, Clean Coalition, California Wastewater Climate Change Group, California Solar Energy Industries Association, Solar Alliance, Sustainable Conservation, and the Union of Concerned Scientists for the Commission to treat implementation of SB 32 and Public Utilities Code § 399.20, as amended, as a top priority. Implementation of this program for standard offer contracts for small-scale renewable energy projects will increase deployment of renewable energy on an accelerated timeline, and with greater certainty of project delivery.

This is a key policy tool to developing 12,000 MW of distributed generation by 2020, and Sierra Club California urges a Tier 1 priority level for implementation of the feed-in tariff program.

Setting the market price ceiling is a necessary first step due to the amendment of § 399.20, by SB X1 2, replacing the market price referent with “market price,” as defined. Sierra Club California recommended in its opening comments to set and further define market price as “avoided cost,” as defined by FERC. The next essential step to a successful standard offer contract program is to establish cost-based prices differentiated by technology and project size. Sierra Club California believes that consideration of locational value and resource adequacy value as part of avoided cost is likely to support development of small-scale renewable energy projects, but that the Commission should set reasonable cost-based prices as has been done in feed-in tariff programs in other states and countries. For scheduling purposes, the Commission may need to defer some differentiated technologies from the Tier 1 priority level, but Sierra Club California urges the Commission to set and define market price, and set standard prices for the most commonly anticipated types of projects as a top priority.

b. IMPLEMENTATION OF RPS COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT REQUIREMENTS

Sierra Club California considers it a high priority to ensure a sustainable and enforceable RPS program. Therefore, Sierra Club California concurs with the Union of Concerned Scientists that the clarification of the compliance obligation for a regulated load-serving entity is a priority issue. Additionally, Sierra Club California concurs that it is important to clarify issues that would define what types and amounts of transactions will be considered eligible for compliance

with the RPS program to assist utilities with certainty in procurement. Finally, revisions to key enforcement provisions such as strengthening penalty rates and caps should be considered to ensure that they deter and mitigate noncompliance.

c. RENEWABLE ENERGY CREDIT TRADING RULES

Sierra Club California agrees with the Union of Concerned Scientists that rulemaking that helps to promote certainty and early procurement should be expedited in this proceeding. Therefore, Sierra Club California concurs that setting rules for Renewable Energy Credit trading could promote early deployment of renewable energy and certainty in the RPS program. As stated in our opening comments, Sierra Club California urges for the Commission to consider preference for renewable energy projects and products that provide maximum environmental benefits,¹ particularly for impacted communities,² electricity products that represent greater reduction in greenhouse gas emissions,³ and electricity products that represent cost-effective alternatives to new transmission rights-of-way.⁴

III. SELECTED ISSUES PROPOSED FOR TIER 1

The listing of selected issues below is in response to the “Joint Parties’ Recommendations on Scoping and Prioritization of Issues in R.11-05-005.” Text in **bold** reflects a distinct recommendation of Sierra Club California to increase the priority level of a particular

¹ Public Resources Code Section 25740.5(c).

² Public Utilities Code § 399.13(a)(7).

³ Public Utilities Code Section 399.11(b)(4).

⁴ Public Utilities Code Section 1005.1.

issue, and text in *italics* introduces a new sub-issue identified by Sierra Club California with a priority level comparable to the priority level assigned by the majority of Parties in the Joint Parties' Recommendation.

Issue 1: Modify Program to Implement Recent Legislation

1. Modify Renewables Portfolio Standard (RPS) compliance rules
 - a. Adopt new RPS compliance targets by January 1, 2012.
 - b. Modify flexible compliance rules, including implementing different banking rules for different types of RPS contracts
 - c. Resolve seams issues between the 20% RPS and 33% RPS compliance requirements, including implementing the provision that any retail seller procuring RPS eligible energy for at least 14% of retail sales in 2010 shall not have its RPS procurement deficits, if any, added to future procurement requirements.
2. Modify renewable energy credit (REC) trading rules
 - a. Modify the definition of renewable energy credits to eliminate delivery requirement and other changes.
 - b. Modify REC trading rules to provide that, in order to count for RPS compliance, RECs must be retired in the tracking system within 36 months from the initial date of generation of the associate electricity.
 - c. Define new terms e.g. “firmed and shaped”, “incremental energy” and “unbundled” RECs.
 - d. Implement usage limitations on REC transactions.

