

From: Pocta, Robert M.
Sent: 6/29/2011 4:53:49 PM
To: Redacted
Cc: Hughes, John (Reg Rel) (/O=PG&E/OU=Corporate/cn=Recipients/cn=J8HS)
Bcc:
Subject: RE: GRC R/O items

I'll try to get back to you with some potential dates tomorrow or Friday.

From: Redacted
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2011 9:30 AM
To: Pocta, Robert M.
Cc: Hughes, John (Reg Rel)
Subject: RE: GRC R/O items

Hey Mark,

Hope all is well. I just want to follow up to see if there is any chance the teams can meet up to discuss the pending items as well as the new items recommended by your group. Our calendars are pretty flexible. Please let me know when a good time will be for your team.

Thanks,

Redacte

From: Redacted
Sent: Tuesday, June 21, 2011 3:50 PM
To: 'Pocta, Robert M.'
Subject: RE: GRC R/O items

Hey Mark,

Sorry for resending this message. I just had a meeting with our RO team and we think that we might

have solutions to your additional items (2nd and 3rd bullet points), but the team feels it would be really helpful if we could show them to you in person.

I understand that you are very busy with the [Redact] case, and we can be flexible in terms of time and schedule. Please let me know if it is possible to have a face to face instead in the next few weeks.

Thanks!

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2011 9:22 PM
To: Pocta, Robert M.
Cc: Wilson, Gregory A.; Tang, Clayton K.
Subject: RE: GRC R/O items

Mark,

Thank you very much for your response and suggestions. I will share these with the team.

Please let me know when a good time is for us to meet and I can set up an one-hour conference call.

Best Regards,

[Redacted]

From: Pocta, Robert M. [mailto:robert.pocta@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Friday, June 17, 2011 4:19 PM
To: [Redacted]
Cc: Wilson, Gregory A.; Tang, Clayton K.
Subject: RE: GRC R/O items

[Red],

Enclosed is an initial follow-up to our GRC meeting. Regarding the issue of base year dollars vs. nominal dollars:

- DRA's preference is that the forecasts be prepared using base year dollars.
- If the historical expense and forecast data can be provided with a breakdown by labor and non-labor that would also be preferable
- The MWC expenses are also typically loaded with some overheads which are ultimately removed / adjusted out. If the historical and forecast figures were provided without these loaded figures, that would also serve to make the estimates and preparation more stream-lined and cleaner.

We recognize that we did not discuss the 2nd and 3rd bullet points but given the opportunity we also wanted to add these ideas to the discussion.

We should be able to get back to PG&E on some of the other issues that we discussed in a few weeks.

If you would like to discuss these matters further, I will be returning to the office Friday June 24 and we can set up a follow-up conference call or meeting at that time.

Mark

From: Redacted
Sent: Friday, June 10, 2011 4:42 PM
To: Pocta, Robert M.
Subject: RE: GRC R/O items

No problem Mark. Have a great weekend.

Thank you,

Redacted

From: Pocta, Robert M. [mailto:robert.pocta@cpuc.ca.gov]
Sent: Friday, June 10, 2011 4:39 PM
To: Redacted
Subject: GRC R/O items

I had suggested to Redac that I would be able to get back to you on a few items that we discussed. Unfortunately I was unable to get everyone together to discuss the issues and I'll be out of

town until next Wednesday. I'll try to get in touch with you regarding some of the issues by late next week. Thanks.