- i. Consider greenhouse gas emissions reduction when setting limits on categories of RPS-eligible procurement.
- e. Develop rules for REC contracts executed prior to June 1, 2010, including determining what it means for a contract to “count in full” toward RPS procurement requirements.
- f. Determine how trading rules should include preference to renewable energy projects that provide environmental benefits to communities that suffer from high emission levels of toxic air contaminants, criteria air pollutants, and greenhouse gases. Public Utilities Code § 399.13(a)(7).
- g. Integrate into trading rules the principles of Public Utilities Code Section 1005.1 regarding cost-effective alternatives to transmission.

Issue 3: Compliance, Reporting And Enforcement

- 1 . Monitor and enforce compliance of retail sellers with RPS requirements, including but not limited to:
 - c . Clarify compliance requirements for years 2010-2013.

Issue 4: Least-Cost Best-Fit

- 1 . Review and improve bid evaluation methodology, including adjustments, as necessary for:
 - c. Resource adequacy value**
 - d. Locational benefits for distributed generation**

- e. *Evolving significance of “Best-Fit” as renewables increase to 33% of total energy resources.*

Issue 5: Implement section 399.20, as amended

- 1 . Develop requirements for standard tariffs of utilities for RPS-eligible generators of up to three megawatts capacity, including but not limited to:
 - a. Supplement briefs already submitted in R.08-08-009 to cover new statutory requirements.
 - b. Establish methodology to determine market price for standard tariffs.
 - i. *Determine locational benefits value.*
 - ii. *Evaluate differentiated cost-based pricing for specific renewable technologies.*
 - c. *Address interconnection issues, including interconnection cost and the set up process for expedited interconnection procedures.*

IV. SELECTED ISSUES PROPOSED FOR TIER 2.

Issue 1: Modify Program to Implement Recent Legislation

- 3. Modify RPS procurement plans
 - g. *Determine how Commission direction to file procurement plans should include preference to renewable energy projects that provide environmental benefits to communities that suffer from high emission levels of toxic air contaminants, criteria air pollutants, and greenhouse gases. Public Utilities Code § 399.13(a)(7).*

5. Modify RPS enforcement rules

a. Establish the process and rules for implementing new RPS enforcement regime.

V. SELECTED ISSUES PROPOSED FOR TIER 3

Issue 4: Least-Cost Best-Fit

1 . Review and improve bid evaluation methodology, including adjustments, as necessary for:

g. Determine how bid methodology should include preference to renewable energy projects that provide environmental benefits to communities that suffer from high emission levels of toxic air contaminants, criteria air pollutants, and greenhouse gases.

Public Utilities Code § 399.13(a)(7).

h. Integrate into bid methodology the principles of Public Utilities Code Section 1005.1 regarding cost-effective alternatives to transmission.

VI. REPLY TO PROPOSED CHANGES TO ISSUE DESCRIPTIONS

Sierra Club California agrees with the scope of issues identified by the preliminary scoping order and Attachment A to the OIR, with the addition of selected issues raised in the points above. Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E) raised objections to several descriptions, seeking to significantly narrow the scope of this rulemaking before the Commission may even consider these important issues. Although some changes may be appropriate for revision, the chart below

provides a response to aspects of the proposed changes that would prematurely narrow the scope of this proceeding:

Issue as Identified in OIR	PG&E Recommended Revision of Title	Reason for Retaining CPUC Language in Title, if any
“Implement usage limitations on REC transactions (§ 399.16(c))” (OIR, Attach. A, pg. 2, 2 nd bullet).	Implement accounting rules for product content requirements (§ 399.16(c))	The phrase “usage limitations” appropriately describe the intent of § 399.16(c) to limit the usage of each type of electricity product. The phrase “accounting rules” reflects a ministerial process that would preclude furthering policy goals of implementing usage limitations.
“Establish the process and rules for implementing new RPS enforcement regime. (§ 399.15(b)(5).) (OIR, Attach. A, pg. 3, Point 5, 1 st bullet).	Adopt standard process for seeking, granting, and implementing an RPS enforcement waiver. (§ 399.15(b)(5).)	The existing language is preferred due to the broad applicability to enforcement policy. The proposed wording would exclude discussion of penalties and other aspects of enforcement and compliance besides the waiver. A separate item in the scope regarding the waiver process would not be objectionable, although terms and applicability of the waiver process proposed by PG&E are overbroad and would discourage compliance.
Use of the phrase: “Tradable renewable energy credits (TRECs)” (OIR at 9, Issue 4, 2 nd bullet; OIR at 10, Issue 6.3).	Substitute the phrase: “Firming and Shaping Products and Unbundled REC Products”	No objection at this time as long as the scope is not unduly narrowed.
Adopt rules for evaluating, and possibly auditing, the portfolio content category of all RPS transactions (399.16(b)) (OIR, Attach. A, pg.1, 3rd bullet).	Substitute the phrase: “Implement auditing rules.”	Provided that evaluation of RPS transactions is sufficiently included elsewhere in the scope, no objection to indicating that this issue refers to auditing.

VII. REPLY TO COMMENTS ADDRESSING PROPOSED SCHEDULE

Sierra Club California generally endorses the schedule for priorities proposed by the Center for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Technologies (CEERT), which proposes expedited Decision schedules for SB 32 (Expanded FIT) Implementation, Definition of RPS-Eligible Products, RPS-Obligated Entities' Compliance, and Project Viability/Resource Adequacy.

Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E), San Diego Gas and Electric (SDG&E) and Southern California Edison (SCE) each proposed schedules for Tier 1 issues. Sierra Club California generally finds the suggested timeframes adequate, but urges more iterative opportunities, so that Parties may respond meaningfully to proposals. Specifically, Sierra Club California suggests that the SDG&E schedule be modified to include an optional response to proposals prior to the issuance of a Proposed Decision. In addition, the schedule should plan for a follow-up workshop on open issues following responses to comments. Particularly for an expedited timeframe, this follow-up workshop could help resolve issues without evidentiary hearings. Further, the schedule should allow for comments on the Proposed Decision so that technical modifications may be incorporated in the Commission Decision. Sierra Club California believes that these optional responses could be incorporated in a schedule targeting a Commission Decision by October 6, 2011, as intended by the schedule proposed by SDG&E.

Likewise, Sierra Club California urges with regard to the Tier 2 schedule recommended by SCE sufficient iterative opportunities to respond to proposals, and for open issues to be addressed in follow-up workshops if necessary. Specifically, the schedule could include a workshop in March or April 2012 on the staff proposal prior to comments on the staff proposal.

The level of opportunity needed for comment and dialogue may vary depending on the contentiousness of the specific issues within each Tier. Sierra Club California notes that additional parties suggest the opportunity to file reply/response comments.

VIII. CONCLUSION

Sierra Club California urges the Commission to prioritize implementation of SB 32 to expedite increased deployment of renewable energy on an accelerated timeline and include setting of prices for a feed-in tariff as a Tier 1 issue. Sierra Club California considers compliance and enforcement, and renewable energy credit rules to be priority issues to help provide regulatory certainty and encourage early deployment and successful compliance.

When renewable energy credit rules and bid evaluation methodology are considered, Sierra Club California urges for the Commission to consider preference for renewable energy projects and products that provide maximum environmental benefits, particularly for impacted communities, electricity products that represent greater reduction in greenhouse gas emissions, and electricity products that represent cost-effective alternatives to new transmission rights-of-way.

Dated: June 9, 2011

/s/ Jim Metropulos

Jim Metropulos, Senior Advocate

Sierra Club California

/s/ Andy Katz

Andy Katz

Sierra Club California

801 K Street Ste. 2700
Sacramento, CA 95814
916-557-1100, extension 109
Jim.Metropulos@sierraclub.org

2150 Allston Way Ste. 400
Berkeley, CA 94704
510-848-5001
andykatz@sonic.net

VERIFICATION

I am the Senior Advocate with Sierra Club California and am authorized to make this verification on its behalf. I am informed and believe that the matters stated in this pleading are true.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the matters stated in this pleading are true and correct.

Executed on the **9th day of June, 2011**, at Sacramento, California.

/s/ Jim Metropulos

Jim Metropulos, Senior Advocate
Sierra Club California
801 K Street, Suite 2700
Sacramento, CA 95814
Tel: 916-557-1100, extension 109
jim.metropulos@sierraclub.org

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Continue
Implementation and Administration of California
Renewables Portfolio Standard Program

R. 11-05-005
(Filed May 5, 2011)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have duly served a copy of “Reply Comments of Sierra Club California on the Order Instituting Rulemaking Regarding the Implementation and Administration of the Renewables Portfolio Standard Program” in compliance with the Commission’s Rules of Practice, this 9th day of June, 2011. Parties on the Service List have been served by e-mail, if an e-mail address has been provided, or by first class mail, if none provided. The assigned Commissioner and Administrative Law Judge have been served by first class mail.

A copy of the service list is attached.

Signed this 9th day of June, 2011 in Sacramento, CA

/s/ Jim Metropulos

Jim Metropulos
801 K Street Ste. 2700
Sacramento, CA 95814
Tel: 916-557-1100, extension 109
jim.metropulos@sierraclub.org

Service List for R.11-05-005 as of June 9, 2011 (Last Changed June 8, 2011)

By First Class Mail:

Commissioner Mark Ferron
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

ALJ Anne E. Simon
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

ALJ Burton Mattson
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

ALJ Regina DeAngelis
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

Michael Meacham
City of Chula Vista
276 Fourth Avenue
Chula Vista, CA 91910

Morgan Hansen
Morgan Stanley-Commodities
2000 Westchester Ave
1st Floor, Purchase, NY, 10577

Samara Rassi
Fellon-Mccord & Associates
10200 Forest Green Blvd., Ste. 501
Louisville, KY, 40223-5183

Commerce Energy, Inc.
5251 Westheimer Rd. Ste. 1000
Houston, TX, 77056-5414

Harvey Eder
Public Solar Power Coalition

1218 12th Street, No. 25
Santa Monica, CA 90401

3 Phases Renewables LLC
2100 Sepulveda Blvd, Ste. 37
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

Solar Semiconductor Inc.
1292 Kifer Road, Suite 808
Sunnyvale, CA 94086

Tony Chen
Cool Earth Solar
4659 Las Positas Rd., 94551
Livermore, CA 94551

Mountain Utilities
PO Box 1
Kirkwood, CA 95646

By E-Mail:

cadowney@cadowneylaw.com
chris.leveriza@glacialenergy.vi
ccasselman@pilotpowergroup.com
douglass@energyattorney.com
liddell@energyattorney.com
jnelson@psrec.coop
matthew@turn.org
sheldon.kimber@recurrentenergy.com
wplaxico@axiopower.com
lwisland@ucsusa.org
Laurie.Mazer@bp.com
patrick.vanbeek@commercialenergy.net
rhardy@hardyenergy.com
Andrew.Luszcz@GlacialEnergy.com
dgulino@ridgewoodpower.com
rick_noger@praxair.com
rresch@seia.org
keith.mccrea@sablaw.com
jim_p_white@transcanada.com
tlocascio@libertypowercorp.com
JCasadont@BlueStarEnergy.com
jkern@bluestarenergy.com
mkuchera@bluestarenergy.com

angela.gregory@DirectEnergy.com
kb@EnerCalUSA.com
TPhillips@TigerNaturalGas.com
jordan.white@pacificorp.com
dsaul@pacificsolar.net
Jean-Claude.Bertet@ladwp.com
GouletCA@email.laccd.edu
kelly.cauvel@build-laccd.org
thall@laccd.edu
npedersen@hanmor.com
mmazur@3PhasesRenewables.com
susan.munves@smgov.net
mmcda...@lacsd.org
igoodman@commerceenergy.com
rmcmahon@amonix.com
ej_wright@oxy.com
d.moss@renewables100.org
stephen.burnage@gmail.com
pssed@adelphia.net
cathy.karlstad@sce.com
kswitzer@gswater.com
cponds@ci.chula-vista.ca.us

mary@solutionsforutilities.com
AMSmith@SempraUtilities.com
DAKing@SempraGeneration.com
fortlieb@sandiego.gov
jleslie@luce.com
thomaspcorr@gmail.com
tdarton@pilotpowergroup.com
kswitzer@scwater.com
GloriaB@anzaelectric.org
phil@reesechambers.com
andrea.morrison@directenergy.com
Joe.Langenberg@gmail.com
dorth@kr...org
ek@a-klaw.com
tam.hunt@gmail.com
mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com
mpo@cpuc.ca.gov
jeanne.sole@sfgov.org
MGML@pge.com
nes@a-klaw.com
abrowning@votesolar.org
bcragg@goodinmacbride.com

jsqueri@goodinmacbride.com
jarmstrong@goodinmacbride.com
mday@goodinmacbride.com
nmurray@naturener.net
shong@goodinmacbride.com
dhuard@manatt.com
todd.edmister@bingham.com
jkarp@winston.com
edwardoneill@dwt.com
jeffgray@dwt.com
bobgex@dwt.com
stevegreenwald@dwt.com
ssmyers@att.net
gpetlin@3degreesinc.com
kerry.hattevik@nexteraenergy.com
wbooth@booth-law.com
info@calseia.org
jross@sungevity.com
jody_london_consulting@earthlink.net
jkepke@ch2m.com
jkeyes@keyesandfox.com
kfox@keyesandfox.com
sstanfield@keyesandfox.com
Patrick.VanBeek@CommercialEnergy.net
gmorris@emf.net
ndesnoo@ci.berkeley.ca.us
clyde.murley@comcast.net
tomb@crossborderenergy.com
erasmussen@marinenergyauthority.org
janreid@coastecon.com
michaelboyd@sbcglobal.net
johnredding@earthlink.net
jweil@aglet.org
jsanders@caiso.com
Ralf1241a@cs.com
cmkehrein@ems-ca.com
dcarroll@downeybrand.com
davidb@cwo.com
jmcfarland@treasurer.ca.gov
jim.metropulos@sierraclub.org
wynne@braunlegal.com
blaising@braunlegal.com
aecaconline@gmail.com
sgp@eslawfirm.com
abb@eslawfirm.com

dkk@eslawfirm.com
jjg@eslawfirm.com
lmh@eslawfirm.com
wwester@smud.org
kristin@consciousventuresgroup.com
kmills@cfbf.com
peter.eichler@libertywater.com
kevin.lynch@iberdrolaren.com
jcolive@bpa.gov
Tom.Elgie@powerex.com
amber@iepa.com
freesa@thirdplanetwind.com
acitrin@prosoliana.com
WBlattner@SempraUtilities.com
ccollins@Energystrat.com
davidmorse9@gmail.com
dtownley@infiniacorp.com
ddavie@wellhead.com
elvine@lbl.gov
esison@smud.org
ehadley@reupower.com
Erin.Grizard@BloomEnergy.com
klatt@energyattorney.com
harry.singh@google.com
HYao@SempraUtilities.com
jpepper@svpower.com
janice@strategenconsulting.com
jsanders@caiso.com
jspears@orrick.com
kmills@cfbf.com
KFrancone@energystrat.com
kgiddens@orrick.com
sahm@fitcoalition.com
lsherman@orrick.com
lwhouse@innercrite.com
moxsen@calpine.com
mfrazee@anaheim.net
matt.miller@recurrentenergy.com
mcox@calplg.com
mpf@stateside.com
mdeange@smud.org
michael.wheeler@recurrentenergy.com
nedrayoung@gmail.com
obartho@smud.org
seang@kroadpower.com

sean.beatty@genon.com
eddyconsulting@gmail.com
siobhan.doherty@frv.com
StephanieC@greenlining.org
steven@iepa.com
sue.mara@rtoadvisors.com
tculley@keyesandfox.com
ttutt@smud.org
todd.johansen@recurrentenergy.com
regulatory.affairs@recurrentenergy.com
e-recipient@caiso.com
regulatory@silveradopower.com
mrw@mrwassoc.com
artrivera@comcast.net
CKebler@SempraGeneration.com
cynthia.brady@constellation.com
cynthia.brady@constellation.com
Derek@AltaPowerGroup.com
pstoner@lgc.org
imcgowan@3DegreesInc.com
Jennifer.Barnes@Navigantconsulting.com
James.Stack@CityofPaloAlto.org
judypau@dwt.com
lmitchell@hanmor.com
m.stout@meridianenergyusa.com
martinhomec@gmail.com
mniroula@water.ca.gov
nrader@calwea.org
pblood@columbiaenergypartners.com
pshaw@suntechamerica.com
richard.chandler@bp.com
r.raushenbush@comcast.net
rwalther@pacbell.net
pletkarj@bv.com
SEHC@pge.com
ted@fitcoalition.com
thomase.hobson@ge.com
mpr-ca@coolearthsolar.com
dwtcpucdockets@dwt.com
TReid@fce.com
Karen.Kochonies@MorganStanley.com

nicole.fabri@clearenergybrokerage.com
ron.cerniglia@directenergy.com
catherine.krupka@sutherland.com

alexandra.konieczny@sutherland.com
tjafffe@energybusinessconsultants.com
DBodine@LibertyPowerCorp.com

cswoollums@midamerican.com
abiecunasjp@bv.com
nblack@calbioenergy.com
cpacc@calpine.com
echiang@elementmarkets.com

jpittsjr@pcgconsultants.com
roxane.perruso@tac-denver.com
jon.jacobs@paconsulting.com
kjsimonsen@ems-ca.com
jenine.schenk@apses.com
mark@pdsplic.com
chilen@sppc.com
emello@sppc.com
tdillard@sppc.com
jgreco@terra-genpower.com
bryan.schweickert@ladwp.com
leilani.johnson@ladwp.com
Randy.Howard@ladwp.com
Jeff.Newman@bth.ca.gov
rkeen@manatt.com
fyanne@fulbright.com

gbawa@cityofpasadena.net
Douglas@Idealab.com
cchang@cityofpasadena.net
vjk3@pge.com
vpuffer@gwpenergy.com
fhall@solarelectricsolutions.com
jackmack@suesec.com
AMRO@pge.com
BJeider@ci.burbank.ca.us
HPandey@ci.burbank.ca.us
ylehr@ci.azusa.ca.us
case.admin@sce.com
george.wiltsee@sce.com
Joni.Templeton@sce.com
Laura.Genao@sce.com
nquan@gswater.com
kswitzer@gswater.com

rkmoores@gswater.com
chad@cenergypower.com
rjgilleskie@san.rr.com
j.miles.cox@sbcglobal.net
ggisel@indenergysolutions.com
gbass@noblesolutions.com
SNelson@Sempra.com
TRoberts@SempraUtilities.com
CentralFiles@SempraUtilities.com
farrellytc@earthlink.net
marcie.milner@shell.com
HRasool@SempraUtilities.com
jpierce@semprautilities.com
DNiehaus@SempraUtilities.com
KDeremer@SempraUtilities.com
CentralFiles@SempraUtilities.com
sharding@iidenergy.com
fwnoble@WintecEnergy.com
peter.pearson@bves.com
CBlack@riversideca.gov
csteen@bakerlaw.com
chestonem@sharpsec.com
john@deweygroup.com
leichnitz@lumospower.com
galiteva@aol.com
JLehman@anaheim.net
msamra@anaheim.net
hanigan@encous.com
kerry.eden@ci.corona.ca.us
pfmoritzburke@gmail.com
janet.gagnon@solarworldusa.com
Jeff.Hirsch@DOE2.com
hal@rwitz.net

Brian.Prusnek@sce.com
nao@cpuc.ca.gov
austin.yang@sfgov.org
Dan.adler@calcef.org
mramirez@sfwater.org
mdacunha@ecoplexus.com
mcampbell@sfwater.org
tburke@sfwater.org
norman.furuta@navy.mil
andre.devilbiss@recurrentenergy.com
dcover@esassoc.com

reg@silveradopower.com
jim.howell@recurrentenergy.com
luke.dunnington@recurrentenergy.com
marcel@turn.org
sam.maslin@recurrentenergy.com
snuller@ethree.com
regulatory_affairs@recurrentenergy.com
mcarboy@signalhill.com
avege@firstwind.com
RegRelCPUCCases@pge.com
CRMD@pge.com
ELL5@pge.com
jay2@pge.com
jsp5@pge.com
filings@a-klaw.com
ldri@pge.com
MMCL@pge.com
mginsburg@orrick.com
tjl@a-klaw.com
spauker@wsgr.com
cmmw@pge.com
nxk2@pge.com
Eriks@ecplexus.com
amartin@nextlight.com
fderosa@nextlight.com
jstoddard@manatt.com
jwoodruff@nextlight.com
jscancarelli@crowell.com
jared.johnson@lw.com
jrehfeld@naturener.net
mchediak@bloomberg.net
markfumia@dwt.com
rafi.hassan@sig.com
sdhilton@stoel.com
tkaushik@manatt.com
vidhyaprabhakaran@dwt.com
mday@goodinmacbride.com
lcottle@winston.com
tsolomon@winston.com
Diane.Fellman@nrgenergy.com
cem@newsdata.com
sho@ogrady.us
atk4@pge.com
CPUCCases@pge.com
BXSZ@pge.com

bkc7@pge.com
GXL2@pge.com
k1k3@pge.com
mrh2@pge.com
S2B9@pge.com
ryan.heidari@endimensions.com
chris@emeter.com
wetstone@alamedamp.com
beth@beth411.com
bill@fitcoalition.com

andy.vanhorn@vhcenergy.com
kowalewskia@calpine.com
barmackm@calpine.com
JChamberlin@LSPower.com
phanschen@mofo.com
timea.Zentai@navigantconsulting.com
masont@bv.com
dietrichlaw2@earthlink.net
alex.kang@itron.com
ramonag@ebmud.com
scott.goerland@fpl.com
bepstein@fablaw.com
nellie.tong@us.kema.com
cpucdockets@keyesandfox.com
ramesh.ramchandani@commercialenergy.net
ron.perry@commercialenergy.net
cwooten@lumenxconsulting.com
rschmidt@bartlewell.com
andykatz@sonic.net
gtrobinson@lbl.gov
ed.smeloff@sunpowercorp.com
dweisz@marinenergyauthority.org
sara@solaralliance.org
juliettea7@aol.com
lynn@lmaconsulting.com
tfaust@redwoodrenewables.com
paulfenn@local.org
anders.glader@elpower.com
jna@speakeasy.org
tim@marinemt.org
johnspilman@netzero.net
ed.mainland@sierraclub.org
keithwhite@earthlink.net
wem@igc.org

eric.cherniss@gmail.com
shani@scvas.org
renee@gem-corp.com
vsuravarapu@cera.com
sberlin@mccarthylaw.com
tom_victorine@sjwater.com
jrobertpayne@gmail.com
mjensen@mercedid.org
davido@mid.org
joyw@mid.org
lindaf@mid.org
brbarkovich@earthlink.net
dgrandy@caonsitegen.com
rmccann@umich.edu
tobinjmr@sbcglobal.net
saeed.farrokhpay@ferc.gov
e-recipient@caiso.com

dennis@ddecuir.com
brian.theaker@nrgenergy.com
rick@sierraacos.com
david.oliver@navigantconsulting.com
kenneth.swain@navigantconsulting.com
cpucrulings@navigantconsulting.com
lpark@navigantconsulting.com
pmaxwell@navigantconsulting.com
tpomales@arb.ca.gov
tbrunello@calstrat.com
mclaughlin@braunlegal.com
danielle@ceert.org
jluckhardt@downeybrand.com
bernardo@braunlegal.com
steveb@cwo.com
Tiffany.Roberts@lao.ca.gov
dseperas@calpine.com
bsb@eslawfirm.com
cte@eslawfirm.com
rroth@smud.org
mdeange@smud.org
vwood@smud.org
lterry@water.ca.gov
hurlock@water.ca.gov
varanini@sbcglobal.net
karen@klindh.com
atrowbridge@daycartermurphy.com

dsanchez@daycartermurphy.com
DocToxics@aol.com
dbranchcomb@spi-ind.com
cpuc@liberty-energy.com
c.mentzel@cleanenergymaui.com
sas@a-klaw.com
mpa@a-klaw.com
cathie.allen@pacificorp.com
Tashiana.Wangler@PacifiCorp.com
dws@r-c-s-inc.com
castille@landsenergy.com
lindsay_zaitsoff@transalta.com
djurijew@capitalpower.com
john_dunn@transcanada.com
meredith_lamey@transcanada.com
spillott@capitalpower.com
mark.thompson@powerex.com
Nancy.Norris@powerex.com
AEG@cpuc.ca.gov
CNL@cpuc.ca.gov
DBP@cpuc.ca.gov
MWT@cpuc.ca.gov
SMK@cpuc.ca.gov
TRH@cpuc.ca.gov
lgonzale@energy.state.ca.us
jmcmahon@8760energy.com
as2@cpuc.ca.gov
aes@cpuc.ca.gov
bwm@cpuc.ca.gov
clu@cpuc.ca.gov
ctd@cpuc.ca.gov
dbp@cpuc.ca.gov
dot@cpuc.ca.gov
gtd@cpuc.ca.gov
jm3@cpuc.ca.gov
jls@cpuc.ca.gov
jrz@cpuc.ca.gov
jp6@cpuc.ca.gov
jaa@cpuc.ca.gov
jf2@cpuc.ca.gov
jmh@cpuc.ca.gov
kar@cpuc.ca.gov
kho@cpuc.ca.gov
kwh@cpuc.ca.gov
mrl@cpuc.ca.gov

mjs@cpuc.ca.gov
mjd@cpuc.ca.gov
mc3@cpuc.ca.gov
sha@cpuc.ca.gov
nlr@cpuc.ca.gov
nil@cpuc.ca.gov
psd@cpuc.ca.gov
rmm@cpuc.ca.gov
rkn@cpuc.ca.gov
rmd@cpuc.ca.gov
srt@cpuc.ca.gov
svn@cpuc.ca.gov
tbo@cpuc.ca.gov
dietrichlaw2@earthlink.net
ys2@cpuc.ca.gov
Will.Brieger@doj.ca.gov
claufenb@energy.state.ca.us
cleni@energy.state.ca.us
hraitt@energy.state.ca.us
kzocchet@energy.state.ca.us
mpryor@energy.state.ca.us
pdoughma@energy.state.ca.us
dvidaver@energy.state.ca.us
gherrera@energy.state.ca.us
jwoodwar@energy.state.ca.us
pbarthol@energy.state.ca.us
hchronin@water.ca.gov
rmiller@energy.state.ca.us